

# Removal of Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> from Contaminated Liquid Media by Dairy Lactic Acid Bacteria

A.A.M. SHAHIN

National Center for Radiation Research and Technology, Cairo, Egypt

E-mail: azzashahin56@yahoo.com

## ABSTRACT

In the present study the ability of some dairy strains of lactic acid bacteria to remove aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> from contaminated media was investigated. Twelve out of forty two isolates from yogourt, raw milk and karisk cheese have shown different levels to bind aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>). The highest isolates for binding AFB<sub>1</sub> were identified as *Lactococcus lactis* and *Sterptococcus thermophilus*. Dead cells (by boiling) of *L. lactis* and *S. thermophilus* bind 86.1 and 100% of the AFB<sub>1</sub> (2 µg) added to the phosphate buffer solution, respectively while the viable cells of both strains bind only 54.35 and 81.0%, respectively. Washing the cells of *L. lactis* pellet binding the AFB<sub>1</sub> by buffer, methanol and chloroform released 13.13, 20.0 and 27.5% of the toxin, respectively. Buffer solution and methanol could not released the AFB<sub>1</sub> complex formed with the living or dead cells of *S. thermophilus*, while the chloroform released 39.5, 17.0 and 28.12 of AFB<sub>1</sub> from living cells, boiling cells and autoclaving cells, respectively. Dead cells pellet of *L. lactis* removed 100% of AFB<sub>1</sub> (2 µg) contaminating, maize, sunflower and soybean oils, while dead cells of *S. thermophilus* pellet removed 96.8, 81.0 and 96% of the toxin contaminated previous oils, respectively.

**Key Words:** Removal of aflatoxin; Contaminated liquid; Acid bacteria

## INTRODUCTION

Mycotoxins are toxic substances produced by filamentous fungi that grow on agricultural products in the field before harvest or during storage (Pitt & Hocking, 1997; Arafa *et al.*, 2000; Aziz *et al.*, 2004). Aflatoxins and other toxic metabolites have been found in edible tissues, milk and eggs for human use after ingestion of contaminated feed by farm animals (Rustom, 1997; Pittet, 1998). *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus* are the primary fungal species that produce aflatoxins in food and feed commodities (Gourama & Bullerman, 1995; Aziz *et al.*, 2002; Aziz *et al.*, 2004). Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>) is considered at present to be one of the most dangerous contaminants in food and feed (Saleh & Aziz, 1996; Aziz & Youssef, 2002; Aziz *et al.*, 2004; Aziz & Mahrous, 2004). Aflatoxins are hepatocarcinogens and inhalation of aflatoxin can also impair human health (Eaton & Gallagher, 1994).

The ubiquity of the aflatoxin-producing fungi and the potent biological activity of their mycotoxins at very low concentrations have stimulated much research on different aspects to control toxic production (Aziz & Shahin, 1997). Aflatoxins are also of industrial importance due to the economic loss resulting from the contaminated crop, (Pittet, 1998). Consequently there is a great demand for novel strategies to prevent both the formation of aflatoxin in foods and feeds and the impact of existing aflatoxin contamination (El-Nezami *et al.*, 2000). Previous studies have shown that two probiotic strains *L. rhamnosus* strain GG (ATCC5103) and *L. rhamnosus* strain LC-705 (DSM 7061), efficiently

remove AFB<sub>1</sub> from solution (El-Nezami *et al.*, 1996 & 1998a; Haskard *et al.*, 2001). Similar mutagen-binding abilities have been reported for viable and non-viable (heat-treated) bacteria (Zhang & Ohta, 1990; Orrhage *et al.*, 1994; Thyagaraja & Hosono, 1994; Sreekuman & Hosono, 1998).

Non-viable (heat & acid-treated) strains GG and Lc-705 bind AFB<sub>1</sub> as effectively as viable bacteria (El-Nezami *et al.*, 1998b).

The present work was designed to study the ability of viable and non-viable (heat-treated) specific bacterial strains isolated from dairy products for binding AFB<sub>1</sub> from liquid media and the influence of continual washing on the stability of AFB<sub>1</sub> complex formed with bacterial strains. The capability of the selected strains for removing AFB<sub>1</sub> from certain economic vegetable oils was also studied.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Microorganisms.** Forty-two bacterial isolates were isolated from the dairy products: Yogourt, Raw milk and Karish Cheese using MRS agar medium (Oxoid, 1982). Twenty-seven isolates were found to belong to genus *Lactococcus* and fifteen isolates belonging to genus *Streptococcus* according to Buchaman and Gibbons (1984). The 42 isolates were tested for their capability for binding AFB<sub>1</sub> each isolate was cultured in 25 mL of MRS broth medium in conical flask, incubated for 24 h at 37°C. Therefore known amount of AFB<sub>1</sub> (2 µg) was added to each flask and after 30 min of incubation all bacterial samples were centrifuged. The remainder AFB<sub>1</sub> in the media was detected

by TLC. Twelve from the previous isolates were found to be positive for binding AFB<sub>1</sub> with different levels. The highest isolates binding AFB<sub>1</sub> were completely identified as *L. lactis* and *S. thermophilus*.

**Binding assay.** *Lactococcus lactis* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* were cultured in 25 mL of MRS broth medium for 24 h at 37°C, in conical flasks. The flasks of each strains were divided into three groups. The culture of each flask (10<sup>7</sup> - 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL) of the first group was centrifuged (viable cells), while the second group was boiled at 100°C for 30 min and the third group was autoclaved at 121°C for 15 min (dead cells) before the centrifugation process for obtaining the pellets of the strains.

The bacterial pellets of each strain either viable or non-viable were suspended in 4 mL of phosphate buffer saline (PBS) containing 2 µg of AFB<sub>1</sub> for ½ h then centrifuged at 5000 rpm/min for 10 min. The remainder AFB<sub>1</sub> in the solution was quantified by TLC and the binding AFB<sub>1</sub> was calculated.

**Complex stability.** In this experiment the AFB<sub>1</sub> complex stability with the bacterial cells was evaluated. The pellets obtained from the previous experiment, were washed by 4 mL of PBS, methanol or chloroform. After centrifugation, the released AFB<sub>1</sub> was quantified by TLC and the AFB<sub>1</sub> remaining in the pellets were calculated.

**Removing of AFB<sub>1</sub> contaminated some vegetable oils.** Viable and non-viable bacterial pellets obtained from 25 mL MRS broth medium were mixed with 4 mL of vegetable oils (maize, sunflower or soyabean) containing 2 µg AFB<sub>1</sub> for ½ h. Thereafter all samples were centrifuged then the released AFB<sub>1</sub> in oils were determined subsequently the remained binding with bacterial cells were calculated.

**Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> assay.** AFB<sub>1</sub> in all previous experiments was determined according to the method described by (AOAC, 1984).

**Statistical analysis.** All data were statistically analysed according to Sendecor and Cochran (1980), last significant difference (LSD) was used for comparing treatment means.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Various food commodities may be contaminated with aflatoxins, which even in small quantities have been detrimental effects on human and animal health. This study assessed the binding of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>) from contaminated solution by 27 strains of *Lactococcus* sp. and 15 strains of *Streptococcus* sp. isolated from yogourt, raw milk and karish cheese, (Table I).

Twelve out of the forty two previous isolates have shown different levels to bind AFB<sub>1</sub>. The highest isolates for binding AFB<sub>1</sub> were identified as *Lactococcus lactis* and *Streptococcus thermophilus*, where they bind 54.85% and 81.0% of the toxin, respectively (Table II). Peltonen *et al.* (2001) studied the binding of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>) from contaminated solution by 12 *Lactobacillus*, five *Bifidobacterium* and three *Lactococcus* strains and they

revealed that two *Lactobacillus amylovorus* and one *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* strains removed more than 50% AFB<sub>1</sub>. Also, El-Nezami *et al.* (1998a) reported that *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* strain GG (LB GG) and *L. rhamnosus* strain LC (LC 705) can significantly remove AFB<sub>1</sub> when compared with other strains of either Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria and the removal of AFB<sub>1</sub> by these two strains was both temperature and bacterial concentration dependent.

The data in (Table III) revealed that the two tested strains were efficient in binding AFB<sub>1</sub>. It is clear from the table that dead cells by boiling of *L. lactis* and *S. thermophilus* bind 86.1 and 100% of AFB<sub>1</sub> (2 µg) added to the phosphate buffer, respectively comparing with 80 and 83% in case of dead cells by autoclaving, while the viable cells of both strains bind only 54.85 and 81% of AFB<sub>1</sub>, respectively. Pierides *et al.* (2000) studied a safe and practical decontamination method with specific lactic bacteria strains to remove aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> (AFM<sub>1</sub>) from liquid media and the authors reported that all strains whether viable or heat-killed, could reduce the AFM<sub>1</sub> content of the liquid medium. Furthermore, Haskard *et al.* (2001) revealed that *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* strain GG (A53103) and *L. rhamnosus* strain LC-705 (DSM 7061) removed AFB<sub>1</sub> most efficiently and the non-viable (heat-or acid-treated) bacteria retained the highest amount of AFB<sub>1</sub>. The protective effect of bacterial strains against aflatoxins has been reported by several workers (El-Nezami *et al.*, 1998b; Kankaanpaa *et al.*, 2000; Pierides *et al.*, 2000; Peltonen *et al.*, 2001), who revealed that physical binding has been proposed as one mechanism of toxin removal.

Table IV shows the effect of washing with buffer, methanol and chloroform on releasing of AFB<sub>1</sub> remaining bound with viable and non-viable (heat-treated) *L. lactis* and *S. thermophilus*. After three washes with phosphate buffer and methanol did not release any detectable AFB<sub>1</sub> from viable or non-viable *S. thermophilus* cells and 39.51, 17.0 and 28.29% of the AFB<sub>1</sub> released after three washes by chloroform. On the other hand the data show that 6.4 and 13.13% of the AFB<sub>1</sub> released after washing with phosphate buffer for boiling and autoclaving *L. lactis*, respectively while 6.89 and 20% of AFB<sub>1</sub> released after three washes with methanol and 13.95 and 27.50 for chloroform, respectively.

Haskard *et al.* (2001) studied the stability of AFB<sub>1</sub> complex formed with 12 bacterial strains in both viable and non-viable heat-or acid treated forms by repetitive aqueous extraction and the authors reported that by the fifth extraction up to 71% of the total AFB<sub>1</sub> remained bound and non-viable bacteria retained the highest amount of AFB<sub>1</sub>. The authors concluded that the binding is of a reversible nature, but the stability of the complexes formed depends on strain, treatment and environmental conditions. The stability of complex formed between AFB<sub>1</sub> and heat-treated *S. thermophilus* in the present study is similar to that of heat-treated *Bifidobacterium* strains (Oatley *et al.*, 2000).

**Table I. Screening of bacterial isolates from dairy products for binding aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>)**

Substrate	No. isolates	Genus	Level of binding toxin	Substrate	No. isolates	Genus	Level of binding toxin
Yogourt	1	Strep. spp	++	Karish cheese	28	Lac. spp	-
	2	Strep. spp	-		29	Lac. spp	-
	3	Strep. spp	+		30	Lac. spp	-
	4	Strep. spp	-		31	Lac. spp	+
	5	Strep. spp	++		32	Lac. spp	-
	6	Strep. spp	+		33	Lac. spp	-
	7	Strep. spp	-		34	Lac. spp	-
	8	Strep. spp	-		35	Lac. spp	-
	9	Strep. spp	+		36	Lac. spp	-
	10	Strep. spp	-		37	Lac. spp	-
	11	Lac. spp	-		38	Lac. spp	-
	12	Lac. spp	-		39	Lac. spp	-
	13	Lac. spp	++		40	Lac. spp	-
	14	Lac. spp	-		41	Lac. spp	-
	15	Lac. spp	+++		42	Lac. spp	-
	16	Lac. spp	-				
	Raw milk	17	Lac. spp		-		
18		Lac. spp	-				
19		Lac. spp	+				
20		Lac. spp	-				
21		Lac. spp	-				
22		Lac. spp	++				
23		Strep. spp	-				
24		Strep. spp	++				
25		Strep. spp	-				
26		Strep. spp	+				
27		Strep. spp	-				

-: Negative    +: Weak  
 ++: Medium    +++: High  
 \*: Initial AFB<sub>1</sub> = 2 µg

**Table II. Ability of *Lactococcus lactis* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* for binding AFB<sub>1</sub>**

Strain	Con. of AFB <sub>1</sub> (2µg)	*Remaining of AFB <sub>1</sub> (µg)	**Binding of AFB <sub>1</sub> (µg)	Percentage of binding AFB <sub>1</sub> (%)
<i>Lactococcus lactis</i>	2	0.913	1.087	54.85
<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	2	0.380	1.62	81.0

\*detected in buffer    \*\*Binding by bacterial cells

**Table III. Comparison between viable and dead cells of *Lactococcus lactis* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* for binding AFB<sub>1</sub>**

Treatments	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i>				<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>			
	*Concentration of AFB <sub>1</sub> remainder (µg)	of %	*Concentration of AFB <sub>1</sub> bound (µg)	of %	*Concentration of AFB <sub>1</sub> remainder (µg)	of %	*Concentration of AFB <sub>1</sub> bound (µg)	of %
Living cells (viable cells)	0.913	45.65	1.087a	54.35	0.38	19.0	1.62a	81.0
Dead cells by boiling	0.278	13.90	1.720b	86.10	0.00	0.00	2.00b	100.0
Dead cells by autoclaving	0.400	20.00	1.60c	80.00	0.34	17.00	1.66c	83.0

\* Initial AFB<sub>1</sub> concentration = 2µg Values are mean of three replicates a, b, c: indicates statistical differences in mean (P ≤ 0.05)

However other studies (Haskard *et al.*, 2000 & 2001), have shown that the relative amounts of AFB<sub>1</sub> removed by viable and heat and acid treated bacteria depend on initial AFB<sub>1</sub> concentrations.

(Morotomi & Mutai, 1986; Tanabe *et al.*, 1991; Rajendran & Ohta, 1998) reported that the cell wall polysaccharide and peptidoglycan are the two main elements responsible for the binding of mutagens to lactic acid bacteria. This perturbation of the bacterial cell wall may allow AFB<sub>1</sub> to bind to cell wall and plasma membrane constituents that are not available when the bacterial cell is intact. The effective removal of AFB<sub>1</sub> by all non-viable

bacteria suggests that binding rather than metabolism is involved in all cases.

In a previous study Haskard *et al.* (2001) revealed that autoclaving and sonication did not release any detectable AFB<sub>1</sub> from bacterial pellets that had been washed five times with water and the authors concluded that binding of AFB<sub>1</sub> appears to be predominantly extracellular for viable and heat-treated bacteria. They added that denaturation by high temperatures does not cause the most strongly bound AFB<sub>1</sub> to be released and that the AFB<sub>1</sub> is not bound to loosely attached bacterial components.

Haskard *et al.* (2001) observed that the organic

**Table IV. Effect of washing bacterial pellets with buffer, methanol and chloroform on releasing AFB<sub>1</sub> binding with viable and nonviable *L. lactis* and *S. thermophilus*\***

Treatments	Concentration of AFB <sub>1</sub> in pellet (µg)	Released (µg)	Buffer	Methanol	Chloroform	Released (µg)	AFB <sub>1</sub> %
			AFB <sub>1</sub> %	Released (µg)	AFB <sub>1</sub> %		
<b>Lactococcus lactis</b>							
Living cells	1.087	0.45 a	41.4	0.57 a	52.4	0.61 a	56.12
Dead cells by boiling	1.720	0.11 b	6.4	0.12 b	6.98	0.24 b	13.95
Dead cells by autoclaving	1.60	0.21 c	13.13	0.32 c	20.00	0.44 c	27.50
<b>Streptococcus thermophilus</b>							
Living cells	1.62	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.64 a	39.51
Dead cells by boiling	2.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.34 b	17.00
Dead cells by autoclaving	1.66	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.48 c	28.92

\*Values are mean of three replicates a, b, c: indicates statistical differences in mean (P ≤ 0.05)

**Table V. Capability of *L. lactis* and *S. thermophilus* (viable or dead cells) for removing AFB<sub>1</sub> contaminated vegetable oils.**

Strains	Oils	Living cells			Dead cells*		
		Remainder AFB <sub>1</sub> (µg)	Bound AFB <sub>1</sub> (µg)	%	Remainder AFB <sub>1</sub> (µg)	Bound AFB <sub>1</sub> (µg)	%
<i>L. lactis</i>	Maize	0.266	1.734 a	86.7 a	0.00	2.0 a	100 a
	Sunflower	0.354	1.646 b	82.3 b	0.00	2.0 b	100 a
	Soybean	0.580	1.420 c	71.0 c	0.00	2.0 c	100 a
<i>S. thermophilus</i>	Maize	0.170 a	1.830 a	91.5 a	0.065	1.935 a	96.8 a
	Sunflower	0.187 a	1.813 a	90.7 a	0.366	1.634 b	81.7 b
	Soybean	0.670 b	1.330 b	66.5 b	0.080	1.920 a	96.0 c

Initial AFB<sub>1</sub> concentration (2 µg) Values are mean of three replicates

\* Dead cell: by boiling a, b, c: indicates statistical differences in mean (P ≤ 0.05)

solvents released almost all AFB<sub>1</sub> bound to *L. rhamnosus* G.G and *L. rhamnosus* Lc 705 and the authors noticed that the order of effectiveness of extraction methanol < acetonitrile = benzyne < chloroform, does not match the order of decreasing polarity and finally, this may be because the hydrophobicity of the AFB<sub>1</sub> molecule most closely and these results show that the hydrophobic interactions play a major role in the binding mechanism. These observation were previously documented by Haskard *et al.* (2000), who revealed that chloroform extraction does not expose the intracellular hypobolic membrane, but rather only extracts extracellular components. Theoretical calculations by Oatley *et al.* (2000) demonstrate that AFB<sub>1</sub> removal does not arise solely from trapping of the toxin in the bacterial pellet during centrifugation.

Table V reveals the percentage of AFB<sub>1</sub> bound with viable and heat-treated bacteria and the remaining bound using different vegetable oils. It is clear that 71 to 86.7% and 100% of the AFB<sub>1</sub> remaining bound for viable and non-viable *L. lactis* and 66.5 to 91.5% and 81.7 to 96.8% of the AFB<sub>1</sub> remained bound for both viable and non-viable *S. thermophilus*, respectively in the different types of vegetable oils. Rasic *et al.* (1991) added AFB<sub>1</sub> to the yoghurt and acidified milk at concentrations of 1000 and 1400 µg/Kg. They found that the AFB<sub>1</sub> reduced in yoghurts (pH 4.0) by 97.8 and 90%, respectively. The maximum decrease of AFB<sub>1</sub> was occurred during the milk fermentation. They also found that the decrease of AFB<sub>1</sub> (conc. 1000 µg/Kg) in milk acidified with citric, lactic and acetic acids (pH 4.0) was 90, 84 and 73%, respectively.

## REFERENCES

- AOAC, 1984. *Official Methods of Analysis*, 14<sup>Ed</sup>, Pp: 26.012–26.0114, Association of official Analytical Chemists, Arhlington, VA
- Arafa, M.K.M., M.A. Abd El-Sater, M.R.A. Hovny and M. Aaher, 2000. Sorghum grain borne fungi and their grain genotypes and their effects on quality and mycotoxins production. *Bull. Foc. Sci. Assuit. University*, 29: 285–95
- Aziz, N.H. and S.R. Mahrous, 2004. Effect of  $\gamma$ -irradiation on aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> production by *Aspergillus flavus* and chemical composition of three crop seeds. *Nahrung/Food*, 48: 234–8
- Aziz, N.H. and A.A.M. Shahin, 1997. Influence of other fungi on aflatoxin production by *Aspergillus flavus* in maize kernels. *J. Food Safety*, 17: 113–23
- Aziz, N.H. and B.M. Youssef, 2002. Inactivation of naturally occurring of mycotoxins in some Egyptian food and agricultural commodities by gamma-irradiation. *Egypt J. Food Sci.*, 30: 167–77
- Aziz, N.H., S.A. El-Zeany and L. A.A. Moussa, 2002. Influence of  $\gamma$ -irradiation and maize lipids on the production of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> by *Aspergillus flavus*. *Nahrung/Food*, 45: 327–31
- Aziz, N.H., L.A. Moussa and F.M.E. El-Aar, 2004. Reduction of fungi and mycotoxins formation in seeds by gamma-radiation. *J. Food Safety*, 24: 109–27
- Buchanan, R.E. and N.E. Gibbons, 1984. *Bergeys Manual of Determinative Bacteriology*, 8<sup>th</sup> edition. The Williams and Wilkins company, Baltimore
- Eaton, D.L. and E.P. Gallagher, 1994. Mechanisms of aflatoxin carcinogenesis. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, 34: 135–72
- El-Nezami, H.S., P.E. Kakaampaa, S. Salminen and J.T. Ahokas, 1998a. Ability of dairy strains of lactic acid bacteria to bind food carcinogens. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 36: 321–6
- El-Nezami, H.S., P.E. Kankaampaa, S. Salminen and J.T. Ahokas, 1998b. Physico-chemical alterations enhance the ability of dairy strains of lactic acid bacteria to remove aflatoxin from contaminated media. *J. Food Prot.*, 61: 466–8

- El-Nezami, H.S., H. Mykkanen, P.E. Kankaanpaa, S. Salmine and J.T. Ahokas, 2000. Ability of Lactobacillus and Propionibacterium strains to remove aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> from the chicken duodenum. *J. Food Prot.*, 63: 549–52
- El-Nezami, H.S., S. Salminen and J.T. Ahokas, 1996. Biologic control of food carcinogen using Lactobacillus GG. *Nutr. Today*, 31: 41–2
- Gourama, H. and L.B. Bullerman, 1995. Aspergillus flavus and A parasiticus aflatoxigenic fungi of concern in foods and feeds. *J. Food Prot.*, 58: 1395–404
- Haskard, C.A., H.S. El-Nezami, P.E. Kankaanpaa, S. Salminen and J.T. Ahokas, 2001. Surface binding of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> by lactic acid bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 67: 3086–96
- Haskard, C., C. Binnion and J. Ahokas, 2000. Factors affecting the sequestration of aflatoxin by Lactobacillus rhamnosus strain GG. *Chem. Biol. Interact.*, 128: 39–49
- Kankaanpaa, P., E. Tuomola, H. El-Nezami, J. Ahokas and S.J. Salminen, 2000. Binding of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> alters the adhesion properties of Lactobacillus rhamnosus strain GG in a CoCa-2 model. *J. Food Prot.*, 63: 412–4
- Morotomi, M. and M. Mutai, 1986. *In vitro* binding of potent mutagenic pyrolysates to intestinal bacteria. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 77: 195–201
- Oatley, J.T., M.D. Rarick, G.E. Ji and J.E. Linz, 2000. Binding of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> to bifidobacteria *in vitro*. *J. Food. Prot.*, 63: 1133–6
- Orrhage, K., E. Sillerstrom, J.A. Gustafsson, C.E. Nord and J. Rafter, 1994. Binding of mutagenic heterocyclic amines by intestinal and lactic acid bacteria. *Mutat. Res.*, 311: 239–48
- Oxoid, 1982. *The Oxide Manual of Culture Media Ingredients and Other Laboratory Services*, 15<sup>th</sup> edition. Published by Oxoid limited, Wade Road, Basing Stoke, Hampshire RG 24 OPW
- Peltonen, L., H. El-Nezami, C. Haskard, J. Ahokas and S. Salminen, 2001. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> binding by dairy strains of lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 48: 2152–516
- Pierides, M., H. El-Nezami, K. Peltonen, S. Salminen and J. Ahokas, 2000. Ability of dairy strains of lactic acid bacteria to bind aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in a food model. *J. Food Prot.*, 63: 645–50
- Pitt, J.I. and A.D. Hocking, 1997. *Fungi and Food Spoilage*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Blackie Academic, London
- Pittet, A., 1998. Occurrence of aflatoxins in commodities. *Rev. Med. Vet.* 149: 479–92
- Rajendran, R. and Y. Ohta, 1998. Binding heterocyclic amines by lactic acid bacteria from miso and fermented. *Japanese Food Canadian J. Microbiol.*, 44: 109–15
- Rasic, J.L., M. Skrinjar and S. Markov, 1991. Decrease of aflatoxins B<sub>1</sub> in Yoghurt and acidified milks. *Mycopathologia*, 113: 117–9
- Rustom, I.Y.S., 1997. Aflatoxin in food and feed: occurrence, legislation and inactivation by physical methods. *Food Chem.*, 59: 57–67
- Saleh, N.A. and N.H. Aziz, 1996. Incidence of mycotoxins in feedstuffs and effect of gamma irradiation and sodium propionate on aflatoxin production by *Aspergillus flavus*. *J. Egyptian Vet. Med. Assoc.*, 56: 281–99
- Sendecor, G.M. and W.G. Cochran, 1980. *Statistical Methods*, 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. The Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa
- Sreekuman, O. and A. Hosono, 1998. Antimutagenicity and the influence of physical factors in binding Lactobacillus gasseri and Bifidobacterium longum cells to amino acid pyrolysates. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 81: 1506–16
- Tanabe, T., H. Otani and A. Hosono, 1991. Binding of mutagens with cell wall peptidoglycan of Leuconostoc mesenteroides subsp dextranicum T-180. *Milchwissen-Schaft*, 46: 622–5
- Thyagaraja, N. and A. Hosono, 1994. Binding properties of lactic acid bacteria from Idly towards food borne mutagens. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 32: 805–9
- Zhang, X.B. and Y. Ohta, 1990. Antimutagenicity and binding of lactic acid bacteria from a Chinese cheese to mutagenic pyrolysates. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 73: 2702–10

(Received 01 June 2006; Accepted 15 November 2006)