



## Review Article

# Perspectives of Rhizosphere Microflora for Improving Zn Bioavailability and Acquisition by Higher Plants

Muhammad Imran<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Arshad<sup>1\*</sup>, Azeem Khalid<sup>2</sup>, Shamsa Kanwal<sup>2</sup> and David E. Crowley<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad 38040, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, PMAS Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi 46300, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, 92507, USA

\*For correspondence: arshad\_ises@yahoo.com

## Abstract

Zinc (Zn) is an established micronutrient required for normal growth and functioning of plants. Its supply in adequate amount is considered indispensable for growth and development of plants. It is primarily taken up from soil through plant roots and translocated to other parts where it is needed to perform its specific role(s). In most cases, the soil (rooting media) have sufficient amount of total Zn, but plants still suffer from its deficiency constraints. Many factors affect and regulate the bioavailability of soil indigenous Zn as well as exogenously applied Zn enhancing bioavailability of the Zn to plants in soil matrix. Among these factors, soil microbial communities play a vital role in enhancing bioavailability of Zn to plants in soil matrix. Similarly, application of bioinoculants has been reported in the literature whose application to soil can promote Zn availability to plants. In this review, all possible strategies which can promote Zn availability to plants have been discussed comprehensively and critically. © 2014 Friends Science Publishers

**Keywords:** Zinc; Bioinoculants; Biofertilizer; Soil factors

## Introduction

Zinc (Zn), a transition metal, is essentially required by plants for their growth and development (McCall *et al.*, 2000). It plays a vital role in photosynthesis, membrane integrity, protein synthesis, pollen formation and immunity system (Alloway, 2008; Hajiboland and Amirzad, 2010; Gurmani *et al.*, 2012). It is also an important component of nucleic acids and Zn-binding proteins. It is documented that about 3,000 proteins of higher plants contain Zn prosthetic groups (Tapiero and Tew, 2003). Moreover, Zn is required as a co-factor for the activity of more than 300 enzymes (McCall *et al.*, 2000) and enhances the level of antioxidants within plant tissues (Luo *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, Zn plays a critical role in hormonal regulation in plants (Marschner, 1997). Zn finger proteins are involved in the regulation of signal transduction events. These proteins affect transcription by binding to DNA/RNA or other proteins, leading to cell death (Englbrecht *et al.*, 2004; Ciftci-Yilmaz and Mittler, 2008). In addition, Zn is critical for the synthesis of phytohormones such as auxin, abscisic acid, gibberellins and cytokinins. Its deficiency reduces level of these phytohormones in plant tissues resulting in an impairment of cell growth. Thus, its deficiency in plant tissues adversely affects various vital processes occurring within plant body.

Although Zn is required by the plant in micro-concentration, its bioavailable fraction in soil is very low due to various soil factors (Alloway, 2009). Some soils, despite having fair quantity of Zn cannot support plant growth because of poor bioavailable Zn. It is further documented that around 30% of the world's soils are Zn deficient (Kochian, 2000). The bioavailable content of Zn in soil can be increased using both chemical and biological approaches. Mineral fertilizers are considered a good source of Zn but it gets fixed quickly on soil matrix, resulting in poor availability to plants (Zia *et al.*, 1999). It has been estimated that about 90% of the total soil Zn exist in residual fraction, having no relevance to bioavailable fraction (Mandal *et al.*, 1988). It is crucial to increase bioavailability of Zn to plants by solubilizing fixed Zn and/or by reducing fixation of the applied Zn fertilizers. This can be achieved either by using organic amendments or potential Zn solubilizing bioinoculants. Organic amendments improve bioavailability of Zn by increasing microbial biomass, which not only enhance the rate of decomposition of organic matter (source of Zn), but also enhance the bioavailability of indigenous Zn by lowering the soil pH and by releasing chelating agents. Similarly, exogenous application of some potential Zn solubilizing microflora have shown huge capability to improve bioavailable Zn content in soil and its uptake by plant roots (Tariq *et al.*, 2007). This manuscript critically reviews

causes of poor Zn bioavailability in soil and the role of rhizosphere microflora as a potential tool in enhancing its bioavailability to higher plants.

### Causes of Poor Zn Bioavailability to Plants

Zn deficiency is a ubiquitous problem of plants (Hotz and Brown, 2004; Welch and Graham, 2004). After application to soil, Zn is precipitated or adsorbed to the soil constituents. A number of factors including soil texture, pH, soil water content, organic matter and calcareousness of the soil are known to influence bioavailability of Zn in soil (Alloway, 2008). Heavy textured soils had shown more retention of Zn on their adsorptive sites than light textured soils. A negative correlation between DTPA extractable Zn and clay content of the soil was found (Sidhu and Sharma, 2010).

An increase in pH results in more retention by soil colloids due to increase in cation exchange capacity (adsorptive capacity), chemisorption on  $\text{CaCO}_3$  and iron oxides (Cox and Kamprath, 1972; Yoo and James, 2002; Alloway, 2004). Harter (1983) reported that a decrease in Zn bioavailability with the increase in pH due to adsorption. Yoo and James (2002) also found a decrease in water-soluble Zn and increase in cation exchange capacity (CEC) of soil with an increase in soil pH from 4.0 to 7.0. The authors suggested that the decrease in water-soluble Zn occurred due to adsorption on exchangeable as well as at non-exchangeable sites. Zhao and Selim (2010) compared the sorption and desorption capacity of acidic and neutral soils. They found that sorption was less in acidic soils compared to neutral soil. Only 9-11% of sorbed Zn was released in neutral soils over time while it was 42-51% in acidic soils. Ghosh *et al.* (2009) reported a negative relation between soil pH and DTPA extractable Zn.

The bioavailability of Zn in soil is also strongly influenced by the calcareousness of soil. Sorption on surface of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  reduces soil Zn bioavailability as  $\text{CaCO}_3$  adsorbed Zn is difficult to be desorbed (Kiekens, 1995). Not only sorption to carbonates and clays contribute to poor Zn bioavailability but co-precipitation with carbonates and formation of calcium zincate are also very much important in calcareous soils. Xin-chun *et al.* (2008) investigated the effect of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  on Zn availability in soil, using wheat as a test crop. They found a decrease in availability of Zn with  $\text{CaCO}_3$ . Most of the added Zn was transformed into an unavailable form as only 1.3% of the applied Zn was taken up by the plants. Sidhu and Sharma (2010) observed a negative correlation with soil  $\text{CaCO}_3$  content and DTPA extractable Zn content. Thus, calcareousness is the major problem for agriculture and is the main factor of poor Zn solubility in soil causing Zn deficiency in plants

Water content in soil is very important factor, which determines the availability of Zn to plants (Patnaik *et al.*, 2008). Usually, flooding of soil reduces Zn availability most probably due to dissolution of indigenous P (Neue and

Lantin, 1994), formation of insoluble compounds with manganese, iron, carbonate and sulfide under strictly anaerobic conditions (Alloway, 2004). Flooding also affects Zn availability through pH changes (Johnson-Beebout *et al.*, 2009). Dutta *et al.* (1989) documented a reduction in DTPA extractable Zn under submerged conditions and reported that this reduction occurred due to formation of insoluble Zn compounds such as hydroxides, carbonates, sulfides. According to Mandal and Hazra (1997), a high concentration of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  under submerged conditions contributed to reduced Zn availability in soils. Yoo and Jame (2003) compared the Zn extractability and uptake by rice (*Oryza sativa*), wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) on flooded and unflooded soils. They observed that flooding resulted in a significant reduction in Zn concentration in the leaves of all plants. Johnson-Beebout *et al.* (2009) determined DTPA-extractable Zn from oxidized and reduced soils cultivated with rice. They done extraction of field-moist soil and air dry soil and found a 60% reduction in DTPA-extractable Zn in case of field moist soil.

Soil organic matter increases solubility of Zn and reduces fixation, which results in its more uptake by plant roots (Marschner, 1993; Obrador *et al.*, 2003; Cakmak, 2009). According to Hodgson (1963), the production of complexing agent from organic matter is responsible for enhanced Zn solubility and extractability. Yoo and James (2002) in a laboratory study determined the extractability of added Zn as a function of organic matter. They noted that organic matter amendment increased the solubility of Zn in soils. Katyal and Sharma (1991) found a significant positive correlation between organic carbon and DTPA extractable Zn. Similarly, Sidhu and Sharma (2010) observed an increase in DTPA extractable Zn with soil organic carbon. Behera *et al.* (2011) determined the correlation between soil organic carbon and extractable Zn of Hariharpur, Debatoli, Rajpora and Neeleswarm having organic carbon 0.12-1.07, 0.11-0.85, 0.52-2.79 and 0.39-3.46, respectively. They found that with an increase in organic carbon, extractable Zn was also increased. Keeping in view causes of poor Zn availability and its potential impact on plant growth (Table 1), there is a dire need to review strategies which could be effective in enhancing availability of Zn to higher plants.

### Potential Strategies to Enhance Zn Bioavailability to Plants

Various strategies such as application of chemical fertilizers, chelated Zn, organic amendments and bioinoculants are used to increase Zn concentration in the rhizosphere. However, there are certain limitations in using chemical and chelated Zn sources. The fertilizer-use-efficiency of inorganic Zn sources is very low. On the other hand, despite the fact that synthetic chelated-Zn compounds are a source of readily available Zn (Mortvedt *et al.*, 1999), their application is limited because they are expensive and pose a

**Table 1:** Impact of Poor Zn bioavailability on various physiological processes of plants

Crop	Physiological Parameters	Zinc treatment	Impact of Zn deficiency	Reference
Soybean	Proline Content	+Zn	12.48 ± 0.990 (mg/g dry wt.)	(Weisany <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
		-Zn	13.77 ± 3.660 (mg/g dry wt.)	
Cabbage	Photosynthetic rate	+Zn	9.28 ± 1.01 (µmol CO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /s)	(Hajiboland and Amirazad, 2010)
		-Zn	7.32 ± 0.7 (µmol CO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /s)	
Broad bean	Soluble protein content	+Zn	157.27 ± 0.30 mg/g dry wt.)	(Sharaf <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
		-Zn	126.21 ± 0.20 (mg/g dry wt.)	
Broad bean	Chlorophyll (a,b) content	+Zn	15.23 ± 0.12 (mg/g fresh wt.)	(Sharaf <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
		-Zn	10.89 ± 0.04 (mg/g fresh wt.)	
Wheat	Protein content	+Zn	14.2 (mg/g dry wt.)	(Seilsepour, 2006)
		-Zn	12.7 (mg/g dry wt.)	
Maize	Photosynthetic rate	+Zn	91.88 ± 4.52 [µmol CO <sub>2</sub> (g fr.wt.h) <sup>-1</sup> ]	(Salama <i>et al.</i> , 2002)
		-Zn	62.35 ± 5.13 [µmol CO <sub>2</sub> (g fr.wt.h) <sup>-1</sup> ]	
Chickpea	Photosynthetic rate	+Zn	198.5 ± 9.3 [µmol CO <sub>2</sub> (g fr.wt.h) <sup>-1</sup> ]	(Salama <i>et al.</i> , 2002)
		-Zn	124.4 ± 5.7 [µmol CO <sub>2</sub> (g fr.wt.h) <sup>-1</sup> ]	
Maize	Chlorophyll (a,b) content	+Zn	2.78 ± 0.11 [mg chl (g fr. Wt.) <sup>-1</sup> ]	(Salama <i>et al.</i> , 2002)
		-Zn	1.09 ± 0.09 [mg chl (g fr. Wt.) <sup>-1</sup> ]	
Rice	Chlorophyll content	+Zn	00.59 ± (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	(Sasaki <i>et al.</i> , 1998)
		-Zn	0.45 ± 0.14 (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	
Rice	Photosynthetic rate	+Zn	22.9 ± 1.701 (µmol CO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /s)	(Sasaki <i>et al.</i> , 1998)
		-Zn	20.4 ± 2.301 (µmol CO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /s)	
Cauliflower	Stomatal opening	+Zn	6.78 ± 0.47 (µm)	(Sharma <i>et al.</i> , 1995)
		-Zn	3.43 ± 0.28 (µm)	
Cauliflower	Transpiration rate	+Zn	7.42 ± 0.53 (µg cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	(Sharma <i>et al.</i> , 1995)
		-Zn	4.78 ± 0.38 (µg cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	

socio-economic constraint to farmers, particularly in developing countries. It is documented that soil microflora had good potential to improve availability of nutrients in soil through various mechanisms. Therefore, use of organic amendments, which enhance activities of indigenous microflora and exogenous application of potential bioinoculants are able to solubilize soil Zn, improve bioavailable fraction of Zn and reduce fixation of applied Zn might be very helpful.

### Organic Amendments

Soil organic matter is considered a very crucial factor in nutrient mobility in soil. Various organic amendments such as compost, farmyard manure (FYM), poultry manure, olive husk etc., are applied to soil to improve soil health, fertility and crop yields. The organic material can improve the availability of Zn by releasing Zn with time and through changes in physico-chemical properties of soil. These properties may increase soluble/available fraction of Zn in soil for plant uptake. Moreover, application of organic amendments also improve biological properties of soil (Tejada *et al.*, 2006). For instance, microbial biomass and soil enzyme activities are substantially increased with application of organic amendments (Blagodatsky and Richter, 1998; Liang *et al.*, 2003). This increase in microbial population and activities is an important indicator of soil health and soil productivity.

Soils having more microbial biomass and microbial activities are supposed to be productive soils as they have good nutrient mobility and availability to plants. Organic amendments improve soil microbial biomass carbon (Cmic)

(Fließbach and Mäder, 2000) and the Zn content in soil and plant tissues (Saviozzi *et al.*, 1999). For instance, Garcia-Gil *et al.* (2000) observed 29 and 39% increase in soil Cmic and soil Zn content with the application of manure compared to control. Likewise, substantial increase in Cmic and Zn content in soil was recorded with application of FYM and compost (Leita *et al.*, 1999). Application of olive husk was also found to increase Zn concentration in soil and tissues of *B. vulgaris* and *B. maritime* plants (Clemente *et al.*, 2007). Quality of organic amendments is variable, which has high importance (Tu *et al.*, 2006). More proliferation of microflora prevails in soils treated with organic substrates having easily decomposable carbon. While comparing different organic sources, Chowdhury *et al.* (2000) found highest Cmic in soils treated with manure compost than those soils treated with saw dust and rice husk. Das and Dkhar (2011) also observed a significant increase in Cmic after the addition of plant compost and integrated plant compost. Tu *et al.* (2006), found maximum Cmic by the addition of cotton grain trash compared to animal manure and rye/vetch green manure. Cmic represent the active fraction of soil organic matter and serves as a source of nutrients to soil microbial community, which in turn release plant available nutrients by the decomposition of organic materials, hence increasing nutrient availability in the rhizosphere.

Improvement in Cmic and microbial activity in soil with the addition of organic amendments ensures better soil quality and also has a beneficial impact on soil fertility. By mineralization process, these organic amendments also ensure the availability of those macro and micronutrients (including Zn), which are generally ignored by the farmers.

As microbial activity in soil is associated with organic matter decomposition, release of chelating agents and organic ligands improve Zn availability by forming soluble complexes with inorganic Zn.

No doubt, organic matter is a good source for improving soil health and level of Zn in plant tissues, but these results are dependent on type, nature and composition of organic amendment. Some organic amendments like poultry manure, sewage sludge, municipal solid waste compost etc., contain substantial amount of heavy metals, which may pose a risk of metal contamination of agricultural soils and underground water (McBride, 1995; Tlustos *et al.*, 2000). Since these metals are highly toxic to biological system, the application of organic amendments contaminated with metals may disturb biological transformations in soil by suppressing the activities of various enzymes like urease, phosphatase etc. (Garcia-Gil *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, from the soil these metals will enter into the plant tissues and from where, ultimately they will reach the human body causing serious disturbance in human systems. It has been documented that organic matter may also reduce available Zn concentration in soil (Mora *et al.*, 2005). According to Harter and Naidu (1995), organic matter may form insoluble complexes with metals (Zn) and is a major cause of Zn deficiency in organic soils (Stevenson, 1991). Likewise, organic amendments can increase the population of microbes, but not the specific group (Zn solubilizers). Therefore, exogenous application of some potential Zn solubilizing microbes enhances Zn content in the rhizosphere and ultimately in the plants. Thus, application of organic amendments along with potential bioinoculants is a dire need of the hour to overcome health problems associated with Zn deficiency in food.

### Rhizosphere Microflora

Rhizosphere is the narrow zone of soil around roots that is directly influenced by root secretions and is considered a hot spot of microflora, having manifold increase in microbial population than bulk soil. All the microbial communities residing in this region constitute rhizosphere microflora. The rhizosphere microflora may benefit plants through multifarious mechanisms including fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, mobilization of nutrients, production of phytohormones, altering indigenous level of phytohormones, improving plant stress tolerance to salinity, toxicity, drought, metal and pesticide load and also acts as a biocontrol agent (Glick and Bashan, 1997; Lucy *et al.*, 2004; Khalid *et al.*, 2009). Although, each and every mechanism has its own significance, but mobilization of nutrients by microflora has considered the most crucial function they perform in order to improve nutrient content in plant tissues. There is a good deal of research on mobilization of phosphorus in the rhizosphere through these tiny creatures, but increase in Zn bioavailable fraction in the rhizosphere due to the activities of rhizosphere microbes has

not been well explored yet. However, there are sufficient reports indicating substantial potential of these microbes in improving Zn bioavailable fraction in the rhizosphere of plants and Zn content in plant tissues (Kothari *et al.*, 1991; Whiting *et al.*, 2001; Chen *et al.*, 2003; Tariq *et al.*, 2007; Biari *et al.*, 2008; Subramanian *et al.*, 2009). Since these microbes play an important role in improving food quality, therefore, they would be given prime importance in future while devising strategies to mitigate Zn malnutrition in humans through food, especially in developing countries where diverse food is not available to common people and they can also not afford food supplements. Among microbes, both bacteria and fungi have shown tremendous ability to improve plant available Zn in the rhizosphere and also increase Zn in plant parts (Whiting *et al.*, 2001; Fasim *et al.*, 2002; Biari *et al.*, 2008; Subramanian *et al.*, 2009). The ways through which rhizosphere microflora may cause mobilization/solubilization of Zn include reduction in soil pH (Koide and Kabir, 2000; Subramanian *et al.*, 2009), chelation (Whiting *et al.*, 2001) or through improving root growth and root absorptive area (Burkert and Robson, 1994). These mechanisms vary from one microorganism to other. Some organisms may use single of them while others may have more than one mechanism(s) to improve Zn in soil and ultimately improve Zn acquisition/uptake in plant tissues. Importance and examples of these mechanisms have been discussed in detail here.

### Reduction in pH

Availability of micronutrients in soil is very much sensitive to soil. A little change in soil pH may have a great impact on micronutrient mobility/solubility in soil. It has been reported that availability of Zn decreases 100 times with one unit increase in pH (Havlin *et al.*, 2005). Thus by decreasing the pH of alkaline soil, bioavailable fraction of Zn can be enhanced to an appreciable level. Rhizosphere microflora has been reported to lower the soil pH to a good extent (Wu *et al.*, 2006), which may occur due to secretion of some organic acids and protons extrusion (Fasim *et al.*, 2002). For instance, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* secreted gluconic acid and 2-ketogluconic acid in the culture during solubilization of Zn phosphate. In addition, concentration of protons was also found higher in the culture after incubation period (Di Simine *et al.*, 1998). Likewise, Fasim *et al.* (2002) observed that solubilization of Zn oxide and phosphate was accompanied by proton extrusion and production of 2-ketogluconic acid. Martino *et al.* (2003) documented that ericoid mycorrhizal fungi secreted organic acid to solubilize Zn from insoluble ZnO and Zn<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. A change in pH was observed when *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* spp. were used to solubilize ZnS, ZnO and ZnCO<sub>3</sub> in broth culture (Saravanan *et al.*, 2004). Koide and Kabir (2000) proposed that mycorrhizal plants facilitate Zn availability by lowering the pH of soil by the release of some organic acids. Subramanian *et al.* (2009) also stated that microbial activity

and acid phosphatase activity in arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM) inoculated soil would have reduced the rhizospheric pH and contributed the release of Zn from mineral fraction. However, extent of reduction in rhizosphere pH vary among microorganisms as Giri *et al.* (2005) observed a 1.1 unit reduction in pH of rhizosphere soil with mycorrhizal inoculation, while Wu *et al.* (2006) observed a decrease in pH up to 0.47 unit with bacterial inoculation. Thus, pH goes down due to the release of organic acids and  $H^+$ , which facilitates Zn solubilization and uptake by plants.

### Zn-chelation

Zinc ions have high interaction with the soil constituent due to which its persistency in the soil solution is very low (Alloway, 2009). Due to low persistency/high reactivity of Zn in soil solution, plant available fraction of Zn in the soil is poor. However, bioavailability of Zn could be increased by means of Zn chelating compounds (Obrador *et al.*, 2003). These compounds are either synthetic or synthesized and released by the plant roots and potential rhizosphere microflora into the rhizosphere to chelate the Zn and improve its bioavailability. The chelates of microflora are the metabolites, which form complexes with metal cations like  $Zn^{2+}$  (Tarkalson *et al.*, 1998), which reduces their reaction with the soil. These Zn chelates subsequently move towards the roots and release chelating ligand ( $Zn^{2+}$ ) at the root surface, making them free to chelate another Zn ion from the soil solution. In some microorganisms, chelation has been observed as dominant phenomena to improve bioavailability and uptake by plant roots. For instance, Whiting *et al.* (2001) suggested that possible mechanism used by bacteria (*Microbacterium saperdae*, *Pseudomonas monteilii*, and *Enterobacter cancerogenes*) for increasing water soluble Zn (bioavailable) in soil was the production of Zn chelating metallophores. In another report, Tariq *et al.* (2007) found that *Azospirillum lipoferum* (JCM-1270, ER-20), *Pseudomonas* sp. (96-51) and *Agrobacterium* sp. (Ca-18) mobilized Zn and made it bioavailable for longer period of time when they were applied as a biofertilizer to rice by producing chelating agent like ethylene diaminetetraacetate (EDTA). According to the reports of Kucy (1987), inoculation of *Penicillium bilaji* increased Zn solubilization and uptake in plant to greater extent which might occur through chelating mechanism.

### Changes in Root Architecture

Zinc is immobile in soil and is taken up by plant mainly by diffusion (Havlin *et al.*, 2005). Due to poor native bioavailable Zn and low exogenous supply, depletion zones are formed around roots. Therefore, to improve Zn uptake it should be in close proximity of roots. This can be achieved either by application of more Zn or improving root growth and surface area so that roots can take nutrients beyond the depletion zone. A rhizosphere microflora especially

mycorrhizal fungus is widely known for its impact on root architecture. Mycorrhizal plants uptake Zn over more distances, crossing the depletion zone. According to Burkert and Robson (1994), arbuscular mycorrhizae can acquire Zn from a distance of 40 mm from the root surface. Jansa *et al.* (2003) noted that *Glomus intraradices* can take up Zn from a distance of 50 mm from the roots of maize. In the absence of Zn fertilization, Subramanian *et al.* (2009) observed that mycorrhizal fungus significantly increased root length, spread and volume of roots compared to the plants without fungal inoculation and this increased the Zn concentration in the grain up to 4%. Likewise, Tariq *et al.* (2007) observed a substantial increase in root weight, length and volume and Zn uptake in straw and grain with bacterial inoculation in rice.

### Bioinoculants

Several studies have revealed that bioinoculants help in mitigation of Zn deficiency in plants through improving mobilization of Zn in soil. Many bacterial and fungal strains have been found capable of solubilizing fixed Zn and consequently increasing its uptake by plants (Table 2). The role of fungal and bacterial inoculants in improving availability of Zn to plants is comprehensively discussed below.

### Fungal Inoculants

Among the fungal inoculants, AM fungus is considered highly effective in improving the availability and absorption of immobile nutrients by higher plants (Ikram *et al.*, 1992; Tarafdar and Marschner, 1994; Liu *et al.*, 2000). AM fungi are well known in improving the availability of phosphorus to plant roots. It has also been reported that mycorrhizal symbiosis is also very effective in improving availability of Zn to plants (Ortas *et al.*, 2002; Gao *et al.*, 2007; Ryan *et al.*, 2007; Subramanian *et al.*, 2009). A substantial increase in soil bioavailable content of Zn has been reported in fungal inoculated soils compared to uninoculated soils (Table 3). This bioavailable Zn is taken up by the plant root and accumulates in root, or translocated to other plant parts. Thus, concentration of Zn in plant tissues is directly dependent on its availability in soil. There are good reports about increase in Zn uptake by the application of bioinoculants (Smith and Read, 1997; Liu *et al.*, 2000; Ryan and Angus, 2003; Subramanian *et al.*, 2009), which might have occurred through increase in bioavailable Zn in soil. For instance, Swaminathan and Verma (1979) observed a great improvement in bioavailable Zn fraction in soil through fungal (*Glomus macrocarpus*) treatment which subsequently increased the Zn concentration in the leaves of wheat, maize and potato grown on Zn deficient soils. Likewise, Subramanian *et al.* (2009) found that inoculation of *Glomus intraradices* caused an overall increase of 43% in bioavailable Zn in soil after 75 days compared to

**Table 2:** Potential of bioinoculants in improving soil bioavailable Zn (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil)

Bioinoculants	Crop	Extraction Method	Soil bioavailable Zn		Reference
			Exenic Control	Inoculation	
<i>Glomus intraradices</i>	<i>Z. mays</i>	DTPA-Zn	1.08	1.43	(Subramanian <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
Consortium of five strains ( <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> JCM-1270, <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> ER-20, <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. K-1, <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.96-51 and <i>Agrobacterium</i> sp. Ca-18)	<i>O. sativa</i>	DTPA-Zn	0.3	1.7	(Tariq <i>et al.</i> , 2007)
Consortium of three strains ( <i>Microbacterium saperdae</i> , <i>Pseudomonas monteilii</i> and <i>Enterobacter cancerogenes</i> )	<i>Thlaspi caerulescens</i>	WE-Zn	50.68	73.85	(Whiting <i>et al.</i> , 2001)
<i>Glomus macrocarpum</i>	<i>Z. mays</i>	Zn-L	1.33	2.85	(Swaminathan and Verma, 1979)
	<i>T. aestivum</i>		0.96	2.03	
	<i>S. tuberosum</i>		1.86	3.17	

**Table 3:** Effect of bioinoculants on plant Zn Acquisition under no Zn application

Bioinoculants	Crop	Plant Tissue (mg/kg dry weight)	Zn Acquisition		Reference
			Uninoculated Control	Inoculation	
<i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> ; <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> <i>Bacillus polymyxa</i>	<i>T. aestivum</i>	Straw	44.96	- 52.45	(Eleiwa <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
			-	49.5	
				47.88	
<i>Anabaena</i> sp., PW1 <i>Providencia</i> sp. PW5		Grain	31.60	36.27	(Rana <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
				41.73	
<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. NARs1+ <i>Rhizobium leguminosarum</i> -PRI	<i>Lens culinaris</i>	Shoot	0.013	0.028	(Mishra <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
<i>Glomus mosseae</i>	<i>Prunus persica</i>	Root; Leaf	0.12; 0.04	0.18; 0.22	(Wu <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
<i>Glomus intraradices</i>	<i>Z. mays</i>	Grain	39.8	41.5	(Subramanian <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> TNSK2	<i>T. aestivum</i>	Root	151	185	(Sadaghiani <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
<i>Azospirillum</i> sp. Strain 21	<i>Z. mays</i>	Grain	2.73	5.66	(Biar <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
Consortium ( <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> JCM-1270, <i>A. lipoferum</i> ER-20, <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. K-1, <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.96-51 and <i>Agrobacterium</i> sp. Ca-18)	<i>O. sativa</i>	Grain	9.2	23.6	(Tariq <i>et al.</i> , 2007)
<i>Bacillus</i> M-13	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>	Whole Plant	66.2	73	(Canbolat <i>et al.</i> , 2006)
<i>G. macrocarpum</i>	<i>Cassia siamea</i>	Shoot	0.115	1.777	(Giri <i>et al.</i> , 2005)
<i>G. mosseae</i>	<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	Shoot	44.2	54.2	(Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2003)
Consortium of three strains ( <i>Microbacterium saperdae</i> , <i>Pseudomonas monteilii</i> and <i>Enterobacter cancerogenes</i> )	<i>T. caerulescens</i>	Shoot	500	1000	(Whiting <i>et al.</i> , 2001)

uninoculated soils at various Zn levels. This increase in available Zn resulted in more acquisition of Zn and its partitioning to maize grain. Similar enhancement impact of mycorrhizal inoculation on grain Zn acquisition was observed by Jensen *et al.* (1982), Al-Karaki and Al-Raddad (1997) and Purakayastha and Chhonkar (2001) in barley, wheat and rice, respectively. Like grain, other plant parts like root, shoot and leaves also accumulated more Zn due to mycorrhizal infection (Table 3). Chen *et al.* (2003) observed 11% higher Zn in root of red clover plants inoculated with *G. mosseae* while Wu *et al.* (2011) observed 450, 225 and 200% more Zn accumulation in roots of peach plants inoculated with *G. mosseae*, *G. versiforme*, and *Paraglomus occultum*, respectively compared to uninoculated plants. Response of AM fungus inoculation has also been found very promising in terms of Zn accumulation in shoot and leaves. Giri *et al.* (2005) found about 15 times more Zn in the shoots of +AM compared to -AM plants. Chen *et al.*

(2003) also recorded substantial increase in shoot Zn concentration through fungal inoculation. Similarly, mycorrhizal infection also improved concentration of Zn in the leaves of AM plants compared to uninoculated plants (Swaminathan and Verma, 1979; Wu *et al.*, 2011).

However, response of AM fungi on Zn acquisition is comparatively low when Zn is applied at higher rate to soil. It is generally more effective under low Zn supply. For instance, Purakayastha and Chhonkar (2001) found low response of AM fungi inoculation when Zn was applied compared to no application. Even when Zn supply is abundant, impact of AM inoculation becomes negative as Chen *et al.* (2003) observed more Zn in shoots of red clover plants having mycorrhizal symbiosis with 0 and 50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil Zn application, but when Zn was applied at the rate of 100 and 300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Zn concentration was recorded even less in inoculated plants. This is fortunate, because in most of the developing countries, either no Zn is applied to soil or

applied at very lower rate. So under, such circumstance, AM fungi inoculations is very useful in improving the Zn content in plant tissues.

Like AM fungi, free living fungi are also capable to convert insoluble Zn compounds into soluble compounds and improve Zn concentration in plant tissues. These include fungi belonging to genera *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* (Burgstaller and Schinner, 1993; Sayer *et al.*, 1995). Kucey (1988) reported that inoculation with *Penicillium bilaji* resulted in a significant increase in Zn uptake by wheat plants. It increased from 161 (uninoculated control) to 232  $\mu\text{g pot}^{-1}$  under green house conditions while from 9.61 to 10.7  $\text{mg plot}^{-1}$  in field study with fungal bioinoculant. These studies clearly demonstrated that fungal inoculants could be effectively used to increase Zn availability to plants.

### Bacterial Inoculants

Like fungi, bacterial bioinoculants are also helpful in increasing solubilization/availability of Zn in soil and its further uptake by plants to improve plant Zn content. Several bacterial species have been reported that are able to solubilize insoluble Zn compounds in liquid medium (Di Simine *et al.*, 1998; Fasim *et al.*, 2002; Saravanan *et al.*, 2007) and in soil (Whiting *et al.*, 2001; Tariq *et al.*, 2007). For instance, in an *in vitro* study, Saravanan *et al.* (2004) found that *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* can solubilize various Zn compounds like ZnS, ZnO and ZnCO<sub>3</sub> to a good extent in liquid medium. Likewise, in another study, Saravanan *et al.* (2007) demonstrated Zn solubilizing potential of *Glomus diazotrophicus* PA15. Inoculation with *G. diazotrophicus* PA15 resulted in 41, 15.7 and 60 times increase in soluble Zn content in case of ZnO, ZnCO<sub>3</sub> and Zn<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, respectively after 48 h of incubation compared to uninoculated control. Similarly, Fasim *et al.* (2002) found a high potential of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to solubilize ZnO in liquid medium. Bacteria have also shown high mobilization of soil Zn as Tariq *et al.* (2007) observed almost 5.6 time higher bioavailable Zn in inoculated soil compared to uninoculated soil. Whiting *et al.* (2001) have also documented about 0.45 fold increase in bioavailable Zn in rhizosphere soil through bacterial inoculation.

It has also been widely reported that bacterial inoculation improves plant Zn content (Whiting *et al.*, 2001; Sadaghiani *et al.*, 2008; Biari *et al.*, 2008). For instance, Whiting *et al.* (2001) observed a 2-fold more Zn concentration in the shoot of *T. caerulea* compared to control while uptake was increased up to 4-fold. Eleiwa (2005) reported that under no Zn application, inoculation of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* was helpful in controlling Zn deficiency in wheat as up to 18% increase in Zn uptake in response to inoculation was measured compared to uninoculated control. Similarly, inoculation of corn with *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* caused a significant increase in grain Zn content (Biari *et al.*, 2008). They observed up to

107, 85, 95 and 107% increase in Zn content in seed with *Azospirillum* sp. strain 21, *Azospirillum brasilense* DSM2286, *Azotobacter* sp strain 5, *Azotobacter chroococcum* DSM2286 as compared to uninoculated control. Mishra *et al.* (2012) found consortium of *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Rhizobium leguminosarum-pr-1* improved the shoot Zn content to a greater extent. While conducting experiment on rice, Tariq *et al.* (2007) observed 133% increases in Zn concentration in grain of rice by inoculation. The bacterial application also alleviated the deficiency symptoms of Zn in plant. Canbolat *et al.* (2006) and Sadaghiani *et al.* (2008) also found a substantial increase in Zn acquisition in wheat and barley with *Bacillus* M-13 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 7NSK2, respectively. Thus use of such inoculants could be useful to increase solubilization of Zn in soil and its consequent availability to plants.

### Conclusions and Future Prospects

Zn is a very important micronutrient for plant health and yield. It is involved in various plant physiological processes. Therefore, it should be available to plants in sufficient quantity. Although, most of the soils having fair quantity of Zn, but unfortunately possess extremely low plant available fraction due to various soil factors. Therefore, Zn should be applied from exogenous sources to increase soil solution concentration. Chemical fertilizers, chelated Zn and organic fertilizers are applied to soil to improve soil bioavailable Zn content, but all these have some limitations. Potential of microbial biotechnology have also been tested to improve the indigenous Zn availability and reduced the fixation of applied Zn. Application of various fungal and bacterial bioinoculants to soil had shown very promising results in terms of improving Zn content in soil and plant tissues and improving yield. Despite the fact that work related to impact of these bioinoculants on increasing Zn in soil and plant tissues is limited and potential of such bioinoculants has not much explored and only few bacterial and fungal strains have been tested, even then there are a reasonably good reports about the importance of these bioinoculants in improving bioavailable Zn fraction in soil and mitigating Zn malnutrition in plants and also to reduce use of chemical fertilizers. Therefore, in future, biofertilizers using potential Zn solubilizing microbes should be developed. In addition, identifying genes involved in the Zn solubilizing activity is imperative so that more efficient mutants could be developed. Transfer of these genes to plants will also be highly helpful to address the problem of poor Zn bioavailability in rhizosphere and in plant tissues.

### References

- Al-Karaki, G.N. and A. Al-Raddad, 1997. Effects of arbuscular fungi and drought stress on growth and nutrient uptake of two wheat genotypes differing in their drought resistance. *Mycorrhiza*, 7: 83–88

- Alloway, B.J., 2004. *Zinc in Soils and Crop Nutrition*. Brussels, Belgium: International Zinc Association.
- Alloway, B.J., 2008. *Zinc in soils and crop nutrition*. International zinc Association and International Fertilizer Industry Association. Brussels, Belgium and Paris, France, pp: 130
- Alloway, B.J., 2009. Soil factors associated with zinc deficiency in crops and humans. *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 31: 537–548
- Behera, S.K., 2011. Distribution variability of total and extractable zinc in cultivated acid soils of India and their relationship with some selected soil properties. *Geoderma*, 162: 242–250
- Biari, A., A. Gholami and H.A. Rahmani, 2008. Growth promotion and enhanced nutrient uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) by application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in arid region of Iran. *J. Biol. Sci.*, 8: 1015–1020
- Blagodatsky, S.A. and O. Richter, 1998. Microbial growth in soil and nitrogen turnover: a theoretical model considering the activity state of microorganisms. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 30: 1743–1755
- Burgstaller, W. and F. Schinner, 1993. Leaching of metals with fungi. *J. Biotechnol.*, 27: 91–116
- Burkert, B., and A. Robson, 1994. <sup>65</sup>Zn uptake in subterranean clover (*Trifolium subterraneum* L.) by three vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a root–free sandy soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 26: 1117–1124
- Cakmak, I. 2009. Agronomic approaches in biofortification of food crops with micronutrients. The proceedings of the international plant nutrition colloquium XVI UC Davis.
- Canbolat, M.Y., S. Bilen, R. Cakmakci, F. Sahin and A. Aydin, 2006. Effect of plant growth–promoting bacteria and soil compaction on barley seedling growth, nutrient uptake, soil properties and rhizosphere microflora. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 42: 350–357
- Chen, B.D., X.L. Li, H.Q. Tao, P. Christie and M.H. Wong, 2003. The role of arbuscular mycorrhiza in zinc uptake by red clover growing in a calcareous soil spiked with various quantities of zinc. *Chemosphere*, 50: 839–846
- Chowdhury, M.A.H., K. Kouno, T. Ando and T. Nagaoka, 2000. Microbial biomass, S mineralization and S uptake by African millet from soil amended with various composts. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 32: 845–852
- Ciftci–Yilmaz, S. and R. Mittler, 2008. The zinc finger network of plants. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.*, 65: 1150–1160
- Clemente, R., C. Paredes and M.P. Bernal, 2007. A field experiment investigating the effects of olive husk and cow manure on heavy metal availability in a contaminated calcareous soil from Murcia (Spain). *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 118: 319–326
- Cox, F.R. and E.J. Kamprath, 1972. Micronutrient soil tests. In: *Micronutrients in Agriculture*. Mordvedt, J.J., P.M. Giordano and W.L. Lindsay (eds.). pp: 289–317. Soil Science Society of America: Madison, WI, USA
- Das, B.B. and M.S. Dkhar, 2011. Rhizosphere microbial populations and physico chemical properties as affected by organic and inorganic farming practices. *Amer. Euras. J. Agric. Environ.*, 10: 140–150
- Di Simone, C.D., J.A. Sayer and G. M. Gadd, 1998. Solubilization of zinc phosphate by a strain of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolated from a forest soil. *Biol. Fert. Soils*, 28: 87–94
- Dutta, D., B. Mandal and L.N. Mandal, 1989. Decrease in availability of zinc and copper in acidic to near neutral soils on submergence. *Soil Sci.*, 147: 187–195
- Eleiwa, M. 2005. Enhancement of some physiological parameters in wheat plants affected by zinc foliar application and/or bacterial biological bio–fertilizers. *Met. Env. Arid Land Agric. Sci.*, 16: 25–37
- Eleiwa, M.E., E.R. Hamed and H.S. Shehata, 2012. The role of biofertilizers and/or some micronutrients on wheat plant (*Triticum aestivum* L.) growth in newly reclaimed soil. *J. Med. Plants Res.*, 6: 3359–3369
- Englbrecht, C.C., H. Schoof and S. Bohm, 2004. Conservation, diversification and expansion of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> zinc finger proteins in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome. *BMC Genomics*, 5: 39–55
- Fasim, F., N. Ahmed, R. Parsons, G.M. Gadd, 2002. Solubilization of zinc salts by bacterium isolated by the air environment of tannery. *FEMS Microb. Lett.*, 213: 1–6
- Fließbach, A. and P. Mäder, 2000. Microbial biomass and size–density fractions differ between soils of organic and conventional agricultural systems. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 32: 757–768
- Gao, X., T.W. Kuyper, C. Zou, F. Zhang and E. Hoffland, 2007. Mycorrhizal responsiveness of aerobic rice genotypes is negatively correlated with their zinc uptake when nonmycorrhizal. *Plant Soil*, 290: 283–291
- García–gil, J.C., C. Plaza, P. Solerovira and A. Polo, 2000. Long term effects of municipal solid waste compost application on soil enzyme activities and microbial biomass. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 32: 1907–1913
- Ghosh, S., D. Sarkar and A.K. Sahoo, 2009. Distribution of micronutrient cations in soils of Patloi Nala micro–watershed of Puruliya district, West Bengal. *Agropedology*, 19: 112–116
- Giri B, P.H. Giang, R. Kumari, R. Prasad and A. Varma. 2005. Microbial diversity in soils. In: *Micro–organisms in soils: roles in genesis and functions*. Buscot, F. and S. Varma (eds.). pp: 195–212. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer–Verlag
- Glick, B.R. and Y. Bashan, 1997. Genetic manipulation of plant growth–promoting bacteria to enhance biocontrol of phytopathogens. *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 15: 353–378
- Gurmani, A.R., S.U. Khan, R. Andaleep, K. Waseem and A. Khan, 2012. Soil application of zinc improves growth and yield of tomato. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 14: 91–96
- Hajiboland, R. and F. Amirazad, 2010. Growth, photosynthesis and antioxidant defense system in Zn–deficient red cabbage plants. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 56: 209–217
- Harter, R.D. and R. Naidu, 1995. Role of metal–organic complexation in metal sorption by soils. *Adv. Agron.*, 55: 219–263
- Harter, R.D., 1983. Effect of soil pH on adsorption of lead, copper, zinc, and nickel. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.*, 47: 47–51
- Havlin, J., J.D. Beaton, S.L. Tisdale and W.L. Nelson, 2005. Soil fertility and fertilizers: An introduction to nutrient management. Upper Saddle River eNJ NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall
- Hodgson, J.F., 1963. Chemistry of the micronutrient elements in soils. *Adv. Agron.*, 15: 119–159
- Hotz, C., and K.H. Brown, 2004. Assessment of the risk of zinc deficiency in populations and options for its control. International nutrition foundation: for UNU
- Ikram, A., A.W. Mahmud, M.N. Ghani, M.T. Ibrahim, A.B. Zainal, 1992. Field nursery inoculation of *Hevea brasiliensis* Muell. Arg. Seedling rootstock with vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizal (VAM) fungi. *Plant Soil*, 145: 231–236
- Jansa, J., A. Mozafar and E. Frossard, 2003. Long–distance transport of P and Zn through the hyphae of an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus in symbiosis with maize. *Agronomie*, 23: 481–488
- Jensen, A., 1982. Influence of four vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on nutrient uptake and growth in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). *New Phytol.*, 90: 45–50
- Johnson–Beebout, S.E., J.G. Lauren and J.M. Duxbury., 2009. Immobilization of zinc fertilizer in flooded soils monitored by adapted DTPA soil test. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 40: 1842–1861
- Katyal, J.C. and B.D. Sharma, 1991. DTPA–extractable and total Zn, Cu, Mn and Fe in Indian Soils and their association with some soil properties. *Geoderma*, 49: 165–169.
- Khalid, A., M. Arshad, B. Shaharoon and T. Mahmood, 2009. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and sustainable agriculture. In: *Microbial Strategies for Crop Improvement*. Khan, M.S., A. Zaidi and J. Musarrat (eds.). pp: 133–160. Springer–Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg
- Kiekens, L., 1995. Zinc. In: *Heavy Metals in Soils*. Pp: 284–305. 2nd ed. Blackie Academic and Professional, Glasgow, UK
- Kochian, L.V., 2000. Molecular physiology of mineral nutrient acquisition, transport, and utilization. *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Plants*, 20: 1204–1249
- Koide, R.T. and Z. Kabir, 2000. Extra radical hyphae of the mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices* can hydrolyse organic phosphate. *New Phytol.*, 148: 511–517

- Kothari, S.K., H. Marschner and V. Romheld, 1991. Effect of a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus and rhizosphere micro-organisms on manganese reduction in the rhizosphere and manganese concentrations in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *New phytol.*, 117: 649–655
- Kucey, R.M.N., 1988. Effect of *Penicillium bilaji* on the solubility and uptake of P and micronutrients from soil by wheat. *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 68: 261–270
- Leita, L., M. De Nobili, C. Mondini, G. Muhlbachova, L. Marchiol, G. Bragato, M. Contin, 1999. Influence of inorganic and organic fertilization on soil microbial biomass, metabolic quotient and heavy metal bioavailability. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 28: 371–376
- Liang, Y.C., Q. Chen, Q. Liu, W.H. Zhang and R.X. Ding, 2003. Exogenous silicon (Si) increases antioxidant enzyme activity and reduces lipid peroxidation in roots of salt-stressed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *J. Plant Physiol.*, 160: 1157–1164
- Liu, A., C. Hamel, R.I. Hamilton, B.L. Ma, 2000. Acquisition of Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe by mycorrhizal maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown in soil at different P and micronutrient levels. *Mycorrhiza*, 9: 331–336
- Lucy, M., E. Reed and B.R. Glick, 2004. Applications of free living plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, 86: 1–25
- Luo, Z.B., X.J. He, L. Chen, L. Tang, S.H.U.N. Gao and F.A.N.G. Chen, 2010. Effects of zinc on growth and antioxidant responses in *Jatropha curcas* seedlings. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 12: 119–124
- Mandal, B. and G.C. Hazra, 1997. Zinc adsorption in soils as influenced by different soil management practices. *Soil Sci.* 162: 713–721
- Mandal, B., G.C. Hazra and A.K. Pal, 1988. Transformation of zinc in soils under submerged condition and its relation with zinc nutrition of rice. *Plant soil*, 106: 121–126
- Marschner, H., 1993. Zinc uptake from soils. In: *Zinc in Soils and Plants*. Robson. A.D. (ed.). pp: 59–77. Springer, Netherlands
- Marschner, H., 1997. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic press, San Diego, CA, USA., pp: 889
- Martino, E., S. Perotto, R. Parsons and G.M Gadd, 2003. Solubilization of insoluble inorganic zinc compounds by ericoid mycorrhizal fungi derived from heavy metal polluted sites. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 35: 133–141
- McBride, M.B., 1995. Toxic metal accumulation from agricultural use of sewage sludge. Are USEPA regulations protective. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 24: 5–18
- McCall, K.A., C. Huang and A.F. Carol, 2000. Function and mechanism of zinc metalloenzymes. *J. Nut.*, 130: 1437–1446
- Mishra, P.K., S.C. Bisht, S. Mishra, G. Selvakumar, J.K. Bisht and H.S. Gupta, 2012. Coinoculation of *rhizobium leguminosarum*-pr1 with a cold tolerant pseudomonas sp. improves iron acquisition, nutrient uptake and growth of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *J. Plant Nut.*, 35: 243–256
- Mora, A.P.D., J.J. Ortega-Calvo, F. Cabrera and E. Madejón, 2005. Changes in enzyme activities and microbial biomass after in situ remediation of a heavy metal-contaminated soil. *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 28: 125–137
- Mortvedt, J.J., R.H. Follett and L.S. Murphy, 1999. *Fertilizer technology and application*. Meister Publishing Company
- Obrador, A., J. Novillo and J.M. Alvarez, 2003. Mobility and availability to plants of two zinc sources applied to a calcareous soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.*, 67: 564–572
- Ortas, I., D. Ortakçi, Z. Kaya, A. Çınar, and N. Onelge, 2002. Mycorrhizal dependency of sour orange in relation to phosphorus and zinc nutrition. *J. Plant Nut.*, 25: 1263–1279
- Patnaik, M C., A.S. Raju and G.B. Raj, 2008. Effect of soil moisture regimes on zinc availability in a red sandy loam soil of Andhra Pradesh. *J. Ind. Soc. Soil Sci.*, 56: 452–453
- Purakayastha, T.J. and P.K. Chhonkar, 2001. Influence of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomus etunicatum* L.) on mobilization of Zn in wetland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 33: 323–327
- Rana, J., M. Joshi, R. Prasanna, Y.S. Shivay and L. Nain, 2012. Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria. *Europ. J. Soil Biol.*, 50: 118–126
- Ryan, M.H. and J.F. Angus, 2003. Arbuscular mycorrhizae in wheat and field pea crops on a low P soil: increased Zn-uptake but no increase in P uptake or yield. *Plant Soil*, 250: 225–239
- Ryan, M.H., M.E. McCully and C.X. Huang, 2007. Relative amounts of soluble and insoluble forms of phosphorus and other elements in intraradical hyphae and arbuscules of arbuscular mycorrhizas. *Funct. Plant Biol.*, 34: 457–464
- Sadaghiani, M.R., M. Barin and F. Jalili, 2008. The Effect of PGPR inoculation on the growth of wheat. *International Meeting on Soil Fertility Land Management and Agroclimatology*, Turkey, pp: 891–898
- Salama, A.Z., G.N. Lazova, Z.G. Stoinova, L.P. Popova and M.M. El-Fouly, 2002. Effect of zinc deficiency on photosynthesis in chick-pea and maize plants. *Comptes Rendus de l'Academie Bulgare des Sci.*, 55: 65–68
- Saravanan, V.S., M. Madhaiyan and M. Thangaraju, 2007. Solubilization of zinc compounds by the diazotrophic, plant growth promoting bacterium *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus*. *Chemosphere*, 66: 1794–1798
- Saravanan, V.S., S.R. Subramoniam and S.A. Raj, 2004. Assessing in vitro solubilization potential of different zinc solubilizing bacterial (zsb) isolates. *Brazil. J. Microbiol.*, 35: 121–125
- Sasaki, H., T. Hirose, Y. Watanabe and R. Ohsugi, 1998. Carbonic anhydrase activity and CO<sub>2</sub>-transfer resistance in Zn-deficient rice leaves. *Plant Physiol.*, 118: 929–934
- Saviozzi, A., A. Biasci, R. Riffaldi and R. Levi-Minzi, 1999. Long-term effects of farmyard manure and sewage sludge on some soil biochemical characteristics. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 30: 100–106
- Sayer, J. A., S.B. Raggett and G.M. Gadd, 1995. Solubilization of insoluble metal compounds by soil fungi. development of a screening method for solubilizing ability and metal tolerance. *Mycol. Res.*, 99: 987–993
- Seilsepour, M., 2006. Study of zinc effects on quantitative and qualitative traits of winter wheat in saline soil condition. *Desert*, 2: 17–23
- Sharaf, A.E.M.M., I.I. Farghal and M. R. Sofy, 2009. Response of broad bean and lupin plants to foliar treatment with boron and zinc. *Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, 3: 2226–2231
- Sharma, P.N., A. Tripathi and S.S. Bisht, 1995. Zinc requirement for stomatal opening in cauliflower. *Plant Physiol.*, 107: 751–756
- Sidhu, G.S., and B.D. Sharma, 2010. Diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid-extractable micronutrients status in soil under a rice-wheat system and their relationship with soil properties in different agroclimatic zones of indo-gangetic plains of India. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 41: 29–51
- Smith, S., and D.J. Read, 1997. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*. Academic Press London, pp. 11–33
- Stevenson, F.J., 1991. Organic matter-micronutrient reaction in soil. In: *Micronutrients in Agriculture*. Mortvedt, J.J. (ed.)pp. 145–186. SSSA Book Series Number 4, SSSA. Madison, WI
- Subramanian, K.S., V. Tenshia, K. Jayalakshmi and V. Ramachandran, 2009. Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (*Glomus intraradices*)-(fungus aided) in zinc nutrition of maize. *Agric. Biotechnol. Sust. Dev.*, 1: 29–38
- Swaminathan, K. and B.C. Verma, 1979. Response of three crop species to vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal infection on Zinc deficient Indian soils. *New Phytol.*, 114: 1–38
- Tapiero, H. and K.D. Tew, 2003. Trace elements in human physiology and pathology: zinc and metallothioneins. *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 57: 399–411
- Tarafdar, J.C. and H. Marschner, 1994. Efficiency of VAM hyphae in utilization of organic phosphorus by wheat plants. *Soil Sci. Plant Nut.*, 40: 593–600
- Tariq, M., S. Hameed, K.A. Malik, F.Y. Hafeez, 2007. Plant root associated bacteria for zinc mobilization in rice. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 39: 245–253
- Tarkalson, D.D., V.D. Jolley, C.W. Robbins and R.E. Terry, 1998. Mycorrhizal colonization and nutrient uptake of dry bean in manure and composted manure treated subsoil and untreated topsoil and subsoil. *J. Plant Nut.*, 21: 1867–1878
- Tejada, M., M.T. Hernandez and C. Garcia, 2006. Application of two organic amendments on soil restoration: Effects on the soil biological properties. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 35: 1010–1017

- Tlustos, P., J. Balik, D. Pavlikova, J. Szakova and S. Kaewrahum 2000. The Accumulation of potentially toxic elements in spinach biomass grown on nine soils treated with sewage sludge. *Rost. Vyroba.*, 46: 9–16
- Tu, C., J.B., Ristaino and S. Hu, 2006. Soil microbial biomass and activity in organic tomato farming systems: Effects of organic inputs and straw mulching. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 38: 247–255
- Weisany, W., Y. Sohrabi, G. Heidari, A. Siosemardeh and K. Ghassemi-Golezani, 2012. Changes in antioxidant enzymes activity and plant performance by salinity stress and zinc application in soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Plant Omics*, 5: 60–67
- Welch, M., Ross and R. D. Graham, 2004. Breeding for micronutrients in staple food crops from a human nutrition perspective. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 55: 353–364
- Whiting, S.N., M. De Souza and N. Terry, 2001. Rhizosphere bacteria mobilize Zn for hyperaccumulator by *Thlaspi caerulescens*. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 35: 3144–3150
- Wu, Q.S., G.H. Li, and Y.N. Zou, 2011. Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth and nutrient acquisition of peach (*Prunus Persica* L. Batsch) seedlings. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* 21: 746–750
- Wu, S.C., K.C. Cheung and Y.M. Luo, 2006. Wong Effects of inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on metal uptake by *Brassica juncea*. *Environ. Pollut.*, 140: 124–135
- Xin-chun, L.U. Tian Xiao-hong, M. Wen-xuan, Y. Xi-wen, and L.I. Sheng-xiu, 2008. Effect of calcium carbonate content on availability of zinc in soil and zinc and iron uptake by wheat plants. *Soils*, 3: 0–18
- Yoo, S. Munsuk and B. R. James, 2002. Zinc extractability as a function of pH in organic waste-amended soils. *Soil Sci.*, 167: 246–259
- Yoo, S. Munsuk and B. R. James, 2003. Zinc extractability and plant uptake in flooded, organic waste-amended soils. *Soil Sci.*, 168: 686–698
- Zhao, K. and H.M. Selim, 2010. Adsorption-desorption kinetics of Zn in soils: influence of phosphate. *Soil Sci.*, 4: 145–153
- Zia, M.S., M. Aslam and M.B. Baig and A. Ashraf, 1999. Fertility issues and fertilizer management in rice-wheat system: a review. *Quart. Sci. Vision*, 5: 59–73

(Received 10 July 2013; Accepted 26 August 2013)