

# Evaluation of Iranian Onion Germplasms for Resistance to Thrips

SEYEDEH AZRA ALIMOUSAVI<sup>1</sup>, MOHAMMAD REZA HASSANDOKHT AND SAEID MOHARRAMPOUR<sup>†</sup>

*Department of Horticultural Science, Tarbiat Modares University, P. O. Box 14115- 336, Tehran, Iran*

<sup>†</sup>*Department of Entomology, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran*

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author's e-mail: mr\_hassan@modares.ac.ir; mrhassan401@yahoo.com

## ABSTRACT

The onion thrips (*Thrips tabaci* Lindeman) is the major foliage pest in field cultures of Iran and onion is one amongst those. Since, there is a little information about resistance of onion to thrips; this experiment was carried out on 15 Iranian onion genotypes to: a) find the resistant genotypes to thrips, b) evaluate the traits related to thrips resistance and c) identify promising materials for preservation and breeding programs. Results showed that genotypes "Meshkan", "Sefid-e-Kurdistan", "Sefid-e-Qom" and "Eghlid" had the lowest thrips infestation, percentage of leaf infestation, leaf wax in comparison to susceptible genotypes. Genotypes with glossy foliage were resistant and genotypes with nonglossy foliage were susceptible to thrips. Results suggested that resistant thrips could be used for crossing with susceptible genotypes and producing resistant cultivars.

**Key Words:** *Allium cepa* L; *Thrips tabaci* Lind; Susceptible; Leaf wax

## INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is one of the most important vegetable of alliaceae family which central Asia is regarded as a center of domestication (Brewster, 1994). Planted area and mean yield of onion in Iran are about 50000 ha and 30 t/ha, respectively (FAO, 2003). There are more than fifteen Iranian onion genotypes which cultivated by growers. Iranian onions have good horticultural characteristics e.g. long storability, good shape and marketability. The onion thrips (*Thrips tabaci* Lindeman) is the major foliage pest in field cultures of Iran. It is estimated that yield loss caused by thrips in Iran is more than 50%. Growers use different pesticides for several times, but most of them are ineffective, because a large number of thrips are always protected between the inner leaves of the onion plant, the pupal stage is spent in the soil, the species is very prolific, the generations overlap, natural parasites are lacking and the other host plants are numerous. Morphological characters, especially round or flat-sided leaves and open plant architecture, have been associated with low thrips densities on onion cultivar (Coudriet *et al.*, 1979). Patil *et al.* (1988) found that a small angle of contact of leaves between which insect prefer to live contributes toward an increase in the thrips population of the varieties possessing such leaves. Varieties with round leaves have smaller populations which, however, could be increased by fastening the round leaves together to cause more extensive contact between them (Painter, 1951). So shape (cross-section) of the leaves of onion seems to be one of the most important morphological markers, which have an influence on the oviposition of thrips. Jones *et al.* (1934) studied the effect of the leaf arrangement of onion variety on infestation by thrips, which lives and breed between the inner leaves. Susceptible onion

varieties have flat leaf surface pressed against each other, providing protected area for thrips to accumulate there. However, "White Persian" onion, the most resistant variety, has leaves with a circular cross-section that diverge a large angle, so providing for less protection (Jones *et al.*, 1934). White cultivars of onion seemed less susceptible to attack than red ones (Verma, 1996; Lall & Singh, 1968). Glossy foliage offers a degree of resistance probably due to differences in the chemistry of leaf waxes (Molenaar, 1984). Several studies (Nouri Moghaddam *et al.*, 2004; Hemmati & Benedictus, 2000) were indicated that resistant onion populations had lower leaf wax content than susceptible ones. Monzen (1926) opined that a greater pH concentration in the plant sap caused resistance of apple stocks to the woolly aphid. Comes (1917) stated that acidity of the plant sap caused by organic acids afforded protection and malic was considered the most toxic. Andrews (1921) mentioned that ratio of potash to phosphoric acid in resistant tea plant was twice than normal (4: 1 in comparison to 2: 1).

Since, there is little information about resistance of Iranian onion genotypes to thrips, this experiment was carried out 1 to find the resistant genotypes to thrips, 2 to (evaluate the traits related to thrips resistance & 3) to identify promising materials for preservation and breeding programs.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seeds of 15 Iranian onion genotypes were collected from the main production regions. Genotypes were "Sefid-e-Qom, Sefid-e-Kurdistan, Sefid-e-Kashan, Sefid-e-Naishabur, Sefid-e-Khomein, Ghermez-e-Azarshahr, Ghermez-e-Naishabur, Sourati-e-Kurdistan, Eghlid, Meshkan, Dorcheh-e-Esfahan, Gholighese-e-Zanjan,

**Table I. Leaf characteristics of 15 Iranian onion genotypes**

Genotypes	Leaf color	Leaf cross section	Leaf erectness
Sefid- e- Qom	light green	circular	prostrate
Meshkan	light green	circular	prostrate
Eghlid	light green	circular	prostrate
Sefid- e- Kurdistan	light green	circular	prostrate
Sefid- e- Kashan	medium	semi-circular	erect
Tarom	medium	semi-circular	erect
Dorcheh- e- Esfahan	medium	three-angle	erect
Kazebar	dark green	three-angle	erect
Ghermez- e- Azarshahr	dark green	semi-circular	erect
Sefid- e- Khomein	dark green	semi-circular	erect
Gholigheseh- e- Zanjan	dark green	semi-circular	erect
Sourati- e- Kurdistan	dark green	semi-circular	erect
Sefid- e- Naishabur	dark green	semi-circular	erect
Ghermez- e- Naishabur	dark green	semi-circular	erect
Shenat	dark green	semi-circular	erect

**Table II. Mean thrips number of 15 Iranian onion genotypes (Duncan's multiple range test at 5% level with standard deviation (S.D)).**

Genotypes	Thrips Number $\pm$ S.D.
Meshkan	09.5 $\pm$ 1.0 g
Sefid- e- Kurdistan	12.3 $\pm$ 2.9 fg
Sefid- e- Qom	18.2 $\pm$ 5.2 efg
Sefid- e- Naishabur	18.6 $\pm$ 0.6 ef
Eghlid	24.6 $\pm$ 5.4 efg
Sefid- e- Kashan	24.6 $\pm$ 2.4 ef
Shenat	25.0 $\pm$ 3.4 ef
Sefid- e- Khomein	30.6 $\pm$ 6.3 de
Tarom	34.6 $\pm$ 4.0 cd
Ghermez- e- Azarshahr	34.6 $\pm$ 1.3 de
Dorcheh- e- Esfahan	35.3 $\pm$ 2.4 cd
Kazebar	39.1 $\pm$ 1.6 cd
Ghermez- e- Naishabur	42.6 $\pm$ 9.6 bc
Gholigheseh- e- Zanjan	54.6 $\pm$ 4.8 ab
Sourati- e- Kurdistan	67.3 $\pm$ 7.0 a

Different letters in the columns indicate statistically significant differences.

Shenat, Tarom and Kazebar. The seeds were sown in the cold frame the last of February. The seedlings were transplanted to the field on April and were spaced 10 cm in rows, 2.5 m long and 60 cm apart. The experimental design was randomized complete block design with three replications. The trial consisted of 15 treatments and each plot consisted four rows and eighty plants. The experiment was done in two years (2003 & 2004). No pesticide was applied in the plots during the experiment through out the season. The irrigation was done every three days and weeds were removed three times with hand. The number of thrips was counted two times (60 & 75 days after planting). The thrips count was based on the population of thrips in five plants selected at random in each plot. Also the sign of thrips damage was evaluated in five plants of each plot. The scale for this trait was 2-5 (1-10% = 2, 10-25% = 3, 25-50% = 4, more than 50% = 5) (Smith *et al.*, 1994). The angle divergence of the two innermost leaves was measured by

conveyor (A.W. Faber, Germany). Quantity of leaf wax was determined by immersion 100 g undamaged fresh leaves in chloroform for 30 seconds (Stadler & Buser, 1984). Leaf temperature was measured by digital thermometer (Minitemp, Raytek Corporation, China) at 14 pm. pH of leaf was measured by pH meter (Metrohm 744, Swiss). Quantity of potash was determined by atomic absorption (AOAC, 1990) and phosphoric acid was determined by spectrophotometric method (Jeffery *et al.*, 1989). Analysis of variance of data was done by SPSS 13.0. The Pearson correlation was done between traits thrips number, leaf infestation and leaf wax.

## RESULTS

The 15 Iranian onion genotypes had different leaf color, leaf cross-section and leaf erectness (Table I). Results revealed that all genotypes could be divided in three groups based on leaf color. Genotypes "Sefid-e-Kurdistan", "Sefid-e-Qom", "Meshkan" and Eghlid" had light green color (glossy foliage) and these genotypes had circular leaf cross section and prostrate leaf (Table I).

Analysis of variance showed that mean thrips number per plant was highly significant difference between genotypes. The lowest and the highest thrips number were counted in genotypes "Meshkan" and "Sourati-e-Kurdistan", respectively (Table II). Other genotypes had intermediate thrips number per plant. The percentage of leaf infestation was highly significant and genotypes "Meshkan", "Sefid-e-Qom", and "Sefid-e-Kurdistan" showed the lowest leaf infestation percentage (data not shown). The genotype "Sourati-e-Kurdistan" showed the highest leaf infestation.

The angle divergence of the two innermost leaves showed significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) difference and the largest leaf angle was belong to genotypes "Sefid-e-Kurdistan", "Sefid-e-Qom", "Meshkan" and "Eghlid".

Quantity of leaf wax showed significant difference between genotypes and lowest leaf wax content was measured in genotypes "Meshkan" and "Eghlid". Genotypes "Sefid-e-Kurdistan" and "Sefid-e-Qom" were placed in the second group (Table III). Genotypes did not show any considerable difference for leaf pH and ratio of potash to phosphoric acid.

Although leaf temperature of glossy foliage genotypes, "Sefid-e-Kurdistan", "Sefid-e-Qom", "Meshkan" and Eghlid" was lower than dark green genotypes, this difference was not significant ( $P < 0.05$ ). Percentage of bulb dry matter showed significant difference and genotype "Meshkan" with glossy foliage had the highest and genotype "Sourati-e-Kurdistan" with nonglossy foliage had the lowest bulb dry matter (Table IV).

The Pearson correlation between traits: thrips number, leaf infestation and leaf wax showed a positive correlation between thrips number and leaf infestation, and negative one between thrips number and leaf wax content (Table V).

**Table III. Mean quantity leaf wax of 15 Iranian onion genotypes (Duncan's multiple range test at 5% level with standard deviation (S.D))**

Genotypes	Leaf wax $\pm$ S.D. (mg 100 g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight)
Eghlid	0.0020 $\pm$ 0.0020 d
Meshkan	0.0050 $\pm$ 0.0015 d
Sefid- e- Kurdistan	0.0070 $\pm$ 0.0010 cd
Sefid- e- Qom	0.0072 $\pm$ 0.0008 cd
Kazebbar	0.0070 $\pm$ 0.0006 cd
Dorcheh- e- Esfahan	0.1320 $\pm$ 0.0060 bcd
Sefid- e- Naishabur	0.0130 $\pm$ 0.0007 bcd
Tarom	0.0140 $\pm$ 0.0001 bcd
Sefid- e- Kashan	0.0142 $\pm$ 0.0006 bc
Shenat	0.0142 $\pm$ 0.0003 bc
Gholigheseh- e- Zanjan	0.0200 $\pm$ 0.0030 b
Ghermez- e- Azarshahr	0.0190 $\pm$ 0.0020 b
Sefid- e- Khomein	0.0200 $\pm$ 0.0030 ab
Sourati- e- Kurdistan	0.0270 $\pm$ 0.0080 a
Ghermez- e- Naishabur	0.0270 $\pm$ 0.0020 a

Different letters in the columns indicate statistically significant differences.

**Table IV. Mean bulb dry matter of 15 Iranian onion genotypes (Duncan's multiple range test at 5% level with standard deviation (S.D))**

Genotypes	Bulb dry matter (%) $\pm$ S.D.
Meshkan	15.50 $\pm$ 1.30 a
Sefid- e- Qom	13.50 $\pm$ 1.40 ab
Sefid- e- Kurdistan	12.90 $\pm$ 1.40 ab
Ghermez- e- Naishabur	12.20 $\pm$ 1.40 ab
Eghlid	11.70 $\pm$ 0.80 bc
Shenat	11.50 $\pm$ 0.30 bc
Sefid- e- Kashan	11.20 $\pm$ 1.10 bc
Gholigheseh- e- Zanjan	11.06 $\pm$ 0.40 bc
Kazebbar	11.05 $\pm$ 0.90 bc
Sefid- e- Khomein	10.90 $\pm$ 0.40 bc
Sefid- e- Naishabur	10.70 $\pm$ 0.10 bc
Dorcheh- e- Esfahan	10.60 $\pm$ 0.40 bc
Ghermez- e- Azarshahr	10.40 $\pm$ 0.40 bc
Tarom	10.30 $\pm$ 0.50 bc
Sourati- e- Kurdistan	08.40 $\pm$ 0.60 c

Different letters in the columns indicate statistically significant differences.

**Table V. The Pearson correlation between thrips number, leaf infestation and leaf wax content of 15 Iranian onion genotypes**

	Thrips No.	Leaf infestation (%)	Leaf wax (mg 100 g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight)
Thrips no	-	0.75**	0.76**
Leaf infestation (%)	0.75**	-	0.78**
Leaf wax (mg/ 100 gfw)	0.78**	0.78**	-

\*\* : Significant difference at 1% level.

## DISCUSSION

The resistance of the "White Iranian" onions ("Meshkan", "Sefid-e-Kurdistan", "Sefid-e-Qom" & "Eghlid") to thrips seemed to be determined by two factors: firstly, probably, controls those characters that hold the thrips population to a minimum, the other helps the plant to

withstand injury. Two or perhaps three characters apparently tended to restrict the thrips population: the shape of the leaves, the angle of divergence of the two innermost leaves and the distance apart of the leaf blades on the sheath column. Probably, the considerable importance is the difference in shape of the leaves. The genotypes with glossy foliage had lower thrips number per plant and percentage of damage sign than nonglossy foliage genotypes. In most genotypes (especially in "Sourati-e-Kurdistan") the leaf blades had flat side, these sides are face to face and, in young leaves closely appressed, protecting the thrips larvae against insect enemies and adverse weather conditions. In glossy foliage genotypes the leaves are almost circular in cross section, reducing protection to a minimum.

The wide angle between two innermost emerged leaves, especially in the young plant, is another character of glossy foliage genotypes that help to restrict the thrips population by reducing the protective environment to a minimum. Still, another character, probably of some importance, is greater vertical distance between the leaf blades. Each new leaf extends its sheath farther beyond the one encircling it than in other commonly cultivated varieties. This habit of growth produces an extremely long sheath column.

Genotypes with glossy foliage were resistant to thrips and leaf wax of these genotypes was lower than susceptible ones. Several studies (Nouri Moghaddam *et al.*, 2004; Hemmati & Benedictus, 2000) were indicated that resistant onion populations had lower leaf wax content than susceptible ones. Thrips injury becomes most conspicuous following the first hot days of summer when there is a desiccation and dying back of the foliage, but it is not known just how high temperatures accelerates thrips injury. This typical injury was most prominent in genotypes with dark green foliage (nonglossy foliage) and is apparently absent in glossy foliage genotypes.

Leaf color is a main factor in resistance to thrips injury, because the temperature in leaf tissues of glossy foliage genotypes is lower than genotypes having darker green foliage. Similarly, in the tomato fruit, dark green areas to be more subject to injury by sunscald than light green areas, because of the greater absorption of light with a consequent higher temperature in these areas (Harvey, 1924).

Although Comes (1917) and Monzen (1926) stated that pH of plant sap or acidity of plant sap in resistant apple stock is higher than susceptible, but we could not find any relationship between pH of leaf sap and resistance to thrips. Ratio of potash to phosphoric acid did not show any difference in resistant and susceptible genotypes. It was on the contrast of result of Andrews (1921).

Glossy foliage genotypes had higher bulb dry matter percentage than susceptible ones, although we could not find any difference between leaf dry matter percentage of glossy and nonglossy foliage genotypes.

Finally, the new attempts should be analyzing the leaf

waxes extracted from resistant and susceptible genotypes for determining the chemistry of leaf waxes. Also, evaluation other Iranian genotypes for resistance to thrips and measuring other important traits that may related to resistance to thrips should be study in detail.

## REFERENCES

- Andrews, E.A., 1921. Some notes on attempts to produce immunity from insect attack on tea. 4<sup>th</sup> *Entomol. Meeting, Pusa Rep. Proc.*, 4: 56–9
- AOAC, 1990. *Official Methods of Analysis*. Horwitz, W. (ed.), 12<sup>th</sup> Ed., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington D.C., USA
- Brewster, J.L., 1994. Onion and other vegetable alliums. *CABI Int. Publ.*, p. 236
- Comes, H., 1917. Prophylaxis in vegetable pathology. *Biol. Agric. Technica Econ. Madrid*, 9: 508–14
- Coudriet, D.L., A.N. Kishaba, J.D. McCreight and G.W. Bohn, 1979. Varietal resistance in onion to thrips. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 72: 614–5
- FAO, 2003. *FAO Production Yearbook*, 57: 153
- Harvey, R.B., 1924. Sunscalds of tomatoes. *Minnesota Studies Plant Sci. Studies Biol. Sci.*, 5: 229–34
- Hemmati, F. and P. Benedictus, 2000. Study of onion germplasms of Iranian gene bank for resistance to thrips (*Thrips tabaci* Lind). In: *Proc. of 14<sup>th</sup> Iranian Plant Protect. Cong.* September 5–8, 2000, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- Jeffery, G.H., J. Basset, J. Mendham and R.C. Denney, 1989. *Vogels Textbook of Quantitative Chemical Analysis*. 5<sup>th</sup> Ed., pp: 702–3. Longman Scientific and Technical Pub., London
- Jones, H.A., S.F. Bailey and S.L. Emsweller, 1934. Thrips resistance in the onion. *Hilgardia*, 8: 215–32
- Lall, B.S. and L.M. Singh, 1968. Biology and control of the onion thrips in India. *Indian J. Econ. Entomol.*, 61: 676–9
- Molenaar, N.D., 1984. Genetics, thrips (*Thrips tabaci* L.) resistance and epicuticular wax characteristics of nonglossy onions (*Allium cepa* L.). *Dissertation Abstracts, B (Science & Engineering)* 45, 1075B
- Monzen, K., 1926. The woolly apple aphid in Japan with special reference to its life history and susceptibility of the host plant. *Verhandl 3<sup>rd</sup> Int. Entomol. Cong., Zurich*, 1925: 249–75
- Nouri Moghaddam, R., N. Jaliani, J. Habibi and A. Aliakbar, 2004. Study of resistant resources of Iranian onion landraces to thrips. In: *Proc. 16<sup>th</sup> Iranian Plant Protec. Cong.*, Aug – Sept., 2004. University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran
- Painter, R.H., 1951. *Insect Resistance in Crop Plants*. p. 520. MacMillan, New York, USA
- Patil, A.P., R.N. Nawale, D.S. Ajri and P.R. Moholkar, 1988. Field screening of onion cultivars for their reaction to thrips. *Indian Cocoa Arecanut. Species, J.*, 12: 10–1
- Smith, C.M., Z.R. Khan and M.D. Pathak, 1994. *Techniques for Evaluating Insect Resistance in Crop Plants*. p. 320. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- Stadler, E. and H.R. Buser, 1984. Defense chemicals in leaf surface wax synergistically stimulate oviposition by a phytophagous insect. *Experientia*, 40: 1157–9
- Verma, S.K., 1996. Studies on the host preference of the onion thrips (*Thrips tabaci* Lind.) to the varieties of onion. *Indian J. Entomol.*, 28: 396–8

(Received 15 August 2006; Accepted 23 October 2006)