

Full Length Article

Phylogenetic Analyses of the Trithorax Homologs and Related Proteins in *Cicer arietinum*

Muhammad Qasim¹, Muhammad Azeem², Muhammad Zubair¹, Uzma Qaiser³, Asad Ali Shah¹, Habibullah Nadeem¹, Hamid Manzoor⁵, Sabir Hussain⁴, Bilal Ahmad¹ and Farrukh Azeem^{1*}

¹Department of Bioinformatics and Biotechnology, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

²Department of Botany, Government of College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

³School of Biological Sciences, Punjab University, Lahore

⁴Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

⁵Institute of Biotechnology and Molecular Biology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan

*For correspondence: azeuaf@hotmail.com

Abstract

Epigenetic regulation is a major player for the determination of cell shape and identity. The information, related to gene expression regulation at epigenetic level, is partially preserved in histone proteins as reversible covalent modifications. An important group of chromatin modifying enzymes is comprised of the histone lysine methyltransferases (HKMTs). The *ATX1* is a member of Class III HKMTs, which is involved in flowering time regulation and drought stress response in Arabidopsis. Present research involves *in silico* identification, characterization and expression analysis of *ATX*-like genes in chickpea. We have identified eight putative members of class III HKMTs in chickpea, where *CarATX-like* genes harbor the characteristic protein domains like SET, PHD and TUDOR. Moreover, an analysis of gene promoter predicted an occurrence of potential stress response and it was confirmed through real-time RT-qPCR. Current study is the very first step for studying chromatin modifying genes of HKMT group in chickpea. It will be helpful for further characterization of these genes in this crop plant. © 2018 Friends Science Publishers

Keywords: Histone lysine methyltransferases; Trithorax homologs; Chickpea; Drought stress; Cicer arietinum

Introduction

Plant growth and development is significantly constrained by severe environmental conditions like heat, salinity and drought stress. Climate change is also becoming a significant threat for the crop productivity and agricultural sustainability (Kole *et al.*, 2015). Land plants have evolved a number of specialized strategies to neutralize harsh climatic conditions, which include but not limited to stress responsive signaling pathways. These pathways mediate plant molecular, metabolic and physiological responses for the improvement of plant resistance and survival (Lata and Prasad, 2011). Such pathways are tightly regulated at genetic level by different groups of genes. Apart from conventional genetics, some epigenetic mechanisms are also drawing attention of modern day research.

Epigenetic regulation is a major player for the determination of cell shape and identity. The information related to epigenetic gene expression regulation is partially preserved in histone proteins as reversible covalent modifications. In fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*), there

are two groups of genes that have successfully been characterized for epigenetic regulation i.e., the *trithorax* group (trxG) and the *Polycomb* group (PcG). Members of these groups are involved in an antagonistic regulation of development related genes (Simon and Tamkun, 2002). At protein level, PcG and trxG genes harbor a characteristic protein domain called "Suppressor of variegation 3-9, Enhancer of zeste, TRX" or the SET domain. This domain is required for histone lysine methyltransferase (HKMT) activity for the posttranslational modification of lysines (Lys) on H3 and H4 histones as repressive and activating marks (Lachner *et al.*, 2004). The SET domain containing gene family with conserved HKMT activity has also been identified in plants (Pontvianne *et al.*, 2010).

In plants, there are seven classes of genes with HKMTs activity. The class III HKMTs play important role in regulation of flowering time. In the model plant *Arabidopsis*, a genome wide analysis identified seven members of HKMTs i.e., five homologues of fruit fly Trithorax gene named as Arabidopsis Trithorax-like proteins 1-5 (ATX1-5) and two Arabidopsis Trithorax-like related proteins as

To cite this paper: Qasim, M., M. Azeem, M. Zubair, U. Qaiser, A.A. Shah, H. Nadeem, H. Manzoor, S. Hussain, B. Ahmad and F. Azeem, 2018. Phylogenetic analyses of the trithorax homologs and related proteins in *Cicer arietinum. Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 20: 575–582

ATXR3/ATXR7 (Avramova, 2009). The proteins of Class III HKMTs are characterized by the presence of particular domains like SET, post-SET, PHD (plant homeodomain), FYRN/FYRC (F/Y-rich N or N-terminus) and the PWWP (proline-tryptophane-tryptophane-proline) domain (Alvarez-Venegas and Avramova, 2001). The PHD domain is thought to interact with trimethylated H3K4 (Peña et al., 2006). Different SET DOMAIN genes have been identified to show TrxG-like H3K4-methyltransferase activity in Arabidopsis (Berr et al., 2011). The ATX1 and ATX2 proteins are involved in trimethylation and dimethylation of H3K4, respectively (Pien et al., 2008; Saleh et al., 2008a). At plant level, genetic disruption of ATX1 gene results into early flowering plants with altered leaf morphogenesis (Alvarez-Venegas et al., 2003; Saleh et al., 2008b). A double mutant plant for ATX1 and ATX2 exhibits even more earliness in flowering time than *atx1*, which suggests an overlapping role of ATX1 and ATX2 intemporal regulation of flowering (Pien et al., 2008; Saleh et al., 2008b). At the same time, ATX1 and ATX2 are potentially involved in the regulation different groups of genes. It was observed that atx1 mutation could affect almost 7% of overall gene expression while atx2 mutation affected 0.7% of all the expressed genes (Alvarez-Venegas et al., 2006). Other ATX like proteins (ATX3, ATX4, ATX5) affect H3K4 di/trimethylation of genes related to vegetative and reproductive development. Similarly, the ATXR3/SDG2 is also involved in regulating genome-wide H3K4me3 profiles but for distinct loci. It suggests the existence of separate regulatory pathways (Yao et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2017). ATXR3/SDG2 has a more important and strong role in H3K4me3 deposition. The knockdown atxr3 plants exhibit pleiotropic phenotypes, which include impaired development of male/female gametophyte and dwarfism (Berr et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2010; Pinon et al., 2017). ATXR7/SDG25 play important role for the regulation of Flowering Locus C (Berr et al., 2009).

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) is the second most cultivated legume pulse crop. The grains of this plant contain higher amounts of proteins, fats and carbohydrates (Rasool *et al.*, 2015). The chickpea crop is generally cultivated on marginal lands under sever conditions (Singh *et al.*, 1998). The recent completion of genomic and transcriptomic sequencing projects of chickpea present valuable opportunity for genome wide comparative and evolutionary analysis (Jain *et al.*, 2013; Varshney *et al.*, 2013).

Present research work is comprised of identification and characterization of ATX1 homologue in chickpea by using *in silico* approach. Furthermore, phylogenetic relationships have also been studied among homologues of class III HKMTs in commercially important crops. In the end, expression analysis was performed to get an insight of potential functional properties of *ATX-like* genes in chickpea.

Materials and Methods

Genome Wide Identification, Multiple Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analysis

Protein sequences of Arabidopsis class III HKMTs were used as query to identify homologous genes in Monocots (*Brachypodium distachyon, Sorghum bicolor, Zea mays and Oryza sativa,*) and dicots (*Populus trichocarpa, Citrus sinensis, Brassica rapa, Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Cicer arietinum*) using online NCBI-BLAST program. The multiple sequence alignment was performed using Clustal Omega at EMBL (http://www.ebi.ac.uk) by using default parameters. The analysis of phylogenetic relationships was performed using default parameters of Neighbor-Joining method in Mega 7 with 1000 bootstrap iterations (Kumar *et al.,* 2016).

Prediction of Gene Structure, Chromosomal Locations and Conserved Domains/Motifs and Promoter Analysis

Genomic DNA and CDS sequences were analyzed for the identification of coding and non-coding regions, by using an online tool called "Gene Structure Display Server" or "GSDS" (Hu *et al.*, 2015). The MapChart program was used to generate a chromosomal map of putative *ATX-like* loci. Conserved motifs within protein sequences were predicted by using default parameters of MEME server (Bailey *et al.*, 2006). For promoter analysis, genomic sequence 1000 bp upstream ATG was used as query in PlantPan2 (http://plantpan2.itps.ncku.edu.tw/promoter.php).

Plant Material and Stress Imposition

The seeds of chickpea (genotype K-70005, Kabuli type) were obtained from National Institute of Agriculture and Biotechnology (NIAB), Faisalabad, Pakistan. Plants were grown under controlled conditions: $22/20^{\circ}$ C Day/Night temperature, 16/8-h light/dark photoperiod and 65% humidity. At the age of 15 days after germination, plants were subjected to drought, salinity, heat and osmotic stress. For drought stress, plants were subjected to water shortage for a period of 10 days. Similarly, 100 mM NaCl (72 h) and 100 mM mannitol (72 h) were directly applied in pots for salt and osmotic stress respectively. For heat stress, chickpea seedlings were exposed to 42° C for 24 h. After the treatments, leaf samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C until use.

Total RNA Extraction, Digital Expression Analysis and Real-Time RT qPCR

The total RNA was extracted from leaf samples using RNeasy Plant mini kit (QIAGEN; Cat No./ID: 74904) following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quantification was performed by using NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Colibri spectrometer, Titertek Berthold, Germany). One microgram of total RNA was reverse transcribed using Maxima H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit, with dsDNase (Cat#K1681). Digital Expression data of the CarATX-like genes was downloaded from Garg et al. (2015). The real-time RT qPCR was performed using a real-time PCR detection system (CFX96 Touch[™] Real-Time PCR Detection System) with the iTaq Universal SYBR Green Super Mix. Gene specific primers were designed by using online tool "Oligo Calculator" (http://mcb.berkeley.edu/labs/krantz/tools/oligocalc.html) and primer specificity was verified by NCBI Primer-BLAST program (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/). Following primer sequences were used for CrATX1-like LOC101490018, (Loc#: forward primer. 5'-GCTCGAAGTGAGCCATATG -3'; reverse primer, 5'-CACTTG CAGCTGCATCATC -3') expression analysis. The C. arietinum CarGAPDH gene (accession number: XM 004515773.2, forward primer. 5'-GAAGCTTGAGAAGGCCGCTA-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TGCCTTTCAACTTGCCCTCA-3') was used as an internal control for normalization of the expression data. Two independent experiments were performed to confirm expression data.

Results

Identification and Phylogenetic Analysis of ATX Like Genes

To identify the *ATX-like* genes in *Cicer arietinum*, class III HKMTs protein sequences of *Arabidopsis thaliana* were used as query. A total of 8 *ATX-like* genes (with a predicted SET domain) were identified in the chickpea genome (Table 1). The chromosome analysis revealed that chickpea *ATX-like* genes are widely distributed in 5 of the 8 chromosomes (Fig. 1). Chromosomes 2, 6 and 8 do not contain *ATX-like* genes. However, *CarATXR3-like2* (XP_004513544.1) gene model has not yet been assigned to a particular chromosome.

To examine the phylogenetics of *ATX-like* genes in chickpea, a phylogenetic tree was constructed from *ATX-like* protein sequences of nine different plant species (Fig. 2). The protein sequences of Arabidopsis *ATX-like* genes were used to identify homologous genes in *Brachypodium distachyon, Oryza sativa, Zea mays, Sorghum bicolor, Populus trichocarpa, Citrus sinensis, Brassica rapa* and *Cicer arietinum.* Furthermore, the *ATXR3-like* and *ATXR7-like* genes were also identified. It is because ATX1-5, ATXR3 and ATXR7 belong to class III histone lysine methyltransferases (HKMTs) superfamily.

According to the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2), these genes are grouped into four clusters of orthologous genes (COGs). Group I contain *ATX1/ATX2* like gene, while *ATX3/ATX4/ATX5* like genes are present in Group II. Similarly, *ATXR3* and ATXR7 like genes are located in Group III and Group IV, respectively. Although eight *ATX-like* genes were predicted in chickpea, phylogenetic tree has shown that there is a single *ATX1-like* (*CarATX1-like*), two



Fig. 1: Chromosomal Map of Class III HKMTs in chickpea

Chromosomal Mapping of Class III HKMTs genes in chickpea was constructed by using the MapChart program and gene positions available in NCBI-GENE database

ATX3-like (*CarATX3-like1* and *CarATX3-like2*), one *ATX4-like* (*CarATX4-like*), one *ATX5-like* (*CarATX5-like*), two *ATXR3-like* (*CarATXR3-like1* and *CarATXR3-like3*) and one *ATXR7-like* (*ATXR7-like*) gene in chickpea. It is worth noting that homologue of ATX2 is absent in chickpea and monocot plant species under study (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

In each of four groups (Fig. 2), class III HKMTs genes from monocots and dicots are present in distinct subgroups. The *ATX-like* genes from chickpea do not fall in same clade as Arabidopsis but the members of *Brassica rapa* are found in the same clade as Arabidopsis.

Conserved Domain and Conserved Motifs Analysis

Each protein carries certain conserved domains and motifs, which are required for protein functioning and other processes. The domain architecture of ATX-like genes was analyzed for existence of known domains. All members of HKMTs superfamily contain the characteristic SET domain (Table 1). Additionally, Class III HKMTs proteins bear several highly-conserved protein domains including PWWP, FYRC, GYF, TUDOR and PHD domain. Although, a very scanty information is available for biochemical characterization ATX-like genes, the presence of various highly-conserved domains within this class of proteins may suggest diverse functions for these proteins (Aquea et al., 2011). In chickpea ATX-like proteins, the domain structure is conserved likewise Arabidopsis (Table 1). The PWWP, PHD, ePHD and SET domains are commonly present among all members. Additionally, the FYRC and FYRN domains are present in Group I of class III HKMTs (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

The TUDOR domain is the signature domain of ATX1 protein which is present in ATX1-like proteins of *Oryza sativa, Brassica rapa* and *Cicer arietinum* only. Group II proteins contain an additional PHD domain. Most of conserved domains are absent in Group III and IV members.

Gene	-	Protein structural domains											
	Chr.#	Exons	Protein Access#	TUDOR	PWWP	FYRC	FYRN	PHD	PHD	ePHD	SET	Post-SET	GYF
Arabidopsis thaliana	-	<u>a:</u>	ND 050150 -	015 5 15	000 000	500 535	440 :==		<11 5	660 5 2 -	000 1015		
ATX1	2	24	NP_850170.1	215-248	299-389	508-597	448-497		611-557	668-784	898-1017		
A1A2	1	24 22	NP_1/20/4.0		313-403	524-610	404-513	265 115	028-074	602 714	919-1058 920 054		
A1A5 ATY4	5	23	NP_001078520.1 NP_104520.3		205 308			401 451	504 640	640 760	886 1004		
ATX5	+ 5	23	NP 200155 2		203-308			401-451	609-655	664-775	901-1024		
ATXR3	4	21	NP 193253.4		221 324			415 400	007 055	004 775	1775-1906		
ATXR7	5	19	NP 001330664.1								1249-1372	1372-1388	
Cicer arietinum													
CarATX1-like	3	26	XP_004492037.1	230-263	315-406	524-593	464-513		623-669	684-798	926-1043		
CarATX3-like1	7	23	XP_004510675.1		220-323			402-452	585-631		876-1013		
CarATX3-like2	3	23	XP_004494815.1		209-312			383-433	566-612		854-972		
CarATX4-like	5	23	XP_004502638.1		245-348			441-491	634-680	689-800	923-1046		
CarATX5-like	4	24	XP_012570669.1		223-326			420-470	613-559	668-779	905-1027		
CarATXR3-likel		21	XP_004487363.1								1801-1932		
CarATXD7 lile	NA 1	20	XP_004513544.1								1805-1996	1020 1046	166 216
CarATAK/-like	1	23	AP_004487925.1								1109-1230	1230-1240	100-210
BrATX1_like	Δ4	24	XP 009141151 1	179-221	271-359	479-559	419-468		582-628	639-760	874-998		
BrATX2-like	A9	24	XP 009118571 1	179-221	259-349	470-556	410-459		574-620	631-752	865-984		
BrATX3-like	A7	23	XP 009104342.1		195-297			368-418	564-610	619-730	857-973		
BrATX4-like	A8	23	XP 018508983.1		153-256			305-356	497-543	552-63	790-912		
BrATX5-like	A10	23	XP_009119888.1		206-309			401-452	596-642	651-762	889-1011		
BrATXR3-like	A5	20	XP_009144717.1								1837-1968		
BrATXR7 like	A9	20	XP_018510432.1										
Citrus sinesis													
CsATX1-like	5	24	XP_006478892.1		334-421	542-613	484-533		650-696	712-828	948-1067		
CsATX3-like	NA	24	XP_006491269.1		232-335			419-469	602-648	704 015	894-1016		
CsATX4-like	5	23	XP_006478053.1		259-362			455-505	649-695	704-815	941-1063		
CsATXP7 like	Z NA	20	XP_006469/38.1 VD 015281612.1								1886-2017		
Populus trichocarpa	INA	15	AF_015561015.1								1130-1279		
PtATX1_like1	I GII	25	XP 002301643 2		294-383	486-554	433-477		595-641	652-773	900-1019		
PtATX1-like2	LGXIV	26	XP 002320433.2		300-387	508-585	448-497		615-661	672-793	920-1039		
PtATX3-like1	LGII	23	XP 002302628.2		233-336	200 202		434-488	617-663	0.2.00	909-1027		
PtATX3-like2	LGXIV	23	XP_002320864.2		223-323			409-463	592-638		885-1007		
PtATX4-like	LGXV	23	XP_002321418.2		295-398				688-734	743-854	980-1102		
PtATX5-like	LGXII	23	XP_002318412.2		300-404			497-547	690-736	745-856	982-1104		
PtATXR3-like1	LGVII	17	XP_002310475.2								1791-1922		
PtATXR3-like2	LGXVII	17	XP_006372997.1								1917-2048		
PtATXR7-like1	LGV	19	XP_002307834.2								1010-1133	1133-1149	212-267
PtATXR /-like2	LGII	18	XP_002300607.2								1160-1283		246-284
Sorgnum bicolor	12		EED06448 2		250 250	400	175			625	850		
ShATX3-like	3	22	XP 002454931		230-330	400	475		565-611	023	852-970		
ShATX4-like	3	23	XP 002456070		214-510			432-485	622-668		910-1028		
SbATXR3-like	7	19	XP 002443954		210 517			102 100	022 000		1602-1743		
SbATXR7-like	8	14	XP_002442769.1								900-1023		
Zea mays													
ZmATX1-like	7	26	XP_008651792.1		267-353	470-532	412-461		627-672	683-803	921-1041		
ZmATX3-like	3	23	XP_008673525.1		186-288			375-424	558-604		845-963		
ZmATX4-like	10	22	XP_008661478.1								1705-1836		
ZmATXR3-like	4	21	XP_008678235.1								1707-1838		
ZmATXR/-like	10	14	XP_008663792								981-1104		
Oryza sanva	0	26	VD 015612202 1	100 210	264 250	167 512	100 159		675 612	672 742	961 094		
OsATX3-like	э 1	20	XP 015611850 1	100-219	204-330	407-343	407-438	379_428	563_600	023-743	849-968		
OsATX4-like	1	23	XP 015621708 1		249-355			439-488	628-874		916-1034		
OsATXR7-like	12	15	BAT18060.1		2.7 555				5_0 0/4		1037-1155		
Brachypodium distachvon		-											
BdATX1-like	4	25	XP_010238960.2		272-358	473-549	415-464		573-618	629-749	867-990		
BdATX3-like	2	23	XP_014754682.1		211-313			400-449	585-631		868-990		
BdATX4-like	2	23	XP_003569477.1		229-335			419-468	608-564		896-1014		
BdATXR3-like	3	21	XP_010234335.1								1721-1852		
BdATXR7-like	4	19	XP_010237026.1								1055-1178	1178-1194	

Table1: Details of genomic and proteomic features for ATX homologues in different mono and dicot plant species





Phylogenetic analysis of Class III HKMTs was performed by using respective sequences from *Brachypodium distachyon, Oryza sativa, Zea mays, Sorghum bicolor, Populus trichocarpa, Citrus sinensis, Brassica rapa, Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Cicer arietinum*. Tree was constructed by using the MEGA7.0

Conserved motif analysis complements the conserved domains analysis. Therefore, to further check for conserved regions, motif analysis was carried out with the MEME web server (Fig. 3). The results indicated that motifs 7 was conserved across four groups. Motifs 1, 2, 3 and 5 were representative of Group II, while motif 4 was present only in Group I and II. Motif 6 appeared in all groups except Group IV.

Gene Structure Prediction and Promoter Analysis

The availability of the Chickpea genome enabled us to analyze and compare the gene structures of *ATX-like* genes between Arabidopsis and chickpea. Gene structure prediction of *ATX-like* genes is shown in Fig. 4. Comparative analysis showed that the location of exons in the *ATX-like* genes was conserved within each Group. The length of introns is comparatively more in chickpea than Arabidopsis. Moreover, the number of introns is also group specific. Overall, 18–23 introns were predicted in Arabidopsis *ATXlike* genes while 19–25 in chickpea.

Gene promoters act as molecular switches for respective genes. There are particular sequences called *cis*-elements or regulatory elements in the promoters that are the binding sites of regulatory proteins called "transcription factors". These transcription factors direct the regulation of genes. A comprehensive promoter analysis was performed to identify potential *cis*-elements in promoter regions of *ATX1* and *CarATX1-like* genes. Only these two genes were selected for promoter analyses because unlike other members, more functional information is available for *ATX1* gene. This analysis is supposed to help us hypothesize possible involvement of respective *ATX1* gene in a particular stimulus response.

An online tool, PlantPan2, was used for promoter analysis (Chang *et al.*, 2008). User provided motifs (NAC Core sequence, ABRE, MYB/MYC recognition site, W-box, GT-1 motif, EEC, I box, TAAAG motif, CBFHV) were used as query in this analysis (Table 2). Most of these elements were present in both promoter sequences, which indicates possible conservation of regulatory mechanisms. Moreover, some elements were present distinctly in both promoter sequences, which indicates that variable regulatory mechanisms might play role in the regulation of these genes.

Gene Expression Analysis

In order to better understand physiological role of *ATX-like* genes in chickpea, digital expression analysis was performed (Fig. 5A). Accordingly, expression of *CarATX1-like*, *CarATX4-like*, *CarATX5-like* and *CarATXR3-like* was modulated in response to environmental stress i.e., drought, salt and cold stress. Interestingly, *CarATX1-like* gene expression was more pronounced as compared to other genes.

To validate the expression of *CarATX1-like* gene, realtime RT-qPCR analysis was performed (Fig. 5B). Chickpea plants were subjected to drought, salt, heat and mannitol stress. The expression analysis was performed on RNA extracted from leaves.

It was observed that *CarATX1-like* transcript was strongly upregulated in response to drought stress, while a weak response was observed for other stresses.

Regulatory Element	Core sequence	ATX1	CarATX1-like	Functions
NAC Core sequence	CACG		2	Response to various stress signals
-	CGTR	3	5	
ABRE	ACGTG		1	Response to ABA signals
MYB recognition site	WAACCA	2	1	Response to drought stress and ABA signals
MYC recognition site	CANNTG	10	4	Response to drought, ABA and cold signals
W-box	TTGAC	3	2	Response to SA, GA and pathogenesis signals
	TGAC	6	5	
GT-1 motif	GAAAAA	4		Response to pathogen and salt signals
EEC	GANTTNC	4	3	Response to CO ₂ signals
I box	GATAA	4	2	Response to light signals
TAAAG motif	TAAAG	4	1	Response to K ⁺ influx channel of guard cells
CBFHV	RYCGAC		1	CBFs are also known as dehydration-responsive element (DRE) binding proteins (DREBs)

Table 2: Comparison of cis-elements in 1Kb promoter regions of ATX1 and CarATX1-like genes



Fig. 3: Comparative Analysis of Conserved Motifs between Arabidopsis and Chickpea

A relative comparison of domain positions and conservation in Arabidopsis and chickpea was performed by using an online tool MEME. Different colors have been used to represent different motifs. Phylogenetic tree was added for cluster-wise arrangement of genes



Fig. 4: Comparative Gene Structure Analysis

Comparison of Class III HKMTs gene structure in Arabidopsis and chickpea, to determine the conservation of coding and non-coding regions in both species

Discussion

Plant growth and development is severely affected by unfavorable environmental conditions. The major abiotic stresses include drought, osmotic, salinity and heat stress. Plants have adopted a number of sensory and response mechanisms to cope these stresses (Rasul *et al.*, 2017). Over the years, a lot of information have been gathered about genetic mechanisms governing plant responses. Currently, a serious attention has been given to epigenetic mechanisms. It is important for a number of biological processes including transcriptional regulation and formation of heterochromatin (Liu *et al.*, 2010).

Histone methylation is also found to be involved in gametogenesis, embryogenesis, seed development, flowering

time, branching and floral identity (Jarillo *et al.*, 2009; Pontvianne *et al.*, 2010; Chen *et al.*, 2017). Current study involves identification, sequence characterization, phylogenetics and expression analysis of Class III HKMTs in chickpea.

There are seven classes of HKMTs and among them Class III HKMTs contain seven members in model plant Arabidopsis (Pontvianne *et al.*, 2010). In current study, 8 genes were predicted as potential members of Class III HKMTs (Table 1) in chickpea. Phylogenetic analysis demonstrated that these genes fall into 4 subgroups, where *Arabidopsis* and *Brassica rapa* fall in the same clade. It is an indication that these genes are highly conserved in family Brassicaceae. It is further strengthened by the existence of *ATX2-like* genes only in Arabidopsis and *Brassica rapa*.



Fig. 5: Expression Analysis of *CarATX-like* Genes in Chickpea Leaves in Response to Abiotic Stresses

Digital expression of Class III HKMTs genes was taken from Garg *et al.* (2015). Shoot-DS, Shoot-SS and Shoot-CS stand for gene expression in aerial plant parts in response to drought stress, salt stress and cold stress, respectively. For drought, salt and cold stress treatments, chickpea seedlings (10 day-old) were kept (for 5 h) on folds of tissue paper, 150 mM NaCl solution and at $4\pm1^{\circ}$ C, respectively

Expression of *CarATX-like* gene has been presented for aerial plant parts. Expression was quantified through real-time RT-qPCR in control and stress conditions (Drought, Heat, Mannitol and Salt). Expression has been presented in the form of fold change in expression. Error bars indicate the standard deviation from mean (three replicates, t-test, P<0.05). This experiment was repeated twice to confirm results of expression analysis. For statistical analysis, two sample t-test was performed at 95%

The ATX2 might have been formed through duplication of ATX1. The monocots and dicots appeared in different clusters and among them chickpea appeared as independent clade (Fig. 2). It appears as the Class III HKMTs are following an independent trajectory of evolution in legumes. Therefore, a more detailed analysis is suggested involving HKMTs in chickpea. Gene structure analysis revealed conservation of coding and non-coding regions. But the overall length of non-coding regions was higher in chickpea. The non-coding genic region affects the gene expression (Colinas et al., 2008). The lengthy non-coding genic region could also prevent the re-localization of the gene. Consequently, ensuring the transcribed region attached with the matrix and decreasing the chances of variability of expression. Twelve cis- acting regulatory elements (Table 2) were searched in one kb upstream region of ATX1 transcription initiation codon and its homologue in chickpea. These elements are generally involved in gene regulation in response to stress conditions. Eight of these elements are commonly present in both promoter sequences. The extent of the specificity of gene expression depends on *cis* regulatory elements and their binding and interaction with the transcription factor. It suggests a potentially common

regulatory network for ATX1 gens and its counterpart in chickpea.

The chromatin modifying elements play with chromatin structure monitor transcriptional to reprogramming during plant development and stress response. The ATX1 is involved in transcriptional regulation of a number of genes including drought stress related genes. A comprehensive study has identified a group of genes that are simultaneously regulated by AtMTM and ATX1 under drought stress (Ding et al., 2009). We have observed an increase in transcript abundance of CarATX1-like gene in response to drought stress. It is tempting to predict that it is involved in similar functions both in chickpea and Arabidopsis. In Arabidopsis, ATX1 is believed to be involved in discrete dehydration stress response pathways (Ding et al., 2011a) that might be ABA-dependent and/or ABAindependent. The ATX1 is involved in the regulation of regulatory proteins including transcription factors that participate in multiple signaling cascades (Shafiq et al., 2014; Hou et al., 2016). Another report describes that increases amount drought stress of PtdIns5P (phosphatidylinositol; a cellular lipid signaling molecule) protein, which binds ATX1, shift its subcellular localization, represses its activity and subsequently down-regulate ATX1 target genes (Ding et al., 2011b, a; Hou et al., 2016). There are strong chances that likewise interactions may occur in chickpea and regulate plant development and stress response. A whole genome scanning and comparative analysis would be desirable to detect such counterparts.

Conclusion

It is well established that the alterations in chromatin structure regulate plant response to abiotic stresses and it has offered novel dimensions in plant research. However, the entire correlation network between abiotic stress responses and epigenetic information, is given poor attention in marginal plants like chickpea. The present study concluded that the computational analysis of ATX-like genes in Arabidopsis and chickpea provide the fundamental information regarding phylogeny, chromosomal mapping, gene structure, conserved motifs, and promoter analysis. The expression analysis has given important indication of possible functional properties of ATX1-like gene in chickpea. Reliable and higher resolution chromatin studies are undoubtedly required to reveal how HKMTs are involved in gene regulation specially under environmental stresses like drought and heat stress. The Class III HKMTs are highly conserved between model plant Arabidopsis and chickpea. Therefore, chickpea could be used as model for other legume plants for the study of HKMTs.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to International Foundation for Science (IFS) for providing funds of current research (IFS project number C/5684-1).

References

- Alvarez-Venegas, R. and Z. Avramova, 2001. Two Arabidopsis homologs of the animal trithorax genes: a new structural domain is a signature feature of the trithorax gene family. *Gene*, 271: 215–221
- Alvarez-Venegas, R., M. Sadder, A. Hlavacka, F. Baluska, Y. Xia, G. Lu, A. Firsov, G. Sarath, H. Moriyama, J.G. Dubrovsky and Z. Avramova, 2006. The *Arabidopsis* homolog of trithorax, ATX1, binds phosphatidylinositol 5-phosphate, and the two regulate a common set of target genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 103: 6049–6054
- Alvarez-Venegas, R., S. Pien, M. Sadder, X. Witmer, U. Grossniklaus and Z. Avramova, 2003. ATX-1, an *Arabidopsis* homolog of trithorax, activates flower homeotic genes. *Curr. Biol.*, 13: 627–637
- Aquea, F., A. Vega, T. Timmermann, M.J. Poupin and P. Arce-Johnson, 2011. Genome-wide analysis of the SET DOMAIN GROUP family in Grapevine. *Plant Cell Rep.*, 30: 1087–1097
- Avramova, Z., 2009. Evolution and pleiotropy of TRITHORAX function in Arabidopsis. Int. J. Dev. Biol., 53: 371–381
- Bailey, T.L., N. Williams, C. Misleh and W.W. Li, 2006. MEME: discovering and analyzing DNA and protein sequence motifs. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 34: 369–373
- Berr, A., S. Shafiq and W.H. Shen, 2011. Histone modifications in transcriptional activation during plant development. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta-Gene Regul. Mech.*, 1809: 567–576
- Berr, A., E.J. McCallum, R. Ménard, D. Meyer, J. Fuchs, A. Dong and W.H. Shen, 2010. Arabidopsis SET DOMAIN GROUP2 is required for H3K4 trimethylation and is crucial for both sporophyte and gametophyte development. *Plant Cell*, 22: 3232–3248
- Berr, A., L. Xu, J. Gao, V. Cognat, A. Steinmetz, A. Dong and W.H. Shen, 2009. SET DOMAIN GROUP25 encodes a histone methyltransferase and is involved in FLOWERING LOCUS C activation and repression of flowering. *Plant Physiol.*, 151: 1476–1485
- Chang, W.C., T.Y. Lee, H.D. Huang, H.Y. Huang and R.L. Pan, 2008. PlantPAN: Plant promoter analysis navigator, for identifying combinatorial *cis*-regulatory elements with distance constraint in plant gene groups. *BMC Genomics*, 9: 561
- Chen, L.Q., J.H. Luo, Z.H. Cui, M. Xue, L. Wang, X.Y. Zhang, W.P. Pawlowski and Y. He, 2017. ATX3, ATX4 and ATX5 Encode Putative H3K4 Methyltransferases and Are Critical for Plant Development. *Plant Physiol.*, 174: 1795–1806
- Colinas, J., S.C. Schmidler, G. Bohrer, B. Iordanov and P.N. Benfey, 2008. Intergenic and genic sequence lengths have opposite relationships with respect to gene expression. *PLoS One*, 3: e3670
- Ding, Y., Z. Avramova and M. Fromm, 2011a. The Arabidopsis trithoraxlike factor ATX1 functions in dehydration stress responses via ABAdependent and ABA-independent pathways. *Plant J.*, 66: 735–744
- Ding, Y., Z. Avramova and M. Fromm, 2011b. Two distinct roles of Arabidopsis Homolog of Trithorax1 (Atx1) at promoters and within transcribed regions of Atx1-regulated genes. *Plant Cell*, 23: 350– 363
- Ding, Y., H. Lapko, I. Ndamukong, Y. Xia, A. Al-Abdallat, S. Lalithambika, M. Sadder, A. Saleh, M. Fromm, J.J. Riethoven, G. Lu and Z. Avramova, 2009. The *Arabidopsis* chromatin modifier ATX1, the myotubularin-like AtMTM, and the response to drought; A view from the other end of the pathway. *Plant Signal. Behav.*, 4: 1049–1058
- Garg, R., A. Bhattacharjee and M. Jain, 2015. Genome-Scale Transcriptomic Insights into Molecular Aspects of Abiotic Stress Responses in Chickpea. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.*, 33: 388–400
- Guo, L., Y. Yu, J.A. Law and X. Zhang, 2010. Set domain group2 is the major histone H3 lysine [corrected] 4 trimethyltransferase in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107: 18557–18562
- Hou, Q., G. Ufer and D. Bartels, 2016. Lipid signalling in plant responses to abiotic stress. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 39: 1029–1048
- Hu, B., J. Jin, A.Y. Guo, H. Zhang, J. Luo and G. Gao, 2015. GSDS 2.0: an upgraded gene feature visualization server. *Bioinformatics*, 31: 1296– 1297
- Jain, M., G. Misra, R.K. Patel, P. Priya, S. Jhanwar, A.W. Khan and N. Shah, 2013. A draft genome sequence of the pulse crop chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). *Plant J.*, 74: 715–729

- Jarillo, J.A., M. Piñeiro, P. Cubas and J.M. Martínez-Zapater, 2009. Chromatin remodeling in plant development. Int. J. Dev. Biol., 53: 1581–1596
- Kole, C., M. Muthamilarasan, R. Henry, D. Edwards, R. Sharma, M. Abberton, J. Batley, A. Bentley, M. Blakeney, J. Bryant, H. Cai, M. Cakir, L.J. Cseke, J. Cockram, A.C. de Oliveira, C.D. Pace, H. Dempewolf, S. Ellison, P. Gepts, A. Greenland, A. Hall, K. Hori, S. Hughes, M.W. Humphreys, M. Iorizzo, A.M. Ismail, A. Marshall, S. Mayes, H.T. Nguyen, F.C. Ogbonnaya, R. Ortiz, A.H. Paterson, P.W. Simon, J. Tohme, R. Tuberosa, B. Valliyodan, R.K. Varshney, S.D. Wullschleger, M. Yano and M. Prasad, 2015. Application of genomics-assisted breeding for generation of climate resilient crops: progress and prospects. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 6: 563
- Kumar, S., G. Stecher and K. Tamura, 2016. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets brief communication. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 33: 1870–1874
- Lachner, M., R. Sengupta, G. Schotta and T. Jenuwein, 2004. Trilogies of histone lysine methylation as epigenetic landmarks of the eukaryotic genome. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.*, 69: 209–218
- Lata, C. and M. Prasad, 2011. Role of DREBs in regulation of abiotic stress responses in plants. J. Exp. Bot., 62: 4731–4748
- Liu, C., F. Lu, X. Cui and X. Cao, 2010. Histone methylation in higher plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*, 61: 395–420
- Peña, P.V., F. Davrazou, X. Shi, K.L. Walter, V.V. Verkhusha, O. Gozani, R. Zhao and T.G. Kutateladze, 2006. Molecular mechanism of histone H3K4me3 recognition by plant homeodomain of ING2. *Nature*, 442: 100–103
- Pien, S., D. Fleury, J.S. Mylne, P. Crevillen, D. Inze, Z. Avramova, C. Dean and U. Grossniklaus, 2008. *Arabidopsis* trithorax1 dynamically regulates flowering locus C activation via Histone 3 Lysine 4 Trimethylation. *Plant Cell Online*, 20: 580–588
- Pinon, V., X. Yao, A. Dong and W.H. Shen, 2017. SDG2-Mediated H3K4me3 Is Crucial for Chromatin Condensation and Mitotic Division during Male Gametogenesis in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.*, 174: 1205–1215
- Pontvianne, F., T. Blevins and C.S. Pikaard, 2010. Arabidopsis Histone Lysine Methyltransferases. Adv. Bot. Res., 53: 1–22
- Rasul, I., H. Nadeem, M.H. Siddique, R.M. Atif, M.A. Ali, A. Umer, F. Rashid, M. Afzal, M. Abid and F. Azeem, 2017. Plants sensoryresponse mechanisms for salinity and heat stress. J. Anim. Plant Sci., 27: 490–502
- Rasool, S., A.A.H.A. Latef and P. Ahmad, 2015. Chickpea. In: Legumes under Environmental Stress: Yield, Improvement and Adaptations, pp: 67–79. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK
- Saleh, A., R. Alvarez-Venegas and Z. Avramova, 2008a. Dynamic and stable histone H3 methylation patterns at the *Arabidopsis* FLC and AP1 loci. *Gene*, 423: 43–47
- Saleh, A., R. Alvarez-Venegas, M. Yilmaz, O. Le, G. Hou, M. Sadder and A. Al-Abdallat, 2008b. The highly similar *Arabidopsis* homologs of Trithorax atx1 and atx2 encode proteins with divergent biochemical functions. *Plant Cell Online*, 20: 568–579
- Shafiq, S., A. Berr and W.H. Shen, 2014. Combinatorial functions of diverse histone methylations in *Arabidopsis thaliana* flowering time regulation. *New Phytol.*, 201: 312–322
- Simon, J.A. and J.W. Tamkun, 2002. Programming off and on states in chromatin: mechanisms of Polycomb and trithorax group complexes. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*, 12: 210–218
- Singh, K.B., B. Ocampo and L.D. Robertson, 1998. Diversity for abiotic and biotic stress resistance in the wild annual *Cicer* species. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.*, 45: 9–17
- Varshney, R.K., C. Song, R.K. Saxena, S. Azam, S. Yu, A.G. Sharpe and S. Cannon, 2013. Draft genome sequence of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) provides a resource for trait improvement. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 31: 240– 246
- Yao, X., H. Feng, Y. Yu, A. Dong and W.H. Shen, 2013. SDG2-Mediated H3K4 Methylation Is Required for Proper Arabidopsis Root Growth and Development. PLoS One, 8: e56537

(Received 03 August 2017; Accepted 08 November 2017)