**Full Length Article**

# Phenotypic Selection of Wheat Genotypes for Drought Stress Tolerance

**Muhammad Omer Farooq[1*], Muhammad Kashif[1], Ihsan Khaliq[1] and Nisar Ahmed[2]**

[1]*Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan*
[2]*Centre of Agricultural Biochemistry and Biotechnology, University of Agriculture, Pakistan*
*For correspondence: momerfarooq327@gmail.com

## Abstract

Drought stress is the leading constraint of wheat production and yield reduction induced by drought surpasses decreases from all the other causes. Moreover, wheat is more sensitive to drought stress when imposed at anthesis stage. In this study, attempts were made to evaluate the effectiveness of seedling traits as selection criteria for drought tolerant genotypes and highlights losses in yield due to drought particularly on anthesis stage. One hundred and fifty wheat genotypes and 65 $F_1$ generations of selected parents were assessed in separate experiments for various seedling traits *i.e.*, root length, shoot length and root/shoot ratio) and yield attributes *i.e.*, spike length, number of spikelets per spike, number of grains per spike, grain weight per spike, 1000-grain weight and grain yield per plant under controlled and drought stress. Owing to the diverse genetic background of germplasm, genotypes exhibited variation in their response towards drought. Correlation analysis depicted the existence of significant relationship between seedling and yield related traits under drought stress. Significant decrease in seedling traits and yield was observed with the onset of drought stress. Genotypes Chakwal-86, Manthar-2003, Aas-11, Chakwal-50, Miraj-2008, 9488, 9859, 9805, 9637 and 9512 showed tolerance to drought in terms of seedling growth and yield. Genetic studies divulge the existence of non-additive genes for all the characters excluding spike length which exhibited additive gene action. Cross combinations 9633 × Chakwal -86, Faisalabad-08 × Chakwal-50, 9507 × 9805, 9805 × 9633, 9507 × Manthar-03 observed high yield under drought conditions. These crosses can be exploited in various developmental programs aimed for drought-hit areas of Pakistan. Selection based on seedling traits was found effective for selection of drought-tolerant wheat genotypes at anthesis. Mass selection of wheat crosses at anthesis stage may be an effective option to cope with the drought stress owing to their non-additive gene action. © 2020 Friends Science Publishers

**Keywords:** Anthesis; Drought stress; Genetics; Seedling; Wheat; Yield

## Introduction

After rice (*Oryza sativa*), wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the main staple food of the world and it has earned reputation as a prominent source of vegetable protein (13% protein contents) for humans as compared to other major cereal crops of this group (Chu, 2016). In case of Pakistan, it is the major cereal crop cultivated annually on an area of about 8-9 million hectares. It alone contributed 9.1% of value added in agricultural sector and 1.7% in country's Gross Domestic Product (Government of Pakistan, 2018). The latest Economic Survey of Pakistan (2017-2018) has revealed a decline of 4.43% in wheat production as compared to last few years. This decline has been attributed to lesser cultivated area due to delayed sugarcane season, water shortage and fog/smog (Siddiqui, 2019).

Depletion of water resources throughout the world has gained much attention lately. Pakistan, being one of the developing geographical entities of the world, has also severely been struck by this grave situation. Steps of adaptation and mitigation are being implied both by the public and the government in order to conserve water, raise awareness amongst masses and cultivation of stress/drought-resistant crops (Hu and Xiong, 2014).

Drought causes unavailability of water for plant to carry on life supporting processes and lowers its water using efficiency. Different cultivars have contrasting response towards water scarcity due to diverse genetic makeup (Noorka and Harrison, 2013; Farooq *et al.*, 2015; Qadir *et al.,* 2017). Water deficiency at early stages of seedling is a limiting factor that reduces seedling growth (Misra *et al.,* 2002; Hussain *et al.*, 2016a). Similarly, anthesis stage of wheat is considered very prone to drought and causes reduction in yield (Mirbahar *et al.,* 2009; Farooq *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Hussain *et al.*, 2016b). Summing up, it has been established that water stress/scarcity in wheat ultimately reduces crop yield regardless of growth stage of the plant (Farooq *et al.*, 2017; Hussain *et al.*, 2018).

Strategy to improve crop production under drought is to evaluate and assess genotypes that show good performance under water stressed conditions (Rashidi and Seyfi, 2007; Waqas *et al.,* 2013). Though yield attributes of wheat can also be associated with drought for hunting drought tolerant genotypes but efficient and inexpensive

method for selection against drought is mostly based on seedling traits (Rauf *et al.,* 2007).

Owing to an imminent and persistent threat of drought in Pakistan, the dawn of this century has witnessed various research work being reported regarding drought indices of wheat genotypes, both indigenous and exotic (Rauf *et al.,* 2007; Mirbahar *et al.,* 2009; Noorka and Harrison, 2013). The present study was designed with an aim of assessing 150 wheat genotypes and their $F_1$ product for their various seedling traits (root length, shoot length and root-shoot ratio) and yield attributes (spike length, number of spikelet per spike, number of grains per spike, grain weight per spike, 1000-grain weight and grain yield per plant) under well-watered and drought environment, both at seedling and anthesis stages. Though, many wheat genotypes have already been used for drought study; however, in this study a lot of new wheat germplasm both exotic and local have been introduced. It also included the study of drought tolerance genetics at seedling and anthesis stage and some genotypes have been identified as drought tolerant and recommended for further investigations under drought stress.

## Materials and Methods

This study was conducted from 2014 to 2017 at research area of Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad both in greenhouse and field conditions. A total of 150 spring wheat genotypes (both local and exotic) were attained from International Maize and Wheat Improvement Centre (CIMMYT) Mexico, USA, Ayub Agriculture Research Institute, Faisalabad, Pakistan, and Plant Breeding and Genetics Department, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan.

### Greenhouse Experimentation

### Germplasm Screening

First part of this experimentation involved screening of 150 wheat germplasm under two moisture regimes *i.e.*, well-watered and drought stress conditions. Experiment was conducted in completely randomized two factor factorial design with three replications. Genotypes were assessed on the basis of root-associated traits for drought tolerance. Experiment was carried out in wire-house which was covered with sheets to avoid rain water. Plants were grown in Polythene bags ($18 \times 9$ cm) filled with sandy loam soil having pH 7.8 and E.C 1.7dS m$^{-1}$ (450 g/ bag). Well-watered bags were irrigated equal to field capacity and in drought stress environment; genotypes were watered only once after sowing (Khan *et al.,* 2010). In each replication, ten bags for each genotype were used to grow 10 seeds per bag. After germination, only one seedling per bag was kept by discarding the rest. Seedlings were removed from the bags at three-leaf stage and washed carefully to remove soil particles. Data was collected and mean value of ten seedlings per genotype in each replication was calculated. For the analysis of seedling characters, data for root and shoot lengths and root-shoot ratio were collected.

Percentage decrease due to change in environment was calculated by

$$Percentage\ decrease = \frac{Well\ watered - Drought}{Well\ watered} \times 100$$

### Line-Tester Crossing

For second part of this experimentation, germplasm of 150 wheat genotypes which had already been sown in field was used for crossing purpose. Genotypes that exhibited few changes at seedling traits in response to drought were tagged as 'testers. While genotypes that showed extensive decline at seedling traits were tagged as 'lines. Based on seedling traits, 10 water stress tolerant (lines) and five susceptible genotypes (testers) were selected and crossed in line × tester fashion (Rajaram *et al.*, 2002). These 15 parents and 50 crosses (65 genotypes) were also evaluated by repeating the experimental conditions and same setup that was used for germplasm screening.

### Field Experimentation

In wheat growing season, the 65 genotypes used in greenhouse experimentation were subjected to field experiment for yield related traits *i.e.* spike length, number of spikelets per spike, number of grains per spike, 1000-grain weight and grain yield per plant under normal and drought-stress conditions at anthesis stage. Split-plot layout was employed in randomized complete block design. Moisture conditions were kept in main plot and genotypes in sub-plot with three replications. Each genotype per replication was assigned 5-meter long row for sowing. Plants were parted by 15 cm while distance among rows was kept 0.3 m resulted in 97.5 m$^2$ plot size per replication. In order to maintain the drought conditions, the shed was constructed using PVC pipes for main structure and polythene sheets were used as top-cover till harvesting. Drought stress was achieved by restricting water supply *i.e.*, 30-35% mean soil water contents (SWC) during anthesis stage for drought treatment and after that water supply was restored to 70-75% FWC. Standard irrigation was applied to control treatment. Fertilizer was applied at the rate of 150, 100 and 50 kg NPK ha$^{-1}$ by using urea, di-ammonium phosphate and sulphate of potash as source. Herbicides named bromoxynil and enoxaprop-P-ethyl along with manual hoeing were applied to control weeds.

The data collected for both experiments was analyzed using analysis of variance (Steel *et al.,* 1997). The significant traits were further exploited via correlation analysis for determination of linkage between seedling and yield related traits using software Minitab16. Line × Tester analysis was employed for genetic studies (Singh and Chaudhary, 1979) were conducted using Microsoft Excel.

**Table 1:** Analysis of variance for some seedling traits of wheat genotypes at early stage of growth

| Germplasm | Characters | Genotypes (G) (df=149) | Drought stress (D) (df=1) | G×D (df=149) | Error (df=600) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Root length | 25.875** | 2426.272** | 6.825** | 1.673 |
| | Shoot length | 62.161** | 8990.681** | 18.648** | 2.780 |
| | Root shoot ratio | 0.021** | 0.0003$^{NS}$ | 0.007$^{NS}$ | 0.005 |
| F$_1$ | Characters | Genotypes (G) (df=64) | Drought Stress (D) (df=1) | G×D (df=64) | Error (df=260) |
| | Root length | 60.425** | 460.69** | 9.33** | 1.318 |
| | Shoot length | 82.41** | 2030.92** | 30.77** | 1.792 |
| | Root shoot ratio | 0.03 $^{NS}$ | 0.031 $^{NS}$ | 0.01 $^{NS}$ | 0.001 |

** $P \leq 0.001$; NS= Non-significant

**Table 2:** Range, mean and percentage decrease under well-watered and drought stress conditions in wheat for germplasm and F$_1$*

| Traits | Germplasm/F$_1$ | Moisture condition | Range | Mean ± SE | Decrease under Drought (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Root length (cm) | Germplasm | Well-watered | 19.98-9.35 | 13.57±0.53 | 23.74 |
| | | Drought stress | 17.86-3.94 | 10.35±0.54 | |
| | F$_1$ | Well-watered | 21.99-10.58 | 15.8±0.16 | 13.76 |
| | | Drought stress | 21.63-6.48 | 13.63±0.21 | |
| Shoot length (cm) | Germplasm | Well-watered | 33.50-17.10 | 26.99±0.65 | 22.84 |
| | | Drought stress | 30.25-6.92 | 20.83±0.66 | |
| | F$_1$ | Well-watered | 34.15-22.02 | 28.94± 0.16 | 15.77 |
| | | Drought stress | 32.62-10.23 | 24.38 ±0.29 | |
| Root shoot ratio | Germplasm | Well-watered | 0.59-0.33 | 0.50±0.02 | 1.31 |
| | | Drought stress | 0.59-0.31 | 0.49±0.02 | |
| | F$_1$ | Well-watered | 0.72-0.41 | 0.54±0.003 | 2.2 |
| | | Drought stress | 1.11-0.41 | 0.54 ±0.003 | |

* $P \leq 0.001$

## Results

Drought stress and its interaction with wheat genotypes *i.e.*, germplasm and F$_1$ population, at seedling stage had highly significant effect on root and shoot length while effect was non-significant on root shoot ratio (Table 1). The results regarding response of genotypes to the drought stress were also found highly significant ($P \leq 0.001$) both for germplasm and F$_1$ population (Table 2). Root length shoot length and root-shoot ratio exhibited of 23.74%, 22.84% and 1.31% decrease for germplasm; and 13.76, 15.77 and 2.2 percent for F$_1$ population, respectively.

Based on the performance of wheat genotypes for seedling traits, two groups of genotypes out of 150 wheat genotypes were selected as 'line' and 'tester'. Genotypes Chakwal-86, Manthar-2003, Aas-11, Chakwal-50, Miraj-2008, 9488, 9859, 9805, 9637 and 9512 were selected as lines since they showed high values for root and shoot length and non-significant ($P \geq 0.05$) difference in their performance for both environments (well-watered and drought stress). Similarly, genotypes Sehar-06, Faisalabad-08, 9507, Lasani-08 and 9633 were selected as tester as they exhibited significant ($P \leq 0.05$) decline in their performance for all the seedling traits when exposed to drought (Table 3). The testers were mainly commercial cultivars having high crop yield except one that is 9633. In case of F$_1$, for root length under both well-watered and drought conditions, cross Faisalabad-08 × 9805 had highest value (21.98 cm and 20.5, respectively). Similarly, the shoot length ranged from 22.01 cm to for 9637 × Lasani-08 to 35.15 cm for Miraj-08 × 9633 under well-watered conditions. Whereas, under drought conditions, shoot length ranged from 10.23 cm for 9488 × Lasani-08 to 32.61 cm for Miraj-08 × 9633.

Genotypes that showed stable and prominent performance under drought also exhibited optimum root shoot ratio. Minimum percentage decrease in root length and shoot length due to water shortage as compared to well-watered condition was shown by cross combinations Miraj-08 × 9633 (0.53%, 4.48%), 9507 × 9805 (1.84%, 1.05%), 9507 × Manthar-03 (2.23%, 5.02%), 9633 × Chakwal-86 (2.24%, 4.37%, 9805 × 9633 (2.52%, 6.63%), Seher-06 × Manthar-03 (3.1%, 6.87%), Faisalabad-08 × 9488 (3.23%, 6.25%) and Faisalabad-08 × Chakwal-50 (4.9%, 3.79%) (Table 4).

Results for analysis of variance of various yield related traits (spike length, number of spikelets per spike, number of grains per spike, grain weight per spike, 1000-grain weight and grain yield per plant) in F$_1$ population are presented in Table 5 which revealed a highly significant trend ($P \leq 0.01$). All the yield parameters significantly ($P \leq 0.01$) reduced under drought condition at anthesis stage as given in Table 6. In general, genotypes specified in Table 7 stood against drought as their performance declined minimum as compared to others like 9633 × Chakwal 50 and Lasani 08 × 9512. Overall performance of genotypes named Faisalabad-08 × Chakwal-50, 9507 × 9805, 9805 × 9633, 9507 × Manthar-03, Seher-06 × Manthar-03, 9633 × Chakwal -86, Faisalabad-08 × 9488 and Sehar-06 × 9637 showed minimum reduction in their yield related traits in response to the drought at anthesis stage. Correlation analysis was utilized to find the relationship between seedling and yield related traits. Root and shoot length showed highly significant correlation with all yield related traits except spike length since it was found as non-significant (Table 8). Further, Line × tester approach was utilized and the investigation identified additive genes

**Table 3:** Seedling traits of wheat genotypes tagged as line and testers from germplasm under well-watered and drought stress conditions

| Genotypes | Root length (cm) | | Shoot length (cm) | | Root Shoot Ratio | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Well-watered | Drought stress | Well-watered | Drought stress | Well-watered | Drought stress |
| LINE | | | | | | |
| Chakwal-86 | 17.9[bc] | 16.9[a] | 30.8[bc] | 30.3[a] | 0.581[a] | 0.558[a] |
| Manthar-03 | 16.8[cd] | 15.3[c] | 30.2[cd] | 29.3[b] | 0.556[a] | 0.522[a] |
| Aas-11 | 15.3[ef] | 14.8[cd] | 28.3[e] | 26.5[e] | 0.541[a] | 0.558[a] |
| Chakwal-50 | 16.3[bc] | 15.4[c] | 28[e] | 26.4[e] | 0.582[a] | 0.583[a] |
| Meraj-08 | 16.4[d] | 15.3[c] | 30.5[c] | 28.5[bc] | 0.538[a] | 0.537[a] |
| 9488 | 17[c] | 16.1[ab] | 30[cd] | 28.4[bc] | 0.567[a] | 0.567[a] |
| 9859 | 15.7[e] | 14.6[cd] | 29.2[d] | 28.2[c] | 0.538[a] | 0.518[a] |
| 9805 | 15[ef] | 14.3[d] | 29.7[d] | 27.3[d] | 0.505[b] | 0.524[a] |
| 9637 | 16.7[cd] | 16[b] | 30.6[bc] | 29.8[ab] | 0.546[a] | 0.537[a] |
| 9512 | 14.9[f] | 14.6[cd] | 29.3[d] | 27.7[cd] | 0.509[b] | 0.527[a] |
| TESTER | | | | | | |
| Sehar-06 | 18.5[b] | 9.3[e] | 31.5[b] | 16[gh] | 0.587[a] | 0.581[a] |
| Faisalabad-08 | 20[a] | 9.7[ef] | 33.5[a] | 16.7[g] | 0.597[a] | 0.581[a] |
| 9507 | 18[b] | 9.7[ef] | 30.5[ac] | 17.7[f] | 0.59[a] | 0.548[a] |
| Lasani-08 | 19.2[ab] | 6.5[g] | 32.8[ab] | 11.2[i] | 0.585[a] | 0.58[a] |
| 9633 | 17.4[c] | 8.2[f] | 33.2[a] | 15[h] | 0.524[b] | 0.547[a] |

Means followed by different letters, within a column, for each trait differs significantly from each other at P ≤ 0.05

**Table 4:** Seedling traits of $F_1$ wheat genotypes which showed good performance under well-watered and drought stress conditions

| Crosses | Root length (cm) | | Shoot length (cm) | | Root Shoot Ratio | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Well-watered | Drought stress | Well-watered | Drought stress | Well-watered | Drought stress |
| 9507×9805 | 19.03[bc] | 18.68[bc] | 31.5[c] | 31.17[a] | 0.60[a] | 0.60[a] |
| Faisalabad-08×9488 | 21.19[a] | 20.5[a] | 31.98[be] | 29.98[bc] | 0.66[a] | 0.68[a] |
| Faisalabad-08×Chakwal-50 | 19.73[b] | 18.76[b] | 32.5[b] | 31.27[a] | 0.61[a] | 0.60[a] |
| Seher-06×Manthar-03 | 18.26[d] | 17.69[d] | 33.45[a] | 31.15[a] | 0.55[b] | 0.57[b] |
| 9633×Chakwal-86 | 19.26[b] | 18.83[b] | 32.75[ab] | 31.32[a] | 0.59[ab] | 0.60[a] |
| 9507×Manthar-03 | 19.36[b] | 18.92[b] | 32.5[b] | 30.87[b] | 0.60[a] | 0.61[a] |
| 9805×9633 | 18.46[c] | 18.00[c] | 31.66[c] | 29.56[e] | 0.58[ab] | 0.61[a] |

Means followed by different letters, within a column, for each trait differs significantly from each other at P ≤ 0.05

**Table 5:** Analysis of variance of yield related traits of $F_1$ wheat

| Traits | Stress (df=1) | $E_1$ (df=2) | Genotypes (df = 64) | S × G (df=64) | $E_2$ (df = 256) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Spike length | 68.96** | 0.09 | 13.57** | 14.71** | 0.08 |
| Number of spikelet per spike | 12762.5** | 8215.76 | 56.83** | 304.81** | 40.64 |
| Number of grains per spike | 43587.5** | 0.31 | 261.22** | 1073.54** | 3.94 |
| 1000-grain weight | 485.49** | 0.17 | 383.55** | 392.22** | 0.024 |
| Grain yield per plant | 3893.06** | 0.128 | 25.23** | 102.44** | 0.02 |

** P≤0.001; $E_1$= Error1; $E_2$= Error2

**Table 6:** Range, mean and percentage decrease in yield related traits under well-watered) and drought stress conditions of $F_1$ wheat

| Traits | Moisture Condition | Range | Mean ± SE | Decline under drought (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Spike length (cm) | Well-watered | 9.4-15.9 | 13.04±0.04 | 6.44% |
| | Drought stress | 1.53-8.05 | 3.75±0.03 | |
| Number of spikelets per spike | Well-watered | 19-23 | 21.00±0.04 | 10.69 % |
| | Drought stress | 14-22 | 18.75±0.06 | |
| Number of grains per spike | Well-watered | 42.33-63 | 56.09±0.14 | 37.67 % |
| | Drought stress | 14.33-54 | 34.96±0.32 | |
| 1000-grain weight (g) | Well-watered | 33.26-68.64 | 50.1±0.26 | 10.09 % |
| | Drought stress | 23.92-66.5 | 45.04±0.27 | |
| Grain yield per plant (g plant⁻¹) | Well-watered | 18.3-27.38 | 22.89±0.07 | 27.6 % |
| | Drought stress | 11-22.7 | 16.57±0.08 | |

accountable for spike length and non-additive genes for rest of characters (Table 9) under both moisture conditions (well-watered and drought stress).

## Discussion

The present work is the first of its kind being reported from Pakistan as it incorporates a large number (150) of both local and exotic spring wheat genotypes and its $F_1$ population attained through line × tester fashion for the study of drought. Witnessed significant differences for all seedling traits for both germplasm and $F_1$ could be attributed plausibly to genetic variation. Since these genotypes had diverse genetic background and genes activated in response to drought exhibited variation in their expression (Gong *et al.,* 2005; Ayalew and Dessalegn, 2016; Yasir *et al.,* 2019).

**Table 7:** Means of yield related traits of parents and $F_1$ Wheat genotypes which showed high yield under normal irrigation (Well-watered) and water deficit condition (drought stress) at anthesis stage

| Genotypes | Spike length (cm) | | Number of Spikelet/spike | | Number of grains/spike | | 1000-grain weight (g) | | Grain yield (g plant⁻¹) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | WW | DS | WW | DS | WW | DS | WW | DS | WW | DS |
| Miraj-08 | 11.5ᵉ | 10.8ᶠ | 20.3ᵉ | 20.3ᵈ | 54.3ᵍ | 44.3ᵍ | 47.5ⁱ | 44.0ʰ | 18.9ʲ | 16.7ⁱ |
| Chakwal-50 | 13.4ᵇᶜ | 12.2ᶜᵈ | 21.0ᵈ | 21.0ᶜ | 55.7ᶠ | 46.7ᶠ | 52.9ᵉᶠ | 49.4ᵈ | 22.9ᶠ | 20.2ᶜᵈ |
| 9805 | 13.3ᵇᶜ | 12.3ᶜᵈ | 19.0ᵍ | 19.0ᶠ | 50.3ⁱʲ | 38.3ⁱ | 51.6ᵍ | 47.5ᵉ | 22.5ᶠ | 19.1ᵉ |
| ASS-11 | 13.7ᵇ | 12.7ᶜ | 23.3ᵃᵇ | 21.4ᵇᶜ | 61.5ᵃᵇ | 51.0ᶜ | 51.9ᶠᵍ | 47.7ᵉ | 20.6ʰ | 18.5ᶠ |
| Manthar-03 | 10.7ᵍ | 10.5ᶠ | 19.7ᵉᶠ | 19.2ᵉᶠ | 49.7ʲ | 39.0ʰ | 43.8ᵏ | 39.7ᵏ | 19.5ⁱ | 17.0ʰ |
| 9488 | 10.4ᵍ | 9.6ᵍ | 21.5ᶜᵈ | 21.8ᵇ | 52.3ʰ | 37.3ⁱ | 49.5ʰ | 45.5ᵍ | 23.5ᵉ | 20.1ᶜᵈ |
| 9859 | 14.4ᵃᵇ | 13.6ᵃᵇ | 23.8ᵃ | 21.5ᵇᶜ | 58.3ᵈ | 47.0ᵉ | 44.7ʲᵏ | 41.0ʲ | 18.3ᵏ | 16.0ʲ |
| 9637 | 14.5ᵃᵇ | 13.8ᵃᵇ | 22.7ᵇ | 20.7ᶜᵈ | 58.7ᶜᵈ | 46.7ᶠ | 43.6ᵏ | 40.1ᵏ | 21.7ᵍ | 19.5ᵈᵉ |
| 9512 | 11.8ᵉ | 11.2ᵉᶠ | 21.2ᶜᵈ | 19.9ᵈᵉ | 56.6ᵉ | 47.0ᵉ | 50.0ʰ | 45.9ᵍ | 19.0ⁱʲ | 17.9ᵍ |
| Chakwal-86 | 11.9ᵉ | 11.4ᵉ | 19.4ᶠ | 19.5ᵉ | 45.2ˡ | 36.6ʲ | 51.3ᵍ | 47.4ᵉ | 24.0ᵈ | 21.9ᵇ |
| 9507 | 12.7ᶜ | 12.1ᶜᵈ | 22.7ᵇ | 18.7ᵍ | 56.3ᵉ | 27.3ˡ | 45.3ʲ | 43.2ⁱ | 26.0ᵇ | 17.0ʰ |
| Seher-06 | 13.9ᵇ | 13.1ᵇ | 19.8ᵉᶠ | 14.3ʲ | 50.7ⁱ | 21.7ᵐ | 55.7ᵈ | 49.6ᵈ | 25.4ᶜ | 16.4ⁱ |
| 9633 | 12.9ᶜ | 12.2ᶜᵈ | 23.1ᵃᵇ | 19.9ᵈᵉ | 59.5ᶜᵈ | 29.3ᵏ | 35.3ᵐ | 33.0ᵐ | 25.8ᵇᶜ | 17.5ᵍʰ |
| Lasani-08 | 12.9ᶜ | 11.8ᵈ | 19.0ᵍ | 15.5ⁱ | 48.9ᵏ | 19.1ⁿ | 39.8ˡ | 36.4ˡ | 25.8ᵇᶜ | 17.0ʰ |
| Faisalabad-08 | 12.6ᶜᵈ | 11.7ᵈ | 21.0ᵈ | 17.7ʰ | 58.4ᵈ | 27.3ˡ | 55.4ᵈ | 49.6ᵈ | 26.7ᵃ | 16.0ʲ |
| 9507 × 9805 | 12.4ᵈ | 11.2ᵉᶠ | 22.7ᵇ | 22.7ᵃ | 58.0ᵈ | 49.8ᵈ | 50.0ʰ | 46.5ᶠ | 23.6ᵉ | 20.6ᶜ |
| Faisalabad-08 × Chakwal-50 | 14.9ᵃ | 14.2ᵃ | 23.3ᵃᵇ | 19.0ᶠ | 60.3ᶜ | 51.3ᶜ | 75.3ᵇ | 71.3ᵇ | 23.7ᵈᵉ | 21.7ᵇ |
| Faisalabad-08 × 9488 | 15.1ᵃ | 14.5ᵃ | 21.7ᶜ | 21.0ᶜ | 56.5ᵉ | 46.5ᶠ | 49.5ᶠ | 45.6ᵍ | 21.6ᵍ | 19.6ᵈ |
| Lasani-08 × Manthar-03 | 13.4ᵇᶜ | 12.5ᶜ | 19.4ᵉ | 19.4ᵉ | 50.7ⁱ | 38.7ʰⁱ | 53.4ᵉ | 49.4ᵈ | 20.6ʰ | 18.6ᶠ |
| 9637 × Seher-06 | 14.4ᵃᵇ | 13.8ᵃᵇ | 23.6ᵃ | 21.2ᶜ | 62.0ᵃ | 54.0ᵃ | 50.0ʰ | 46.0ᵍ | 20.9ʰ | 18.9ᵉᶠ |
| Seher-06 × Manthar-03 | 12.3ᵈ | 11.5ᵉ | 21.7ᶜ | 21.4ᵇᶜ | 54.7ᵍ | 44.7ᵍ | 92.7ᵃ | 88.5ᵃ | 22.8ᶠ | 19.8ᵈ |
| 9633 × Chakwal-86 | 14.9ᵃ | 14.3ᵃ | 21.3ᶜᵈ | 21.2ᶜ | 61.0ᵇ | 53.0ᵇ | 52.2ᶠ | 48.4ᵈᵉ | 22.2ᶠᵍ | 19.2ᵉ |
| 9507 × Manthar-03 | 11.3ᶠ | 10.4ᶠ | 21.7ᶜ | 21.8ᵇ | 60.0ᶜ | 50.0ᵈ | 71.8ᶜ | 68.1ᶜ | 23.8ᵈᵉ | 20.8ᶜ |
| 9805×9633 | 11.5ᵉ | 10.5ᶠ | 20.0ᵉ | 20.1ᵈ | 55.3ᶠ | 50.3ᵈ | 51.1ᵍʰ | 47.2ᵉᶠ | 26.7ᵃ | 22.7ᵃ |

Means followed by different letters, within a column, for each trait differs significantly from each other at $P \leq 0.05$
WW= Well-watered; DS= Drought stress

**Table 8:** Correlation between seedling traits and yield related traits of wheat under drought stress

| | Spike length (cm) | Number of Spikelet/spike | Number of grains/spike | 1000-grain weight (g) | Grain yield (g plant⁻¹) | Root length (cm) | Shoot length (cm) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Number of Spikelet/spike | 0.43** | | | | | | |
| Number of grains/spike | 0.33* | 0.82** | | | | | |
| 1000-grain weight (g) | -0.01ᴺˢ | 0.18ᴺˢ | 0.34* | | | | |
| Grain yield (g plant⁻¹) | 0.69** | 0.47** | 0.55** | 0.41** | | | |
| Root length (cm) | 0.05ᴺˢ | 0.75** | 0.87** | 0.45** | 0.71** | | |
| Shoot length (cm) | -0.01ᴺˢ | 0.77** | 0.88** | 0.4** | 0.62** | 0.96** | |
| Root Shoot Ratio | 0.24* | 0.11ᴺˢ | 0.18ᴺˢ | 0.28* | 0.47** | 0.4* | 0.12ᴺˢ |

** Highly significant, * significant, NS Non significant

**Table 9:** GCA and SCA effects of various yield related traits in wheat under well-watered and drought conditions in $F_1$ wheat

| Traits | Well-watered | | Drought stress | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma^2$ gca* | $\sigma^2$ sca* | $\sigma^2$ gca | $\sigma^2$ sca |
| Spike length (cm) | 1.13 | 0.29 | 0.91 | 0.68 |
| Number of spikelets per spike | 0.134 | 1.39 | 0.13 | 3.22 |
| Number of grains per spike | 4.38 | 12.2 | 1.44 | 105.7 |
| 1000-grain weight (g) | 11.55 | 43.4 | 10.66 | 28.15 |
| Grain yield per plant (g plant⁻¹) | 0.41 | 6.33 | 0.63 | 9.19 |

*gca = General combining ability, sca = Specific combining ability

Overall, present study established the fact that both the early and later stages of wheat are adversely affected by drought which alters water-utilizing capacity and ultimately results in substantially reduced yield (Li *et al.,* 2009; Zhong and Wang, 2012). Reduction in yield related traits is the key reason for low yield under drought. Anthesis stage is highly vulnerable to drought stress as it affects the pollen grain viability which in turns reduces the number of grains per spike. Drought causes reduced leaf areas which causes insufficient supply of sugar, since it is essential for the variability of pollen grains. Reduction in spike length may be due to the diversion of nitrogen assimilates by the plant in order to cope with the stress and maintain its normal life processes. Disturbance of source-sink relationship ultimately reduces the source strength which results in reduced grain weight. Current results revealed significant reduction in seedling traits due to drought except for a few genotypes. This variation in response towards drought can be attributed to the fact that all genotypes used in this study belonged to varying. The combined effects of these genes plausibly resulted in variation in their response to drought (Noorka and Harrison, 2013; Qadir *et al.,* 2017).

Best indicator for drought-tolerant genotypes is the long roots and well-developed root system that encourages the better absorption of water (Zhong and Wang, 2012). Even after hindrance of shoot growth, roots kept on growing deep in the soil. The significant reduction in root length may be depicted due to the suppression of lateral meristem. The evaluation of $F_1$ crosses revealed that many genotypes had substantial performance in terms of seedling traits under drought. Genotypes *viz.* Faisalabad-08 × Chakwal-50, 9507 × 9805, 9805 × 9633, 9507 × Manthar-03, Seher-06 × Manthar-03, 9633 × Chakwal -86, Faisalabad-08 × 9488 and Sehar-06 × 9637 were desirable in terms of their better performance under drought stress. Crossing of selected parents in line × tester fashion brought about considerable new genetic variation and hence resulted in drought-tolerant genotypes (Saeed *et al.,* 2001; Akbar *et al.,* 2009).

The genotypes selected from our first experiment showed tolerance to drought at seedling stage supported by the literature review which is swarming with work related to sole reliability of seedling traits as selection criteria (Rauf *et al.,* 2007). Yet, there was a need to assess the effectiveness of seedling traits-based selection criteria of these genotypes. For this purpose, the present study caters assessment starting from seedling stage till anthesis. All the yield parameters of present study (spike length, number of spikelet per spike, number of grains per spike, 1000-grain weight and grain yield per plant) significantly reduced for drought condition at anthesis stage. Such vulnerability of these traits to drought at anthesis stage has been affirmed by previous works (Abdoli and Saeidi, 2013; Ali *et al.,* 2015). Drought and aspects of plant nutrition have extensively been reviewed by Bista *et al.* (2018) who concluded that mineral nutrients are responsible for the synthesis of essential organic molecules. Current study suggested that drought disturbed mineral nutrient relations and hindered plant growth which is, ultimately, reflected through substantially decreased yield (Ali *et al.,* 2015).

Assessment of individual genotypes revealed that some genotypes were not affected by drought-stress and had higher yield hence depicting their drought-tolerant ability. Upon tracing them back towards our first experiment (Table 3), it was discovered that Faisalabad-08 × Chakwal-50, 9507 × 9805, 9805 × 9633, 9507 × Manthar-03, Seher-06 × Manthar-03, 9633 × Chakwal -86, Faisalabad-08 × 9488 and Sehar-06 × 9637 genotypes were the same genotypes which had exhibited drought tolerance at seedling stage as well. Further, correlation also emphasized the existence of significant and positive relationship between seedling and yield related traits. Results generated by the experiment clearly indicated the significance and effectiveness of selection criteria for drought tolerance at anthesis stage based on seedling traits *i.e.,* root length, shoot length and root shoot ratio. Line × tester approach utilized for genetic studies identified additive genes responsible for spike length but non-additive genes for rest of the traits which suggested the delay in selection till later generations (Singh and Kumar, 2014).

## Conclusion

Drought stress negatively affected both seedling and yield attributes of wheat genotypes. It is clear from current study that drought affects the growth and yield when imposed at seedling and anthesis stage of wheat. In preview of the results deduced through this study, it is recommended that mass selection of wheat crosses may be an effective option to cope with the drought stress due to their non-additive gene action. Owing to the significant correlation between seedling and yield related traits under drought stress; the study also affirms the reliability of seedling traits at seedling stage as optimal selection markers for drought-tolerant genotypes.

## References

Abdoli, M. and M. Saeidi, 2013. Evaluation of water deficiency at the post anthesis and source limitation during grain filling on grain yield, yield formation, some morphological and phonological traits and gas exchange bread wheat cultivar. *Alban. J. Agric. Sci.,* 12: 255–265

Akbar, M., J. Anwar, M. Hussain, M.H. Qureshi and S. Khan, 2009. Line tester analysis in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *J. Agric. Res.,* 47: 11–15

Ali, M.A., M. Zulkiffal, J. Anwar, M. Hussain, J. Farooq and S.H. Khan, 2015. Morpho-physiological diversity in advanced lines of bread wheat under drought conditions at post-anthesis stage. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.,* 25: 431–441

Ayalew, H. and T. Dessalegn, 2016. Performance of Ethiopian bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes under contrasting water regimes: potential sources of variability for drought resistance breeding. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.,* 10: 370–376

Bista, D.R., S.A. Heckathorn, D.M. Jayawardena, S. Mishra and J.K. Boldt, 2018. Effects of drought on nutrient uptake and the levels of nutrient uptake proteins in roots of drought sensitive and tolerant grasses. *Plants (Basel),* 7: 28

Chu, W., 2016. Wheat protein rivals whey for muscle growth, study suggests. Available at https://www.nutraingredients.com/Article/2016/09/05/Wheat-protein-rivals-whey-for-muscle-growth-study-suggests. (Accessed 15 September 2019)

Farooq, M., M. Hussain and K.H.M. Siddique, 2014. Drought stress in wheat during flowering and grain-filling periods. *Cri. Rev. Plant Sci.,* 33: 331–349

Farooq, S., M. Shahid, M.B. Khan, M. Hussain and M. Farooq, 2015. Improving the productivity of bread wheat by good management practices under terminal drought. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.,* 201: 173–188

Farooq, S., M. Hussain, K. Jabran, W. Hassan, M.S. Rizwan and T.A. Yasir, 2017. Osmopriming with $CaCl_2$ improves wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) production under water-limited environments. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.,* 24: 13638–13649

Gong, H., X. Zhu, K. Chen, S. Wang and C. Zhang, 2005. Silicon alleviates oxidative damage of wheat plants in pots under drought. *Plant Sci.,* 169: 313–321

Government of Pakistan, 2018. "Economic Survey of Pakistan", Finance Division, Economic Wing, Islamabad, Pakistan. Available at: http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey_1718.html

Hu, H. and L. Xiong, 2014. Genetic engineering and breeding of drought-resistant crops. *Ann. Rev. Plant Biol.,* 65: 715–741

Hussain, M., M. Waqas-ul-Haq, S. Farooq, K. Jabran and M. Farooq, 2016a. The impact of seed priming and row spacing on the productivity of different cultivars of irrigated wheat under early season drought. *Exp. Agric.,* 52: 477–490

Hussain, M., S. Farooq, K. Jabran, M. Ijaz, A. Sattar and W. Hassan, 2016b. Wheat sown with narrow spacing results in higher yield and water use efficiency under deficit supplemental irrigation at the vegetative and reproductive stage. *Agronomy*, 6: 22

Hussain, M., M. Farooq, A. Sattar, M. Ijaz, A. Sher and S. Ul-Allah, 2018. Mitigating the effects of drought stress through seed priming and seed quality on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) productivity. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.*, 55: 313–319

Khan, A.S., S.U. Allah and S. Sadique, 2010. Genetic variability and correlation among seedling traits of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under water stress. *Intl. J. Agric. Biol.*, 12: 247–250

Li, Y.P., W. Ye, M. Wang and X.D. Yan, 2009. Climate change and drought: a risk assessment of crop yield impacts. *Climate Res.*, 39: 31–46

Mirbahar, A.A., G.S. Markhand and A.R. Mahar, 2009. Effect of water stress on yield and yield component of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 41: 1303–1310

Misra, A.N., A.K. Biswal and M. Misra, 2002. Physiological, biochemical and molecular aspects of water stress responses in plants and the biotechnological applications. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India.*, 72: 115–134

Noorka, I.R. and J.S.P.H. Harrison, 2013. Hazards minimizing risk, maximizing awareness to check environmental effects for wheat maximization in Pakistan. *Climate Change Outlook Adapt.*, 1: 9–13

Qadir, S.A., M.Q. Khursheed and F.Z. Huyop, 2017. Drought tolerance and genetic diversity among selected wheat cultivars. ZANCO. *J. Pure Appl. Sci.,* 29: 110–117

Rajaram, S., N.E. Borlaug and M.V. Ginkel, 2002. CIMMYT international wheat breeding. Bread wheat improvement and production. FAO, Rome, 103–117

Rashidi, M. and K. Seyfi, 2007. Effect of water stress on crop yield and yield components of cantaloupe. *Intl. J. Agric. Biol.*, 9: 271–273

Rauf, M., M. Munir, M. Hassan, M. Ahmed and M. Afzal, 2007. Performance of wheat genotypes under osmotic stress at germination and early seedling growth stage. *Afr. J. Biotech.*, 8: 971–975

Siddiqui, S., 2019. Pakistan's wheat production likely to hit five-year low. Available at: https://tribune.com.pk/story/1938674/2/ (Accessed: 24 August 2019)

Saeed, A., M.A. Chowdhry, N. Saedd, I. Khalıq and M.Z. Johar, 2001. Line × tester analysis for some morpho-physiological traits in bread wheat. *Intl. J. Agric. Biol.,* 3: 444–447

Singh, A. and A. Kumar, 2014. Gene action analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in bread wheat. *IJBAB.*, 2: 17–20

Singh, R.K. and B.D. Chaudhary, 1979. Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis. Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis

Steel, R.G.D., J.H. Torrie and D.A. Dickey, 1997. *Principal and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach*. McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, USA

Waqas, M., I.R. Noorka, A.S. Khan and M.A. Tahir, 2013. Heritable variations the base of effective selection in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to ensure food security. *Climate Change Outlook Adapt.*, 1: 14–18

Yasir, T.A., A. Wasaya, M. Hussain, M. Ijaz, M. Farooq, O. Farooq, A. Nawaz and Y.G. Hu, 2019. Evaluation of physiological markers for assessing drought tolerance and yield potential in bread wheat. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants*, 25: 1163–1174

Zhong, H. and H. Wang, 2012. Evaluation of drought tolerance from a wheat recombination inbred line population at the early seedling growth stage. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.*, 7: 6167–6172