



**Full Length Article**

## ***Aloe vera* Polysaccharides as Biological Response Modifiers in Chickens**

**Kashfa Khaliq<sup>1\*</sup>, Masood Akhtar<sup>2</sup>, Zafar Iqbal<sup>3</sup> and Iftikhar Hussain<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Parasitology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-38040, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Parasitology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-38040, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>Institutes of Microbiology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-38040, Pakistan

\*For correspondence: kashfakhaliq@hotmail.com

### **Abstract**

In the present study polysaccharides has been isolated and evaluated as biological response modifiers in chickens. *Aloe (A.) vera* polysaccharides were administered in chickens for three consecutive days and used for immunological evaluation. Results showed significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) lymphoproliferative response to PHA-P and Con-A in chickens of polysaccharides administered groups as compared to control. Carbon particle clearance assay showed significantly higher clearance index (K) in control group, indicating presence of more carbon particles in blood. Phagocytic index ( $\alpha$ ) showed significantly higher response in all three polysaccharides administered groups. Significantly higher humoral immune responses (total immunoglobulins (Igs), IgG and IgM titers) were also detected in treatment groups. Biomolecule administered chickens showed better feed conversion ratios and significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) weekly weight gains. From the current study, it was concluded that *A. vera* derived polysaccharides have potential to be used as immunotherapeutic agent(s) in chickens and can be commercialized. © 2016 Friends Science Publishers

**Keywords:** *Aloe vera*; Polysaccharides; Biological response modifiers; Chickens

### **Introduction**

Biological response modifiers induced modification(s) in the functioning of immune system and have unique effects on the physiology of human beings and animals. These substances also reduced the immunosuppressive effects of different remedies and simultaneously increased their effectiveness. In this regard, *Aloe (A.) vera* is considered as one of the promising candidate having immunomodulatory effects in different animal models (Krishnan, 2006).

The use of plants as therapeutic agents has a long traditional history; although isolation of their active compounds initiated in 19<sup>th</sup> century (Phillipson and Anderson, 2001). According to World Health Organization, 80% population of world used herbal medicines for different human diseases (Serrentino, 1991). In this regard, many plants and their constituents including *Angelica gigas*, *Cissampelos pareira*, *Astragalus membranaceus*, *Mangifera indica*, *Ganoderma lucidum*, *Ocimum sanctum*, *Zingiber officinale*, *Phyllanthus emblica*, *Allium sativum*, *Curcuma longa*, *Nyctanthus arbor-tritis*, *Saccharum officinarum* and *Aloe vera* (Minja, 1989; Waller *et al.*, 2001; Fajimi and Taiwo, 2005; Githiori *et al.*, 2006; Akhtar *et al.*, 2012; Awais *et al.*, 2013) have been reported for different medicinal properties.

Among these, *A. vera* (*Aloe barbadensis* Miller) is the

most commonly used medicinal plant having historical importance. It is a succulent plant found in tropical and subtropical areas of many continents including Pakistan. Modern therapeutic use of *A. vera* started in 1920s and now it is found in many journals, topic of numerous researches and commercial literature on internet. It has been reported to cure variety of conditions including mild fever, burns, wounds, gastrointestinal disorders, sexual vitality, fertility problems, inflammation, arthritis, cancer, and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (Bashir *et al.*, 2011; Manvitha and Bidya, 2014). Now a day, *A. vera* is extensively used in many manufacturing industries of foods, pharmaceuticals and cosmetics (Yang *et al.*, 2003).

*A. vera* is unique in nature having many biological active components including proteins, carbohydrates, vitamins, enzymes, minerals, sugar, lignin, saponins and salicylic acids (Surjushe *et al.*, 2008). These compounds have numerous pharmacological activities including wounds healing, antiinflammatory, antiarthritis, antioxidative, antidiabetic and anticarcinogen (Waihenya *et al.*, 2002; Iji *et al.*, 2010; Saritha *et al.*, 2010).

*A. vera* polysaccharides, anthraquinones and lectins have immunomodulatory effects (Leung *et al.*, 2004; Akev *et al.*, 2007; liu and Wang, 2007). Its immunomodulatory activities include stimulation of macrophages, which produced nitric oxide and thus showed effects on the

antigen presenting cells (APC) to release cytokine(s) (Strickland, 2001). The most important component of *A. vera* gel is acemannan (a linear carbohydrate polymer containing acetylated mannose). It is a storage polysaccharide, present in parenchyma protoplast (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2010) and has potential to act against viral infections, which reduced opportunistic infections and stimulate wound healing (Ni *et al.*, 2004). Its immunomodulatory effects had been reported in different animal models (Krishnan, 2006). It stimulates the natural and adaptive defense mechanism(s) including cytokines and help the body to protect itself (Alamgir and Uddin, 2010). Due to its biological response modifying activities it shows beneficial prophylactic and therapeutic effects against pathogens. It can be successfully used in oncology, inflammatory diseases, transplantation medicine and autoimmune disorders.

As far as therapeutic efficacy of *A. vera* and its component(s) is concerned, it had been reported in different animal models and human beings with promising results (Strickland, 2001; Agarry *et al.*, 2005), although limited work had been conducted on poultry (Djeraba and Quere, 2000; Mwale *et al.*, 2006; Akhtar *et al.*, 2012).

Keeping in view, the present project has been designed to investigate the biological response modifying effects of *A. vera* polysaccharides in chickens.

## Materials and Methods

### Procurement of *Aloe vera* Leaves

Fresh *A. vera* leaves were collected from Botanical Garden and authenticated by a botanist from the Department of Botany, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad (UAF), Pakistan. The *A. vera* specimens were kept in the Ethno Veterinary Research and Development Centre, Department of Parasitology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad (UAF).

Leaves were cleaned with water and chlorine (5-10 parts per million; ppm) solution then with a solution containing formalin (37 % formaldehyde; 0.001-0.005 ppm) and with distilled water in the last (Femenia *et al.*, 1999).

### *Aloe vera* Gel Preparation

Mucilaginous leaf gel was collected from *A. vera* leaves within three to four hours to reduce deterioration. For this purpose, one leaf was taken at a time, placed its flat side on a cutting wooden board and removed epidermis with a sharp knife. Afterwards, serrated edges of both sides of the leaf were cut off to make slices of the leaf lengthwise. With the help of a wooden spatula, gel was scrapped out, thoroughly homogenized followed by filtration through cheese cloth and stored in screw capped glass bottles at 4°C in a refrigerator for further use.

### Extraction of *Aloe vera* Polysaccharides

Polysaccharides from the *A. vera* gel were extracted by the method adopted by Chang *et al.* (2010). Briefly, *A. vera* gel, was vigorously mixed with four volume of 95% (v/v) ethanol and set aside overnight at 4°C. The precipitate thus obtained was centrifuged at 6500 g for 10 min and supernatant was removed. The precipitate was mixed in double distilled water, kept overnight and again precipitated with four volume of 95% (v/v) ethanol. The precipitation and solubilization procedures conducted repeatedly to roughly remove all the colored materials. Finally the precipitate thus obtained was mixed in doubled distilled water and treated with Sevagreagent {1:4 (v/v) (1-butanol: chloroform)}. Free protein was removed by repeated oscillation and centrifugation (Staub, 1965). This solution was mixed with three volumes of ethanol (95%, v/v), which precipitated polysaccharides. The crude polysaccharides thus obtained were further purified by washing twice with absolute ethanol followed by acetone and ethyl ether, finally dried completely at 40°C for 48 h.

### Characterization of Polysaccharides

**Hydrolysis:** The polysaccharides were hydrolysed to get the monosaccharides according to the procedure adopted by Osborn *et al.* (1999) with little modifications. The polysaccharides were refluxed in 2M Trifloroacetic acid (TFA) (Sigma-Aldrich®, USA) at 100°C for 2 h in a round-bottomed flask equipped with a reflux condenser. The TFA was then removed by evaporation at 75°C and the water was removed by freeze drying (-65°C) overnight.

**Experimental chickens:** One hundred and sixty industrial broiler chicks (day old) were procured from local hatchery and kept in hygienic environment at experimental station, Department of Parasitology, University of Agriculture Faisalabad. Chicks were given water and anti-coccidial free feed *ad libitum* throughout the study and routinely vaccinated (Awais, 2010).

**Experimental design:** After 5 days of acclimatization, chicks were at random separated into four groups namely A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>, A<sub>3</sub> and A<sub>4</sub> (control) each containing 40 chicks. They were administered with the following oral treatments for three consecutive days at day 5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> of age.

Group A<sub>1</sub>: Polysaccharides from *A. vera*, 100 mg/Kg of body weight/day.

Group A<sub>2</sub>: Polysaccharides from *A. vera*, 200 mg/Kg of body weight/day.

Group A<sub>3</sub>: Polysaccharides from *A. vera*, 300 mg/Kg of body weight/day.

Group A<sub>4</sub>: Control group: PBS 3 mL/day.

Chickens from all groups were used for immunological evaluation in separate cages on 14<sup>th</sup> day of *A. vera* polysaccharides administration.

## Immunofunction Studies

**Lymphoproliferative response to Phytohaemagglutinin-P (PHA-P):** *In vivo* lymphoblastogenic response was quantified by using a classic toe-web assay according to procedure adopted by Corrier (1990). Briefly, PHA-P (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO 63178), was injected (100µg/100µL/ chicken; intradermally) into the toe web of the left foot of 10 chickens from every group. The swelling response was calculated at 24, 48 and 72 h post injection, by following formula:

Lymphoproliferative response = (PHA-P response, right foot) – (PBS response, left foot).

**Lymphoproliferative response to concanavalin-A (Con-A):** Peripheral blood leukocytes were incubated with Con-A (25 µg/2x 10<sup>6</sup> cells) in 96 wells plate. The extent of lymphoproliferative response was calculated by classical MTT assay (Qureshi *et al.*, 2000).

### Carbon Particle Clearance Assay

On 14<sup>th</sup> day post administration, carbon particle clearance assay was carried out (Zhang, 2007). The clearance index and phagocytic index were calculated to demonstrate the immune functions of polysaccharide preparations (Hou *et al.*, 2010).

### Antibody Response

Ten chickens from experimental and control groups were injected with one mL of 5% saline suspension of sheep red blood cells (SRBCs) via intravenous route. Blood samples were collected at 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> day after first SRBCs injection. At 14<sup>th</sup> day after first injection, booster injections of SRBCs were given. The blood samples were collected at 7 and 14 days post boosting injection. Total immunoglobulins (Igs, IgM and IgG) anti-SRBCs antibody titers were measured by using microplate agglutination assay (Yamamoto and Glick, 1982).

### Feed Conversion Ratios (FCR)

After *A. vera* extracts administration chickens and feed were weighed weekly. The obtained data was used to calculate the weekly FCR by using the formula as adopted by Singh and Panda (1992):

$$\text{Feed conversion ratio} = \frac{\text{Feed consumption (gm)}}{\text{Body weight gain (gm)}}$$

### Statistical Analysis

The collected data was subjected to statistical analysis by using one way ANOVA and differences among different treatment groups was determined by Duncan's multiple range (DMR) test.

## Results

### Isolation and Characterization of *Aloe vera* Polysaccharides

**High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis:** *A. vera* monosaccharides quantitative HPLC analysis resulted in three distinct peaks on chromatogram at different retention time. These peaks were compared with standards, which showed three different monosaccharides including maltose, glucose and mannose in hydrolyzed solution of *A. vera* at retention times 9.423, 11.080 and 12.55, respectively. Molar concentration percentage quantities of these detected monosaccharides in hydrolyzed solution of *A. vera* polysaccharides are presented (Table 1).

### *In vivo* Lymphoproliferative Response (mm) to Phytohaemagglutinin-P (PHA-P)

The amplitude of toe-web swelling was measured at 24, 48 and 72 h after PHA-P injection in all experimental and control groups. *A. vera* polysaccharides administered groups showed significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) lymphoproliferative response in all experimental groups as compared to control. Maximum swelling was calculated at 24 h after PHA-P injection in all groups followed by 48 and 72 h (Fig. 1).

### *In vitro* Lymphoproliferative Response to Concanavalin-A (Con-A)

*In vitro* response to concanavalin-A was detected at 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> day post administration of *A. vera* polysaccharides at graded doses in all groups by lymphoblastogenic response of chickens peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBLs) to Con-A. All the experimental groups showed significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) response in the PBLs of chickens as compared to those of control group, both on 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> day post administration of *A. vera* polysaccharides. However, among the treatment groups, chickens administered with *A. vera* polysaccharides at the dose rate of 200 and 300 mg/kg of body weight showed significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) response as compared to those administered with *A. vera* polysaccharides at dose rate of 100 mg/kg of body weight (Fig. 2).

### Carbon Particle Clearance Assay

The results of clearance index showed significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) clearance index in control group A<sub>4</sub> (0.0185±0.0040) and other experimental groups showed low clearance index values indicating less carbon in blood. Among the experimental groups, group A<sub>2</sub> showed lowest K value (0.0073±0.0024) followed by group A<sub>1</sub> (0.0106±0.0039) and A<sub>3</sub> (0.0124±0.0019). A<sub>3</sub> response was statistically similar to group A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>4</sub> (Table 2).

**Table 1:** Quantitative analysis of monosaccharides detected in the hydrolyzed sample of *Aloe vera* polysaccharides


Monosaccharide	Retention Time (minutes)	Area (mV.s)	Height (mV)	Quantity (molar %)
Maltose	9.423	11.837	0.540	0.04
Glucose	11.080	35.252	0.605	0.11
Mannose	12.550	36.885	0.612	0.02

**Table 2:** Carbon particle clearance assay

Groups	Carbon particle clearance assay post administration of <i>A. vera</i> polysaccharides		
	Clearance index (K) (Mean OD±SE)	Mean Phagocytic index (α) (Mean OD±SE)	
A <sub>1</sub>	0.0106±0.0039 <sup>b</sup>	69.3054±13.6106 <sup>b</sup>	
A <sub>2</sub>	0.0073±0.0024 <sup>c</sup>	4.8283±9.3211 <sup>a</sup>	
A <sub>3</sub>	0.0124±0.0019 <sup>ab</sup>	51.2677±10.2013 <sup>bc</sup>	
A <sub>4</sub>	0.0185±0.0040 <sup>a</sup>	48.5554±9.8143 <sup>c</sup>	


Means sharing similar letters in a column are statistically non-significant ( $p>0.05$ )

A<sub>1</sub>= *A. vera* polysaccharides @ 100 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>2</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 200 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>3</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 300 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>4</sub>=Control



**Fig. 1:** *In vivo* lymphoproliferative response to PHA-P in experimental and control chickens

A<sub>1</sub>= *A. vera* polysaccharides @ 100mg/kg of BW; A<sub>2</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 200 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>3</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 300 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>4</sub>=Control



**Fig. 2:** *In vitro* lymphoproliferative response to Concanavalin-A in experimental and control chickens

A<sub>1</sub>= *A. vera* polysaccharides @ 100mg/kg of BW; A<sub>2</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 200 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>3</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 300 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>4</sub>=Control

The results of phagocytic index ( $\alpha$ ) showed significantly higher ( $p<0.05$ ) response in all three experimental groups as compared to control. Maximum response was observed in group A<sub>2</sub>, which was administered with *A. vera* polysaccharides at the dose rate of 200mg/kg body weight, followed by A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>3</sub>.

Statistically, group A<sub>2</sub> showed significantly higher ( $p<0.05$ ) phagocytic index followed by group A<sub>1</sub>; whereas, A<sub>3</sub> showed response, which was similar to group A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>4</sub> (Table 2).

### Humoral Immune Responses

**Antibody response to sheep red blood cells (SRBCs):** All the experimental groups showed higher immunoglobulins (Igs, IgM and IgG) titers as compared to control. However, at 7<sup>th</sup> day post primary injection (PPI), polysaccharides administered group A<sub>2</sub> (at the dose rate of 200 mg/kg body weight) showed maximum Igs, IgM and IgG titers (73.52, 52.4, 21.11) followed by group A<sub>3</sub> (64, 48, 16) group A<sub>1</sub> (42.22, 28.29, 13.92) and A<sub>4</sub> (32, 19.87, 12.12). On day 14<sup>th</sup> PPI, geomean titer for total anti-SRBC antibodies was highest in group A<sub>2</sub> (55.72, 18.95, 36.75) followed by A<sub>3</sub> (42.22, 14.36, 27.85), A<sub>1</sub> (42.22, 17.97, 24.25) and A<sub>4</sub> group (24.25, 8.25, 16). Same trend was observed on day 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> post-secondary injections (PSI) (Table3).

### Effect on the Development of Lymphoid Organs of Chickens

Experimental groups showed apparently higher per cent organ-body weight ratios as compared to control group; whereas, the statistical difference was non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ) in immune organs of all groups except thymus of experimental group A<sub>2</sub> showed statistically significant response as compared to control (Table 4).

### Effects of Polysaccharides on Weight Gains and Feed Conversion ratio (FCR)

Experimental groups (A<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>3</sub>) showed significantly higher weight gains as compared to group A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>4</sub>, control. Maximum weight gains (gm±SE) were observed at 6<sup>th</sup> week in group of chickens administered with *A. vera* polysaccharides. Among the experimental groups, maximum weight gains were observed in group A<sub>3</sub> followed by A<sub>2</sub>, A<sub>1</sub> and control group A<sub>4</sub> (Fig.3). Similarly, all experimental groups administered *A. vera* polysaccharides showed better FCR as compared to control. However, FCR was better in group A<sub>3</sub> (1.95) followed by group A<sub>2</sub> (1.96), A<sub>1</sub> (2.01) and control group A<sub>4</sub> (2.16).

### Discussion

Biological response modifiers (BRM) are compounds, which induce modifications in the functioning of the immune system and produce effects on the physiology of man and animals (Murthy *et al.*, 2010). In this regard, *Aloe (A.) vera* is considered as one of the promising candidate having biological response modifying effects in different animal models (Akhtar *et al.*, 2012; Farahnejad *et al.*, 2013; Manvitha and Bidya, 2014).

**Table 3:** Antibody response to sheep red blood cells in experimental and control chickens

Group	Total anti-SRBCs antibody titer			
	Day 7 PPI	Day 14 PPI	Day 7 PSI	Day 14 PSI
A <sub>1</sub>	42.22	42.22	64	48.50
A <sub>2</sub>	73.52	55.72	84.45	64
A <sub>3</sub>	64	42.22	73.51	55.71
A <sub>4</sub>	32	24.25	27.85	13.92
-----Immunoglobulin-M-----				
A <sub>1</sub>	28.29	17.97	36.14	11.74
A <sub>2</sub>	52.40	18.95	47.69	15.49
A <sub>3</sub>	48	14.36	45.65	13.49
A <sub>4</sub>	19.87	8.25	15.73	4.73
-----Immunoglobulin-G-----				
A <sub>1</sub>	13.92	24.25	27.85	36.75
A <sub>2</sub>	21.11	36.75	36.75	48.50
A <sub>3</sub>	16	27.85	27.85	42.22
A <sub>4</sub>	12.12	16	12.12	9.18


A<sub>1</sub>= *A. vera* polysaccharides @ 100 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>2</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 200 mg/kg of BW  
 A<sub>3</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 300 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>4</sub>=Control

**Table4:** Organ-body weight ratio on day 14<sup>th</sup> post administration of *Aloe vera* polysaccharides in experimental and control chickens

Group	Thymus (Mean±SE)	Spleen (Mean±SE)	Bursa (Mean±SE)	Caecal tonsils (Mean±SE)
A <sub>1</sub>	0.36±0.011 <sup>b</sup>	0.23±0.011	0.26±0.008	0.09±0.008
A <sub>2</sub>	0.38±0.008 <sup>a</sup>	0.23±0.008	0.26±0.006	0.09±0.010
A <sub>3</sub>	0.37±0.0158 <sup>ab</sup>	0.23±0.007	0.26±0.0100	0.09±0.007
A <sub>4</sub>	0.35±0.008 <sup>b</sup>	0.22±0.006	0.25±0.016	0.08±0.007

Means sharing similar letters in a column are statistically non-significant ( $p>0.05$ )

A<sub>1</sub>= *A. vera* polysaccharides @ 100 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>2</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 200 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>3</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 300 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>4</sub>=Control



**Fig. 3:** Weekly (1<sup>st</sup>-6<sup>th</sup>) weight gains in experimental and control groups

A<sub>1</sub>= *A. vera* polysaccharides @ 100mg/kg of BW; A<sub>2</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 200 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>3</sub>=*A. vera* polysaccharides @ 300 mg/kg of BW; A<sub>4</sub>=Control

*A. vera* reported to cure variety of conditions including fever, burns and wound healing, gastrointestinal disorders, sexual vitality and fertility problems, inflammation, ulcer, arthritis, cancer, immunosuppression, AIDS, and coccidiosis (Mwale *et al.*, 2005; Bashir *et al.*, 2011; Akhtar *et al.*, 2012). It is also considered an effective tool to enhance the immunity in broiler chicks and increasing microvilli density (Jinag *et al.*, 2005; Akhtar *et al.*, 2012; Yim *et al.*, 2011).

Therapeutic efficacy of *A. vera* components had been reported in different animal models and human beings with promising results (Strickland, 2001; Agarry *et al.*, 2005; Mwale *et al.*, 2006), although limited work has been conducted on poultry (Mwale *et al.*, 2005; Akhtar *et al.*, 2012; Yim *et al.*, 2011). Polysaccharides are carbohydrates, containing monosaccharide units joined to each other by glycosidic linkages (Varki *et al.*, 2008). Polysaccharides have shown biological response modifying activities (Ramberg *et al.*, 2010), by affecting both the humoral and cellular immune responses (Xue and Meng, 1996; Tzianabos, 2000). *A. vera* is a rich source of many carbohydrate units including polysaccharide, mannose-6-phosphate and acemannan (Hamman, 2008). Acemannan (β-(1, 4)-linked acetylated mannose) has shown immunomodulatory activities by macrophages activation; enhanced cytokine release, stimulated macrophages interactions and increased production of cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (Roberts and Travis, 1995).

In the current study, purified polysaccharides from *A. vera* were analyzed by using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Three different monosaccharides including maltose, glucose and mannose in hydrolyzed solution of *A. vera* at retention times 9.423, 11.080 and 12.55, respectively were observed.

Major polysaccharides containing Mannose named Acemannan has been isolated by various researchers (Hart *et al.*, 1989; Luta *et al.*, 2009). Acemannan is a linear polysaccharide made of (1, 4)-linked mannosyl residues (Femenia *et al.*, 1999). Moghaddasi and Verma (2011) described that *A. vera* contained two polysaccharides acemannan and glucomannan; whereas, saccharides were mannose, aldopentose, L-rhamnose and glucose. Chang *et al.* (2010) isolated mannose glucose and galactose from gel juice. Present study indicated the presence of glucose, maltose and mannose, which were also reported by Luta *et al.* (2009).

Results of *in vivo* lymphoproliferative response to PHA-P showed significantly higher ( $p<0.05$ ) response in chickens administered with *A. vera* polysaccharides as compared to control. In polysaccharides given groups (100, 200 and 300 mg/kg of body wt.) chickens of group A<sub>2</sub> revealed a significantly higher ( $p<0.05$ ) response as compared to control, which was best lymphoproliferative response calculated as compared to all other groups. During body defenses phagocytosis immediately effect invading foreign materials; whereas T cells take time to be stimulated and proliferated before responding invasion (Lamont, 1986). PHA-P (T-cell mitogen) induces proliferation of T-lymphocytes. Its particular site injection induces localized *in vivo* T-cell lymphoproliferation (Cheema *et al.*, 2003). These higher responses in group A<sub>2</sub> may be due to *A. vera* polysaccharides (Acemannan). From these results, it can be speculated that *A. vera* polysaccharides induced cellular immune response that may play role in controlling and clearing the organism (Kougt *et al.*, 1994) and thus enhance

resistance against diseases in chickens (Parmentier *et al.*, 2001).

Concanavalin-A was used to evaluate *in vitro* cell mediated immune responses (lymphoblastogenic response) of chicken peripheral blood leukocytes (PBLs) to Con-A at 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> day post administration of *A. vera* polysaccharides. Results showed higher lymphoproliferation response in administered chickens than control. This increased stimulation index in treated groups was due to direct contact of T-lymphocytes mitogen receptors, which came direct contact with Con- A (T-cell mitogen). It caused lymphocytes cell division, which result in increased response to Con-A (Qureshi *et al.*, 2000).

In the current study, carbon particle clearance test was used as an indicator of phagocytic activity of reticuloendothelial system measured by clearance of carbon particles from blood stream (Neha and Mishra, 2011). These cells have an important role in particle clearance from blood (Ismail and Asad, 2009). Phagocytosis is a defensive mechanism, which showed its effects immediately after invasion of foreign particles (Lamont, 1986). Macrophages phagocytic activity is an important marker of immune system of an organism, which can be increased by herbal polysaccharides (Shin *et al.*, 2002).

In current study, when India ink injected intravenously into systemic circulation of birds, carbon particles were engulfed by macrophages. The clearance rate of carbon particles and phagocytic index, was calculated. The results of present study showed significantly higher clearance index in control group than other groups. The results of phagocytic index ( $\alpha$ ) showed significantly higher response in all three medicated groups as compared to control. Maximum response was observed in group A<sub>2</sub>, which were administered with *A. vera* polysaccharides. Results of present study contrast with study of Neha and Mishra study on immunomodulator activity of Trikatu mega Ext. Results indicated higher phagocytic index of treated groups (at dose rate of 100 and 200 mg/kg body weight), which may be due to reticuloendothelial system stimulation (Neha and Mishra, 2011; Hajra *et al.*, 2012).

Results of humoral immune response showed significantly higher total Igs, IgG and IgM titers in groups administered with *A. vera* polysaccharide. Effect of polysaccharides to stimulate the humoral immune responses is reported in mice in some previous studies (Madan *et al.*, 2008; Yu *et al.*, 2009). Present study showed contrast results to findings of other scientists, who reported an increased antibody titer after feeding *A. vera* against new castle virus (Jinag *et al.*, 2005). Present study results were similar to Yu *et al.*, 2009, concluded that *A. vera* polysaccharides administered groups of rats showed significant increase in Ig A, Ig M and Ig G levels. In the current study, immune organ index was calculated in terms of organ-body weight ratios. Experimental groups administered with *A. vera* polysaccharides showed apparently higher per cent organ-body weight ratios as compared to control group; although,

the difference was statistically non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ) except thymus of experimental group A<sub>2</sub> which showed significant response as compared to control.

Maximum weight gains (gms $\pm$ sd) at 6<sup>th</sup> week were observed in groups administered with *A. vera* polysaccharides. These results were in accordance to observations of (Jinag *et al.*, 2005; Mehmet *et al.* 2005; Durrani *et al.* 2007). Increased body weight gain and FCR had also been reported in other studies (Jgadeeswaran, 2007; Durrani *et al.*, 2008); however, *A. vera* combination with *Curcuma longa* showed non-significant difference in weight gain but numerically higher body weight gains (Mehala and Moorthy, 2008). Further, several carbohydrate polymers (glucmannans) present in *A. vera* played role in healing process (Shelton, 1991) and inhibited cyclooxygenase pathway resulting in decreased prostaglandin production from arachidonic acids (Grindlay and Reynolds, 1986).

Results of current study indicated that *A. vera* polysaccharides has the potential to stimulate the humoral and cellular arms of the immune responses and released cytokines to induce localized inflammatory reactions, which increased vascular permeability; caused vasodilation, activation of macrophages; enhanced phagocytic activity and more lytic enzyme production for destruction of pathogen(s) (Dashputre and Naikwade, 2010; Neha and Mishra, 2011).

## Acknowledgement

First author acknowledged Higher Education Commission, Islamabad, Pakistan for financial support for this project

## References

- Agarry, O.O., M.T. Olaleye and C.O. Bello-Michael, 2005. Comparative antimicrobial activities of *Aloe vera* gel and leaf. *Afr. J. Biotech.*, 4: 1413-1414
- Akev, N., G. Turkay, A. Can, A. Gurel, F. Yildiz, H. Yardibi, E.E. Ekiz and H. Uzun, 2007. Tumour preventive effect of *Aloe vera* leaf pulp lectin (Aloctin 1) on *Ehrlich ascites* tumours in mice. *Phytother. Res.*, 21: 1070-1075
- Akhtar, M., A. Hai, M.M. Awais, Z. Iqbal, F. Muhammad, A.U. Haq and M.I. Anwar, 2012. Immunostimulatory and protective effects of *Aloe vera* against coccidiosis in industrial broiler chickens. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 186: 170-177
- Alamgir, M. and S.J. Uddin, 2010. Recent advances on the ethnomedicinal plants as immunomodulatory agent. In: *Ethnomedicine: A Source of Complementary Therapeutics*, pp: 227-244. Chattopadhyay, D. (ed.). Research Signpost Kerala India
- Awais, M.M., 2010. Immunomodulatory effects of some sugarcane extracts in chickens and their therapeutic efficacy against avian coccidiosis. *M. Phil Thesis*, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan
- Awais, M.M., M. Akhtar, Z. Iqbal and F. Muhammad, 2013. *Saccharum officinarum* derived mid molecular mass glycoproteins as native BRMs in chickens. *Pak. J. Life. Soc. Sci.*, 11: 200-207
- Bashir, A., B. Saeed, T.Y. Mujahid and N. Jehan, 2011. Comparative study of anti microbial activities of *Aloe vera* extracts and antibiotics against isolates from skin infections. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 10: 3835-3840
- Chang, X.L., B.Y. Chen and Y.M. Feng, 2010. Water soluble polysaccharides isolated from skin juice, gel juice and flower of *Aloe vera* Miller. *J. Taiwan. Instt. Chem. Eng.*, 2: 197-203

- Cheema, M.A., M.A. Qureshi and G.B. Havenstein, 2003. A comparison of the immune response of a 2001 commercial broiler with a 1957 randombred broiler strain when fed representative 1957 and 2001 broiler diets. *Poult. Sci.*, 82: 1519-1529
- Corrier, D.E., 1990. Comparison of Phytohemagglutinin-Induced Cutaneous Hypersensitivity reactions in the interdigital skin of broiler and layer chicks. *Avian Dis.*, 34: 369-373
- Dashputre, N.L. and N.S. Naikwade, 2010. Immunomodulatory activity of *Abutilon indicum* Linn on Albino mice. *Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res.*, 1: 178-184
- Djeraba, A. and P. Quere, 2000. *In vivo* macrophage activation in chickens with Acemannan, a complex carbohydrate extracted from *Aloe vera*. *Int. J. Immunopharmacol.*, 22: 365-372
- Durrani, F.R., A. Sultan, M.L. Marri, N. Chand and Z. Durrani, 2007. Effect of wild mint (*Mentha longifolia*) infusion on the overall performance of broiler chicks. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 10: 1130-1133
- Durrani, F.R., Sanaullah, N. Chand, Z. Durrani and S. Akhtar, 2008. Using aqueous extract of Aloe gel as anticoccidial and immunostimulant agent in broiler production. *Sarhad. J. Agric.*, 24: 665-669
- Fajimi, A.K. and A.A. Taiwo, 2005. Herbal remedies in animal parasitic diseases in Nigeria: A review. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 4: 303-307
- Farahnejad, Z., T. Ghazanfari and M. Noorifard, 2013. Immunomodulatory effects of *Aloe vera* on the fungus *Candida albicans* in animal model. *J. Army. Univ.*, 10: 261-267
- Femenia, A., E.S. Sanchez, S. Simal and C. Rossello, 1999. Compositional features of polysaccharides from *Aloe vera* (*Aloe barbadensis* Miller) plant tissues. *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 39: 109-117
- Githiori, J.B., S. Athanasiadou and S.M. Thamsborg, 2006. Use of plants in novel approaches for control of gastrointestinal helminths in livestock with emphasis on small ruminants. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 139: 308-320
- Grindlay, D. and T. Reynolds, 1986. The *Aloe vera* phenomenon: a review of the properties and modern uses of the leaf parenchyma gel. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 16: 117-156
- Hajra, S., A. Mehta and P. Pandey, 2012. Immunostimulating activity of methanolic extract of *Swieteniamahagoni* seeds. *Int. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 4: 442-445
- Hamman, J.H., 2008. Composition and applications of *Aloe vera* leaf gel. *Molecules*, 13: 1599-1616
- Hart, L.A., A.J.V.D. Berg, L. Kuis, H.V. Dijk and R.P. Labadie, 1989. An anti-complementary polysaccharide with immunological adjuvant activity from the leaf parenchyma gel of *Aloe vera*. *Planta Med.*, 55: 509-512
- Hou, Y., Y. Hou, L. Yanyan, G. Qin and J. Li, 2010. Extraction and purification of a lectin from red kidney bean and preliminary immune function studies of the lectin and four Chinese herbal polysaccharides. *J. Biomed. Biotech.*, doi: 10.1155/2010/217342
- Iji, O.T., A.A. Oyagbemi and O.I. Azeez, 2010. Assessment of chronic administration of *Aloe vera* gel on haematology, plasma biochemistry, lipid profiles and erythrocyte osmotic resistance in wistar rats. *Nig. J. Physiol. Sci.*, 25: 107-113
- Ismail, S. and M. Asad, 2009. Immunomodulatory activity of *Acacia catechu*. *Ind. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.*, 53: 25-33
- Jagadeeswaran, A., 2007. Exploration of growth promoting and immunomodulating potentials of indigenous drugs in broiler chicken immunized against Newcastle viral disease. *PhD thesis*
- Jinag, L., F.Y. Zhang, Y. Xu, Z. Xinting and D.P. Yang, 2005. Effect of gel Polysaccharide and acemannan from *Aloe vera* on broiler gut flora, microvilli density, immune function and growth performance. *Chin. J. Vet. Sci.*, 25: 668-671
- Kougt, M.H., E.D. Mcgrude, B.M. Hargis, D.E. Corrier and J.P. Deloach, 1994. Characterization of the pattern of inflammatory cell influx in chicks following the intraperitoneal administration of line *Salmonella enteritidis*-immune lymphokines. *Poult. Sci.*, 74: 8-17
- Krishnan, P., 2006. The scientific study of herbal wound healing therapies: Current state of play. *Curr. Anaesth. Crit. Care*, 17: 21-27
- Lamont, S.J., 1986. Genetic association of reticuloendothelial activity in chickens. *Proc. 3<sup>rd</sup> World Congress on Genetic*, Vol. XI, pp: 643-647. Applied to livestock production, Agriculture communications, University of Nebrasks, Lincoln, NB
- Leung, M.Y.K., C. Liu, L.F. Zhu, Y.Z. Hui, B. Yu and K.P. Fung, 2004. Chemical and biological characterization of a polysaccharide biological response modifier from *Aloe vera* L. var. *Chinensis* (Haw.) Berg. *Glycobiology*, 14: 501-510
- Liu, C. and C.H. Wang, 2007. Isolation, chemical characterization and antioxidant activities of two polysaccharides from the gel and the skin of *Aloe barbadensis* miller irrigated with sea water. *Proc. Biochem.*, 42: 961-970
- Luta, G., C. Duncan and R. Sinnott, 2009. *Chemical characterization of polysaccharide-rich ingredients from Aloe vera, Larixlaricina and Larixoccidentalis, and Undariapinatifida*. Scripps Center for Integrative Medicine's 6th Annual Natural Supplements Conference, San Diego, California
- Madan, J., A.K. Sharma, N. Inamdar, H.S. Rao and R. Singh, 2008. Immunomodulatory properties of *Aloe vera* gel in mice. *Int. J. Green. Pharm.*, 2: 152-154
- Mandal, G. and A. Das, 1980. Structure of the D-galactan isolated from *Aloe barbadensis* Miller. *Carbohydr. Res.*, 86: 247-257
- Manvitha, K. and B. Bidya, 2014. *Aloe vera*: a wonder plant its history, cultivation and medicinal uses. *J. Pharmacognosy Phytochem.*, 2: 85-88
- Mehmet, C., G. Talat, B. Dalkilic and O.N. Ertas, 2005. The Effect of Anise Oil (*Pimpinellaanisum* L.) on broiler performance. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 4: 851-855
- Mehala, C. and M. Moorthy, 2008. Effect of *Aloe vera* and *Curcuma longa* (Turmeric) on carcass characteristics and biochemical parameters of broilers. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 7: 857-861
- Moghaddasi, S.M. and S.K. Verma, 2011. *Aloe vera* their chemicals composition and applications: A review. *Int. J. Biol. Med. Res.*, 2: 466-471
- Murthy, T.E.G.K., K.S. Janaki, S. Nagarjuna, P. Sangeetha and S. Sindhura, 2010. Biological response modifiers. *Int. J. Pharm. Technol. Res.*, 2: 2152-2160
- Minja, M.M.J., 1989. Collection of Tanzanian medicinal plants for biological activity studies. *The Proc. of the Seventh Tanzanian Veterinary Association Scientific Conference*, 8: 67-68
- Mwale, M., E. Bhebhe, M. Chimonyo and T.E. Halimani, 2005. Use of herbal plants in poultry health management in the Mushagashe small-scale commercial farming area in Zimbabwe. *Int. J. Appl. Res. Vet. Med.*, 3: 163-170
- Mwale, M., E. Bhebhe, M. Chimonyo and T.E. Halimani, 2006. The *In vitro* studies on the effect of *Aloe vera* ((L.) Webb. and Berth.) and *Aloe spicata* (L. f.) on the control of coccidiosis in chickens. *Int. J. Appl. Res. Vet. Med.*, 4: 128-133
- Neha, J. and R.N. Mishra, 2011. Immunomodulator activity of Trikatumega Ext. *Int. J. Res. Pharm. Biomed. Sci.*, 2: 160-164
- Ni, Y., D. Turner, K.M. Yates and I. Tizard, 2004. Isolation and characterization of structural components of *Aloe vera* L. leaf pulp. *Int. Immunopharmacol.*, 4: 1745-1755
- Osborn, H.M.I., F. Lochey, L. Mosley and D. Read, 1999. Analysis of polysaccharides and monosaccharides in the root mucilage of maize (*Zea mays* L.) by gas chromatography. *J. Chromatogr.*, 831: 267-276
- Parmentier, H.K., A.S. Yousif, R.G.D. Vries, M.G.B. Nieuwland and E.A.M. Graat, 2001. Immune response and resistance to *Eimeriacervulinae* of chickens divergently selected for antibody responses to sheep red blood cells. *Poult. Sci.*, 80: 894-900.
- Phillipson, J.D. and L.A. Anderson, 2001. Ethnopharmacology and western medicine. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 25: 61-72
- Qureshi, M.A., M. Yu and Y.M. Saif, 2000. A novel "small round virus" inducing poult enteritis and mortality syndrome and associated immune alterations. *Avian Dis.*, 44: 275-283
- Ramberg, J.E., E.D. Nelson and R.A. Sinnott, 2010. Immunomodulatory dietary polysaccharides: a systematic review of the literature. *Nutr. J.*, 9: 1-22
- Roberts, D.B. and E.L. Travis, 1995. Acemannan-containing wound dressing gel reduces radiation-induced skin reactions in C3H mice. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.*, 32: 1047-1052
- Rodriguez, E.R., J.D. Martin and C.D. Romero, 2010. *Aloe vera* as a functional ingredient in foods. *Crit. Rev. Food. Sci. Nutr.*, 50: 305-326

- Saritha, V., K.R. Anilkumar and F. Khanum, 2010. Antioxidant and antibacterial activity of *Aloe vera* gel extracts. *Int. J. Pharm. Biol. Archives*, 1: 376-384
- Serrentino, J., 1991. *How Natural Remedies Work*, pp: 20-22. Point Robert Vancouver, Harley and Marks Publishers
- Shelton, M.S., 1991. *Aloe Vera*, its chemical and therapeutic properties. *Int. J. Dermatol.*, 30: 679-683
- Shin, J.Y., J.Y. Song, Y.S. Yun, H.O. Yang, D.K. Rhee and S. Pyo, 2002. Immunostimulating effects of acidic polysaccharides extract of *Panax ginseng* on macrophage function. *Immunopharmacol. Immunotoxicol.*, 24: 469-482
- Singh, K.S. and B. Panda, 1992. Feed efficiency, In: *Poultry Nutrition*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, p: 199. Kalyam Publishers, Rajinder Nagar, Ludhiana, India
- Strickland, F.M., 2001. Immune regulation by polysaccharides: implications for skin cancer. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, 63: 132-140
- Staub, A.M., 1965. Removal of protein-Sevag method. *Met. Carb. Chem.*, 5: 5
- Surjushe, A., R. Vasani and D.G. Saple, 2008. *Aloe vera*: A short review. *Ind. J. Dermatol.*, 53: 163-166
- Tzianabos, A.O., 2000. Polysaccharide Immunomodulators as therapeutic agents: Structural aspects and biologic Function. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 13: 523-533
- Varki, A., R. Cummings, J. Esko, H. Freeze, P. Stanley, C. Bertozzi, G. Hart and M. Etzler, 2008. *Essentials of Glycobiology Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition
- Waller, P.J., G. Bernes, S.M. Thamsborg, A. Sukura, S.H. Richter, K. Ingebrigtsen and J. Hoglundn, 2001. Plants as deworming agents of livestock in the Nordic countries: Historical perspective, popular beliefs and prospects for the future. *Acta. Vet. Scandinavica*, 42: 31-44
- Waihenya, R.K., M.M. Mtambo and G. Nkwengulila, 2002. Evaluation of the efficacy of the crude extract of *Aloe secundiflora* in chickens experimentally infected with Newcastle disease virus. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 79: 299-304
- Xue, M. and X.S. Meng, 1996. Review on research progress and prosperous of immune activities of bio-active polysaccharides. *J. Trd. Chi. Vet. Med.*, 3: 15-18
- Yamamoto, Y. and B. Glick, 1982. A comparison of the immune response between two lines of chickens selected for differences in the weight of the bursa of fabricius. *Poult. Sci.*, 61: 2129-2132
- Yang, Y.C., M.Y. Lim and H.S. Lee, 2003. Emodin isolated from *Cassia obtusifolia* (Leguminosae) seed shows larvicidal activity against three mosquito species. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.*, 51: 7629-7631
- Yim, D., S.S. Kang, D.W. Kim, S.H. Kim, H.S. Lillehog and W. Min, 2011. Protective effects of *Aloe vera* based diets in *Eimeria maxima* infected broiler chickens. *Exp. Parasitol.*, 127: 322-325
- Yu, Z., C. Jin, M. Xin and H.J. Min, 2009. Effect of *Aloe vera* polysaccharides on immunity and antioxidant activities in oral ulcer animal models. *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 75: 307-311
- Zhang, W.X., 2007. *Immunology Techniques*. Sci Beijing China

(Received 30 March 2015; Accepted 17 April 2015)